REVIEW BOARD

FOR THE REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED INUVIK TO TUKTOYAKTUK HIGHWAY PROJECT PUBLIC HEARING

Liz Snider	Chairper	rson/Facil	Litator
Herbert Fel	ix	Board	Member
Roland Kiko	ak	Board	Member
Catherine C	ockney	Board	Member
Bruce Chamb	ers	Board	Member

HELD AT:

Midnight Sun Recreation Complex

Inuvik, NT September 18, 2012 Day 1 of 4

2 1 APPEARANCES 2 3 John Donihee)Board Staff 4 Gordon Stewart) 5 Petr Komers) 6 Meghan Birnie) 7 Eli Nasogaluak) 8 Chris Burn) 9 10 Jim Stevens)Developer 11 Rick Hoos) 12 Erica Bonhomme) 13 Robyn McGregor) 14 Oliver Piraux) 15 Don Hayley 16 Doug Chiperzak) 17 Tara Schmidt 18 Michael Fabijan 19 Shawn McArthur) 20 Gurdev Jagpal) 21 Walter Orr) 22 23 Amanda Joynt) Department of Fisheries 24 Sarah Olivier) and Oceans 25 Bev Ross)

3

APPEARANCES (Con't) 1 2 3 Kate Witherly)Northern Projects 4)Management Office 5 6 Derek Parks)Fisheries Joint Management 7)Committee 8 9 James Hodson)Environment Canada 10 Susanne Forbrich) 11 12 Phoebe Miles) Infrastructure Canada 13 14 Doug Soloway)Transport Canada 15 Dale Kirkland) 16 17 Conrad Baetz)Aboriginal Affairs and 18 Bob Gowan)Northern Development 19 Jan Davies)Canada 20 21 Adriane Bacheschi)Parks Canada 22 Jean-Francois Bisaillon) 23 24 Larry Carpenter)Wildlife Management 25 Bruce Hanbidge)Advisory Council

4

APPEARANCES (Con't) 1 2 3 Derek Parks)Fisheries Joint 4)Management Committee 5 6 Sunny Ashcroft)Environment and 7 Marsha Branigan)Natural Resources 8 Stephen Charlie) 9 10 Karin Taylor)Department of Justice 11 12 Denny Rodgers)Mayor of Inuvik 13 14 Russell Neudorf)Deputy Minister, 15)Department of 16)Transportation 17 18 Richard Gordon)Member of the public 19 20 Sheila Nasoloak)Member of the public 21 22 23 24 25

5 1 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2 Page No. Opening Statement by Chairperson/Facilitator 3 5 Opening Statement by the Developer 13 4 5 Opening Statement by AANDC 15 Opening Statement by DFO 16 6 Opening Statement by Environment Canada 17 7 Opening Statement by FJMC 19 8 Opening Statement by Infrastructure Canada 20 9 10 Opening Statement by Parks Canada 21 11 Opening Statement by Transport Canada 2.2 12 Opening Statement by WMAC 24 Opening Statement by Deputy Minister Russell Neudorf 38 13 14 Opening Statement by Mayor Denny Rodgers 39 15 16 Presentation by the Developer 45 17 Ouestion Period 48 18 PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS: 205 19 Presentation by Mr. Richard Gordon 20 Presentation by Ms. Sheila Nasoloak 208 21 22 Presentation by AANDC 210 23 Question Period 220 24 25 Certificate of Transcript 245

6 --- Upon commencing at 9:04 a.m. 1 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thanks 4 for your patience. I quess we're good to go now. 5 Before we start, I would like to ask Lillian Elias to 6 say the opening prayer, please. Do you want to get a mic? 7 8 9 (OPENING PRAYER) 10 11 OPENING STATEMENT BY THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 12 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 13 vou. Good morning, everyone. I know I'm a bit soft spoken, so if there's anybody that can't hear me, just 14 15 stand up or yell or something, and I'll try to speak a little louder. 16 17 I'm Liz -- Liz Snider, and I'm the Chair 18 of the Environmental Impact Review Board. This Review 19 Board was established by the Inuvialuit Final 20 Agreement. We have actually developed, as a -- as a Board, rules and guidelines to set out how we will 21 22 conduct our work. 23 We are required to conduct an 24 environmental impact review of developments that have 25 been referred to us by the environmental impact

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

7

screening committee. Our job is to recommend whether 1 or not the development should go ahead. And if it 2 should, we can actually recommend terms and conditions 3 that include mitigative and remedial measures. 4 5 This proposed highway project is also 6 subject to the Federal Canadian Environmental 7 Assessment Act. The Review Board has signed a memorandum of understanding with the Minister of the 8 9 Environment, agreeing that we, the Review Board, would complete the CEAA review on behalf of the Government of 10 11 Canada. 12 This means that the review -- the Review 13 Board is bound by both the requirements of the 14 Inuvialuit Final Agreement and the Canadian 15 Environmental Assessment Act. Oh, sorry. I'll speak a little slower. 16 17 There will be only one (1) environmental 18 review of the proposed highway project as a result of 19 the memorandum of understanding, the one (1) that is 20 being conducted by this panel. 21 The panel here with me today has been established in accordance with Subsection 11(9) of the 22 23 Inuvialuit Final Agreement. They represent the 24 Environmental Impact Review Board. 25 Over the next two (2) days, the panel

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

will conduct a technical hearing here in Inuvik into 1 the application to build an all-weather road between 2 Tuktoyaktuk and Inuvik. As we all know, this highway 3 has been talked about for a long time, many years. 4 5 We are here to listen and to provide the 6 opportunity to community members to hear the views of 7 the Developer and the registered Intervenors. More importantly, we are here to listen to your views about 8 9 the highway and how it will affect your communities, the natural environment, and the areas of cultural 10 11 significance around Husky Lakes. 12 Early next week we will be travelling to 13 Tuktoyaktuk for the same purpose, to listen to community views about the proposed highway project. 14 15 The panel has to make its decision based on the 16 information and evidence provided to it by the Developer and other parties. All this information is 17 18 available to the public on our registry site. 19 To gather this information, instructions 20 were sent to the Developer as a guide to drafting an 21 environmental impact statement, followed by requests to the Developer and other parties for additional 22 information and clarification. 23 24 The panel has to determine whether the 25 legal requirements of the IFA and the Canadian

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Environmental Assessment Act have been met by the
 Developer in its environmental impact statement and
 subsequent filings.

The Board held technical sessions in 4 5 Inuvik on August 22nd and 23rd to clarify some 6 important and secure additional information where some 7 gaps in the materials that have been filed existed. 8 At this point, the Board is satisfied 9 that the information on record covers the areas of the terms of reference. The continuing review will assess 10 the quality and completeness of that information. 11 12 The parties were instructed to file 13 their technical submissions for the hearings by 14 September 10th. The Developer had the opportunity to 15 reply to the parties by September 12th, 2012. Board 16 staff held a pre-hearing conference on September 7th. 17 The pre-hearing conference identified 18 the procedures to be followed at this hearing and the 19 one in Tuktoyaktuk. No legal issues were raised at the 20 pre-hearing conference. All parties were required to 21 submit their hearing presentations by September 14th. 22 The tearing -- hearings were advertised 23 in accordance with the EIRB guidelines and a public 24 notice was provided in News North and on the rolling 25 channel. Today we are going to sit from 9:00 to 5:00.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

We will have appropriate breaks for lunch and coffee, 1 but lunch will not be provided. So the -- during the 2 break you'll have to go elsewhere for lunch, I guess. 3 If the proceedings are concluded earlier 4 5 than the anticipated time, we will adjourn for the day and reconvene tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. 6 7 Just a few housekeeping items. The washrooms are actually located at the back, in this 8 9 room but down the hall, down there. And if you look around you there's some emergency exits, one (1) here 10 and one (1) here and, of course, the one (1) out to the 11 12 front. If you don't mind, please turn off any cell 13 phones or mobile devices during the hearing process. 14 The panel asks for your cooperation in 15 being prepared to make your presentations in the order 16 set out in the agenda, and to be organized and focussed 17 in your questioning of the parties. I will be firm in 18 keeping you to your allotted time so that everyone has 19 a fair opportunity to have their say. I thank you for 20 your consideration in doing this. The panel will first of all hear from 21 22 the Developers regarding their application before the 23 panel. Once they have completed their presentation, 24 the proceedings will follow the order set out in the 25 agenda, starting with questions to the Developer.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

There are copies of the agenda at the back if anybody
 has not gotten one yet.

For the purposes of taking questions from the public there is a microphone that can be brought to you, a portable one, for questions, or you can actually come up to the table up front here and make your presentation.

8 If you wish to speak, please stand up 9 and identify yourself and who you represent. And we 10 invite Elders to make their statements at any time during the proceedings. Those members of the public 11 12 who wish to make a statement or have registered here 13 today will be given an opportunity to address the panel after the Developer and registered Intervenors have 14 15 done so.

16 The panel would like to keep this hearing as informal as possible. But as a quasi-17 18 judicial body, we are bound by rules of procedural 19 fairness, and I'm responsible to conduct this hearing. 20 And if you don't mind, I would ask that all comments or 21 questions be directed through the Chair. 22 I'd like to take a moment and introduce 23 my panel members. I have Bruce Chambers, Cathy 24 Cockney, Roland Kikoak, and Herbert Felix. Also 25 somewhere -- oh, over there, Eli Nasogaluak. He's our

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

environmental assessment coordinator that assists the
 Board.

3 We also have here with us today Gordon Stewart, who is sort of overseeing the environmental 4 5 review; John Donihee, who is our Board legal counsel; 6 Dr. Petr Komers, he's a Board technical advisor; Meg --Meghan Birnie, she's also a Board advisor; and Dr. 7 Chris Burn, who is a Board technical advisor. 8 9 Also we have with us this morning two 10 (2) interpreters, Fred Wolki and Lilliane Elias. Robert Kuptana will be joining us a little later and 11 12 will assist in that as well. 13 I'd ask you -- and I was actually not 14 good at this in the very beginning -- to be very 15 mindful that these interpreters have to interpret after 16 you've spoken. And so please pace your speaking 17 accordingly, and -- and it'll assist them in doing 18 their job. 19 These proceedings are also being 20 recorded. That was a bit of the reason for our delay, 21 and they will be transcribed later. So when you speak, 22 could you please begin with your name and -- and the 23 organization you represent? Our court reporter here is 24 Sean Coleman. Now if you have any questions about the 25 transcripts, you could actually direct them to Sean or

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

to Eli during the breaks. 1 2 Transcripts will be available on our registry site at a later date and will form part of our 3 record. Norbert Poitras is working for Pido. He's 4 5 doing the recordings, and he will let us know if 6 there's any problems. 7 Before we begin with the presentations, I'd like to start and give the Developer and the 8 9 registered Intervenors the opportunity to make an opening statement. Please keep this opening statement 10 to five (5) minutes each and that was we -- there'll be 11 12 lots of opportunity during the day to ask other 13 questions. 14 So thank you, and welcome to the 15 hearing. I would like to start then to ask the 16 Developer to actually make their opening statements. 17 18 OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEVELOPER: 19 MR. JIM STEVENS: Thank you, Madam 20 Chair. Good morning, panel members, parties, and 21 public attending this hearing. My name is Jim Stevens. I am the Developer's project director. 22 23 Before I start to introduce the 24 Developer's team for this public hearing, I should 25 highlight the presence of the Mayor of Inuvik, Denny

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Rodgers; Mayor of Tuktoyaktuk, Merven Gruben; and the 1 Deputy Minister of Transportation for the Government of 2 the Northwest Territories, Russell Neudorf. Mr. 3 Neudorf and Mayor Rodgers will be speaking to the 4 5 project as the -- as the Developer later this morning. 6 Supporting us today are a number of 7 experts who have helped us put together the environmental impact statement before you. Please 8 allow me to introduce the members of our team of 9 consultants and Department of Transportation staff. 10 First, from Kiggiak-EGA Consulting Limited, Rick Hoos, 11 12 principal consultant; Tara Schmidt, environmental 13 planner; Robyn McGregor, senior transportation engineer 14 and principal consultant; and Don Hayley, director, 15 Arctic Resource Development. From Kavik-Stantec Inc., we have Erica 16 Bonhomme, senior environmental planner; Walter Orr, 17 18 senior civil engineer; Olivier Piraux, terrain 19 scientist; Shawn McArthur, geotechnical engineer; Michael Fabijan, vice president; and Doug Chiperzak, 20 21 senior fisheries biologist. 22 And from the Department of 23 Transportation and well known in the Beaufort Delta, 24 Mr. Gurdev Jagpal, our Inuvik Regional Superintendent. 25 Each member of our team will be

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

available to answer questions or provide more 1 information from their respective areas of expertise 2 during this hearing. In addition to these consultants 3 and staff, the Developer team is also supported by a 4 5 number of GNWT officials from the Departments of Justice; Environmental -- Environment and Natural 6 7 Resources; Industry, Tourism and Investment; NWT Housing Corp.; Health and Social Services; Municipal 8 9 and Community Affairs; and Education, Culture and 10 Employment. 11 These officials are available to explain 12 current programs and activities and how they may relate 13 to current and future capacity to manage and mitigate 14 predicted impacts from this development. 15 For time and efficiency considerations, 16 the panel may want to leave any related questions to 17 the Wednesday afternoon time slot designated for ENR 18 and the Government of the Northwest Territories 19 department. Thank you. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 21 Could I ask the representative from Aboriginal you. Affairs and Northern Development Canada to make their 22 23 opening statement? 24 25 OPENING STATEMENT BY AANDC:

1 MR. CONRAD BATES: Good morning. Μv 2 name is Conrad Bates. I'm the district manager with Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada here 3 4 in Inuvik. I am accompanied today by Mr. Bob Gowan, 5 who brings with him expertise in granular resource 6 management from Ottawa. And I'm also accompanied by Mr. Jan Davies, who brings with him expertise in water 7 quality management and inspections services within the 8 9 district. 10 My presentation this afternoon will 11 cover off much of our mandate, as well as what some of 12 our concerns may be. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 13 Thank 14 Could the representative from the Department of you. 15 Fisheries and Oceans make their introductory remarks? 16 17 OPENING STATEMENT BY DFO: 18 MS. BEV ROSS: Good morning, Madam 19 Chair, panel members, and members of the public. My 20 name is Bev Ross, and I'm the regional manager for environmental assessment for Fisheries and Oceans 21 22 Canada. With me today are Sarah Olivier, who is an 23 environmental assessment analyst from Yellowknife, and 24 Amanda Joynt who is the acting district manager for 25 Fisheries and Oceans here in Inuvik.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 In our presentation later today, DFO will provide an overview of our review of the proposed 2 project to date and recommendations for provision of 3 further information to inform DFO's final submission 4 5 and future regulatory decisions, should the 6 environmental assessment be approved. 7 DFO is of the opinion that provided that the appropriate mitigation and monitoring plans that we 8 9 outline in our presentation are developed and adhered to and applicable DFO guidance is followed, that 10 11 impacts to fish and fish habitat from the Inuvik/Tukta 12 -- Tuktoyaktuk Road can be effectively managed. Thank 13 you. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Could the 15 representative from Environment Canada please make 16 their opening statement? I'll remind you about the 17 interpreters too. Nice and slow. 18 MS. SUSANNE FORBRICH: Yes, yes. Thank 19 you. 20 21 OPENING STATEMENT BY ENVIRONMENT CANADA: 22 MS. SUSANNE FORBRICH: Good morning, 23 Madam Chair, panel members, Elders, ladies, and 24 gentlemen. My name is Susanne Forbrich, and I'm 25 representing Environment Canada here in Inuvik today.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

I am the manager of environmental 1 assessment and marine programs for Environment Canada 2 in Prairie and Northern region from Edmonton. 3 The region consists of the Northwest Territories, Nunavut, 4 5 and the three (3) Prairie provinces. 6 Here with me today to answer any 7 questions regarding wildlife, migratory birds, and species at risk is Dr. James Hodson, who's an 8 environmental assessment coordinator and wildlife 9 biologist with the Canadian Wildlife Service. 10 11 As requested by the Board, I'll briefly touch on the mandate of Environment Canada, which is 12 13 determined by the statute of the Department of 14 Environment Act and the legislation assigned to it by 15 Parliament through the Minister. This Act states that Environment Canada 16 17 has a responsibility to advise other federal 18 departments, boards, or agencies on matters pertaining 19 to the preservation and enhancement of the quality of 20 the natural environment. As such, the mandate is quite 21 broad. 22 Environment Canada will be presenting 23 today on the following issues: water quality; fuel 24 storage and spill contingency reporting and planning; 25 waste management; and wildlife, including migratory

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

birds and species at risk. 1 2 For each issue, Environment Canada has provided its advice and conclusions based on the 3 available information on the registry, using specialist 4 5 and expert information and knowledge in the areas of 6 the departmental mandate relevant to the current project. As such, we hope that this information will 7 aid the panel in the review of the effects of this 8 9 proposed project. 10 We look forward to continuing to work 11 with the Proponent and the panel throughout this 12 hearing process. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 13 Do we 14 have a representative from the Fisheries Joint 15 Management Committee? 16 17 OPENING STATEMENT BY FJMC: 18 MR. DEREK PARKS: Good morning, Madam 19 Chair, Board members, members of the public. My name is Derek Parks. I'm a technical consultant to the FJMC 20 in the Tuk-Inuvik working group. 21 22 I've been asked to come today and 23 participate in the hearings and provide the views of 24 the FJMC, ensuring that the concerns and ideas that 25 have been identified similar to other Ministries are

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

followed up on, and look forward to working with 1 everyone to get through this process. Thank you very 2 much. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 4 Thank 5 you. So do we have someone here from Infrastructure 6 Canada? 7 8 OPENING STATEMENT BY INFRASTRUCTURE CANADA: 9 MS. PHOEBE MILES: Good morning, Madam 10 Chair and members of the panel, and everybody here. Μv 11 name is Phoebe Miles. I am a senior environmental 12 review and approvals officer with Infrastructure 13 Canada. I'm here on my own, so I have nobody to 14 introduce this morning. 15 The department provides funding to 16 support and enhance the development of public 17 infrastructure in Canada through capital investments in 18 infrastructure projects. Budget 2011 allocated \$150 19 million to support the construction of the Inuvik to 20 Tuktoyaktuk highway in partnership with the government 21 of Northwest Territories, the Inuvialuit Regional 22 Corporation, and local communities. 23 Subject to the outcome of the 24 environmental assessment process, Infrastructure Canada 25 will administer the federal contribution to the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

project. Infrastructure Canada is a responsible 1 authority under the Canadian Environmental Assessment 2 Act as well as a government authority under the 3 Inuvialuit final agreement. 4 5 We will lead the coordination of the 6 Government of Canada's single response to the panel's 7 report and look forward to working with the Government 8 of Northwest Territories and partners in the -- in the 9 future of the project. Thanks. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: The 11 representative from Parks Canada...? 12 13 OPENING STATEMENT BY PARKS CANADA: 14 MS. ADRIANE BACHESCHI: Okay. Hi. My 15 name is Adriane Bacheschi. And I'm the visitor 16 experience manager for Parks Canada in the Western Arctic. And I'm here together with Jean-Francois 17 18 Bisaillon at the back. And he's the acting site 19 manager for the Pingo Canadian landmark. 20 And as Parks Canada representative, 21 we're basically here because our interests in the 22 proposed highway have to do with the Pingo Canadian 23 landmark, which is just outside -- it's just very --24 the boundary is very close to the proposed highway. 25 And it's just related to our management

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

responsibilities of the Pingo Canadian landmark and 1 potential impacts to the cultural and natural resources 2 within the Pingo Canadian landmark. Thank you. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 4 Do we 5 have a representative from Transport Canada? 6 7 OPENING STATEMENT BY TRANSPORT CANADA: 8 MR. DALE KIRKLAND: Good morning, Madam 9 Chair, panel members, Elders, ladies, and gentlemen. 10 My name is Dale Kirkland. I'm the regional manager of 11 environmental services for Transport Canada in the 12 Prairie and Northern region. 13 With me here today is Mr. Doug Soloway, 14 who is the superintendent of an environmental 15 assessment program in the North. Transport Canada is 16 responsible for transportation policies and programs 17 that promote all parts of the transportation system to 18 work effectively and in an integrated manner so as to 19 provide Canadians with a sustainable transportation 20 system that is safe, secure, efficient and 21 environmentally responsible. 22 Within our mandate and as an Intervenor 23 in these proceedings, the Navigable Waters Protection Act is a principal statute applicable to the highway 24 25 project. Under the Navigable Waters Protection Act

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Transport Canada approves proposed development for any 1 works built in, on, over, under, through or across any 2 navigable waterway to balance the public right to safe 3 navigation and the need to build crossings, such as 4 5 bridges and culverts. 6 Transport Canada has requested that the 7 Developer submit applications to assess the required works in, near, or around navigable waters. 8 The 9 Developer has acknowledged and indicated that the applications will be forthcoming. 10 11 Also within our mandate Transport Canada 12 has the authority to promote public safety during the 13 transportation of dangerous goods in all modes of transport. The transportation of dangerous goods 14 15 regulations has been do -- adopted by all territories, 16 focus on preventing hazardous incidents when dangerous goods are imported, handled, or transported. 17 18 Transport Canada would like to thank you 19 for the opportunity today for the opening statement. And we look forward to continued dialogue and 20 21 cooperation as the review progresses. Thank you. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 23 The Wildlife Management Advisory Council for the you. 24 Northwest Territories...? 25

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 OPENING STATEMENT BY WMAC:

25

2 MR. LARRY CARPENTER: Good morning, Madam -- Madam Chair, distinguished panel members. My 3 4 name is Larry Carpenter. I am the chair of the 5 Wildlife Management Advisory Council for the Northwest 6 Territories. I am Inuvialuit and I come from the 7 Inuvialuit community of Sachs Harbour.

8 I'm here before you today to present the 9 position of a co-management board on the Developer's 10 environmental impact statement for the proposed Inuvik-11 Tuk Highway. I am also here today as a concerned 12 Inuvialuit who wants to see that -- see to it that the 13 requirements of the Inuvialuit Final Agreement, as 14 stated in its first and third principles, to preserve 15 Inuvialuit cultural identity and values within a 16 changing Northern society and to protect and preserve 17 the Arctic wildlife environment and biological 18 productivity, which my father and many other dedicated 19 Inuvialuit, including the present CEO of the Inuvialuit Regional Corporation, negotiated faithfully for many 20 21 years until agreed to by government and Inuvialuit, are ful -- fulfilled in this review. 22 23 The pos -- position of the WMAC-NWT is 24 on the record of this environmental impact review as respecting the failure of this Developer to produce an

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

adequate environmental impact statement for their
 proposed road, as requested by the EIRB.

3 I want to emphasize to you that the WMAC-NWT noted and expressed their concerns over the 4 5 shortcomings in the Developer's environmental impact 6 statement and their need for further information and -and analysis over a year ago at the very beginning of 7 8 this review. This was during the conformity review 9 stage. We continued to make the same request during the technical review stage, during the information 10 request stage, and finally during the recent technical 11 12 hearings held a few weeks ago in August.

I am now very disappointed to be sitting in front of you at the final review stage and having to report to you at -- that at no time over the last year of this review has the Developer adequately addressed the shortcomings in that EIS for information and analysis, as requested by the WMAC and as required by the terms of reference for this review.

I also feel it is important to tell you that the WMAC's concerns over the lack of adequate information and analysis in the -- in this EIS was further confirmed at -- was further confirmed at the end of the technical hearing in August, when the federal agencies of the Department of Fisheries and

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Oceans, Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada, and the Canadian Wildlife Service all stated that they did not have the necessary information from the Developer to carry out their regulatory responsibilities to manage this proposed road construction.

7 The Inuvialuit support development. The environmental impact review process in the IFA was 8 designed as a way in which we could have this 9 10 development while at the same time ensuring that such 11 development occurred in a controlled and sustainable 12 manner. It -- it's the responsibility of the EIRB and, 13 therefore, your responsibility to ensure that this 14 environmental impact review process is properly and 15 fully carried out.

16 In light of you responsibility for this pro -- process, I must also bring to your attention the 17 18 concerns of the WMAC over any precedents that could 19 result from the decisions of the EIRB on this review, 20 as they would affect future environmental impact 21 reviews in this region and, ultimately, the protection of our environment and Inuvialuit culture. 22 23 Development is coming to the West Niarg 24 (phonetic) region, and as this is the first EIRB 25 environmental review that I am aware of that must deal

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

with cumulative effects on harvested species in a 1 worst-case scenario, the decisions of the EIRB for this 2 proposal will be used as a precedent for all future 3 4 developments in the region. 5 Furthermore, given that the Developer for this proposal is government, the quality of this --6 7 of their proposal will also be used by all future developers, from industry as prop -- as the baseline 8 9 standard for their own proposals. 10 Given the poor quality of this proposal, 11 as the chair of the WMAC-NWT I have great concerns over 12 their precedents that may be set by this review and how 13 those precedents will affect the ability of the WMAC-14 NWT to fulfill its obligations under the IFA to advise on the protection of the land and its wildlife. As an 15 16 Inuvialuit the quality of this proposal leaves me even 17 more concerned for the future of my children and my 18 land. 19 In closing, I want to emphasize I do 20 support development, and look forward to the economical 21 benefits that come along with properly managed 22 development. It's only through a good economic future 23 that Inuvialuit can accomplish the second principle of 24 the IFA, which is to enable Inuvialuit to be equal and

25 meaningful participants in the northern and national

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

economy, and society. 1 2 But I do not want to see this economic future occur at the costs of our land and our culture. 3 I therefore look forward to the decisions of the EIRB 4 5 on this Developer's environmental impact statement. 6 Thank you. 7 8 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: That 11 concludes the introductory remarks. We're a little bit 12 in advance, but I would expect that, Jim, you'd like to 13 do your presentation all together and not break it up. 14 Is that...? 15 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, it's at 16 your pleasure. We can start with Russell Neudorf, if 17 you wish, and then take a break, followed by Mayor 18 Rodgers. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: All 20 right. 21 22 OPENING STATEMENT BY DEPUTY MINISTER RUSSELL NEUDORF: 23 DEPUTY MINISTER RUSSELL NEUDORF: Madam 24 Chair, members of the panel, panel experts, and ladies 25 and gentlemen, good morning. My name is Russell

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

I'm the Deputy Minister of Transportation for Neudorf. 1 the Government of the Northwest Territories. 2 3 The Department of Transportation is part of the Developer team proposing to construct an all-4 5 weather highway connecting the communities of Inuvik and Tuktoyaktuk. It is a pleasure to be here in Inuvik 6 7 to provide some opening remarks to the review panel. 8 I am accompanied this morning by another 9 representative of the Developer team, the Mayor of Inuvik, Mr. Denny Rodgers, who will follow me with 10 11 additional opening remarks. 12 I will begin by providing the panel with 13 a snapshot of the history of the highway, and then 14 focus on aspects of environmental protection and preparedness the Developer has considered in our 15 16 proposal to construct this important piece of 17 infrastructure. 18 An all-weather highway linking the two 19 (2) communities is a goal first envisioned by the Town of Inuvik, the Hamlet of Tuk, and residents of the 20 21 Inuvialuit settlement region as far back as the 1960s. 22 The federal government of the day recognized how 23 essential Northern transportation infrastructure is, 24 vital even, for the country to access the resources of 25 the North. Annual federal expenditures in new roads in

the NWT peaked at about \$70 million in 1990 dollars. 1 2 Although a number of dom -- domestic issues turned federal attention away from the North, 3 the ambition of Northerners to construct an all-weather 4 5 highway to the Arctic coast did not diminish. After 6 the Department of Transportation was created in 1989, the government reviewed earlier studies, collected 7 information, consulted the communities, and reexamined 8 9 the previously established highway alignment. 10 Comprehensive reports, including a 11 transportation strategy and environmental and 12 socioeconomic baseline report, were favourable toward 13 pursuing the Inuvik-Tuk Highway as a strategic goal for 14 the NWT. 15 The GNWT included the highway in a 16 number of strategic funding proposals to Canada that 17 lead to a renewed federal interest in developing 18 infrastructure in the North through cost-shared funding 19 such as the Build Canada Plan. 20 This funding program lead to the construction of a 19 kilometre all-weather access road 21 from Tuk south to Gravel Source 177. This road is on 22 23 the all-weather highway's proposed alignment. We have 24 heard that local residents called it the "happy road" 25 because it brought jobs and economic development into

the region. Completed in 2010, the Hamlet and 1 residents are using the road to access granular 2 material and other recreational opportunities to access 3 -- and access to the land, contributing to a better 4 5 quality of life. 6 Now, in partnership with the Hamlet of Tuk, the Town of Inuvik, the Government of Canada, and 7 8 residents of the Beaufort Delta, the Department of 9 Transportation proposes to build a 137 kilometre allweather road linking Inuvik to Tuktoyaktuk. 10 11 The highway will be the first all-12 weather road to the Arctic Ocean, producing substantial 13 benefits at the national, regional, and local levels. It will be the foundation for strengthening co --14 15 connections between Inuvik and Tuk and enabling 16 increased economic opportunities. 17 It will reinforce Canadian sovereignty 18 objectives. It will help to reduce the cost of living 19 while providing residents with employment, training, and business opportunities. Residents will receive the 20 21 benefit of less expensive access to regional services, such as healthcare, education and recreational 22 23 facilities. 24 It will promote the tourism and 25 hospitality industries because Tuktoyaktuk will become

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

the first Canadian community on the Arctic coast
 accessible by an all-weather road.

3 For the Department of Transportation, constructing the highway is a channel to mitigate 4 5 infrastructure vulnerabilities while adapting to the 6 effects of changing climate. The variable weather means we can no longer predict the duration of the ice 7 road season, further emphasizing the stability provided 8 9 by an all-weather highway. Success for the Department means delivering the highest integrity and in our 10 11 endeavours. Integrity is our commitment to do things 12 right to the very best of our ability the first time 13 and every time.

Toward achieving that commitment, the Department of Transportation will construct the Inuvik to Tuktoyaktuk highway in a manner that minimizes its impact on the environment.

18 To make good on our commitment the 19 Department is working with communities, Aboriginal 20 governments and organizations, stakeholders, and 21 regulators to ensure the design will manage the 22 project's environmental footprint in the most 23 reasonable and responsible manner possible. 24 We have integrated social, 25 environmental, and economic considerations into all

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 aspects of the highway proposal, and assembled a highly 2 qualified team of professionals from the public and 3 private sectors to deliver the project.

The Department will draw upon its 4 5 considerable expertise in managing large complex 6 construction projects, such as the Dehcho bridge, 7 scheduled to open this year, with a clean record for 8 environmental management. We will rely upon our 9 management experience and capacity to operate, 10 maintain, repair and reconstruct our existing 11 transportation network that consists of 2,200 12 kilometres of existing all-weather highways, 1,425 13 kilometres of win -- seasonal winter roads, ninety-14 three (93) bridges, two hundred and forty-four (244) 15 large culverts, twenty-seven (27) airports, and five (5) ferries. 16

17 The Department has a proven track record 18 for managing infrastructure with environmental 19 integrity. We fully intend to instill the same degree 20 of commitment toward maintaining the additional 137 21 kilometres of all-weather highway to the same high 22 standard. 23 To protect the permafrost rich terrain

23 along the proposed alignment the department will follow
25 the Transportation Association of Canada's 2010

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

publication titled, "Guidelines for Development and 1 2 Management of Transportation Infrastructure in Permafrost Regions, " which provides a process and 3 practical examples relative to embankment design for 4 5 road infrastructure on permafrost. 6 The highway design includes key 7 mitigation features to prevent permafrost thaw in areas 8 where ice rich terrain cannot be avoided, including 9 selecting the appropriate embankment height and side slope ratio for the specific type of terrain, efficient 10 11 drainage to ensure the flow of water avoids or 12 minimizes the formation of ponds, and appropriate use 13 of drainage structures, including proper end treatments 14 for culverts, such as erosion control and drainage 15 aprons. 16 The Department will not use the typical cut and fill technique for building highways for this 17 18 project. Instead, fill material would be placed onto 19 geotextile fabric between the existing ground and the 20 base of the highway to prevent the migration of 21 granular materials. This method will help ensure sensitive permafrost conditions below the road surface 22 will not be disturbed. 23 24 As to locating granular materials, our 25 initial estimates indicate approximately 4.5 million

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 cubic metres of gravel will be required to build the 2 highway. Geotechnical investigations conducted last 3 winter and supplemental investigations this summer have 4 identified sufficient volume and quality of borrow 5 sources along the alignment to construct and operate 6 the highway.

7 With these results, we have identified 8 enough gravel to construct the highway with enough 9 material remaining to provide for the long-term needs 10 of communities and industry.

11 The Department has been working closely 12 with regulators to ensure we have fulfilled all 13 baseline data requirements. It's a long list that 14 includes community consultations; aerial photography 15 and surveys; terrain and geotechnical studies; fish 16 habitat studies; vegetation baseline studies; wildlife 17 surveys, including birds and grizzly bear habitat; an 18 archeological impact assessment; a hydrological 19 assessment; and preliminary bridge design for all stream crossings and design work for the initial 20 21 construction stages. 22 We have supplemented the studies by

23 collecting traditional knowledge, another vital aspect 24 of responsible project development, through workshops 25 both in Inuvik and Tuktoyaktuk.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 The Department's submission to 2 regulators evaluates potential risks of the project design and includes mitigation measures to ensure 3 environmental protection. Our submission includes 4 5 strategies to avoid affecting wildlife, habitat, and 6 traditional harvesting through highway design, route 7 options, construction timing, and management. The GNWT will continue collaborating 8 9 with various co-management boards within the Inuvialuit settlement region to manage fisheries and wildlife 10 11 issues that may result from the highway development. 12 During the highway's construction and 13 operation phases, the department will implement monitoring plans to track biophysical and socioeconomic 14 15 effects, as well as regulatory compliance. Follow-up 16 monitoring during the operation phase is -- is expected 17 to be led by NWT natural resource agencies in 18 cooperation with joint management committees and the 19 hunters and trappers committees. 20 Routine highway patrols will help manage 21 and reduce the risk of highway incidents during the 22 operation phase. Maintenance will include the use of 23 water as a dust suppressant. 24 Detailed technical descriptions of our 25 planned activities are filed with regulators and

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

account for an enormous quantity of information on the 1 public registry. We are grateful to the review panel, 2 stakeholders, and the public for investing considerable 3 time and effort in reviewing the volume of information 4 5 provided by the Department. 6 Our team is looking forward to 7 responding to some insightful questions we anticipate from parties, panel staff, panel members, and the 8 9 public. 10 As to the socioeconomic impact of the 11 project, the Department is confident the Government of the Northwest Territories has sufficient core programs 12 13 and services to manage and mitigate socioeconomic 14 impacts the project may have in the region. 15 As mentioned by Mr. Stevens in the 16 Developer's introductions, the representatives of 17 various government departments are present at these 18 hearings and are prepared to discuss how the GNWT means 19 to balance economic growth and social development using 20 existing legislation, regulations, and programs to 21 satisfy requirements. 22 Since the outset of the project, the 23 Developer has included -- used an inclusive partnership 24 approach whereby communities' and residents' input has 25 been fully considered in project planning.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 This approach has led to valuable comments on the importance of Husky Lakes, alignment 2 options, and management of access to the land. It will 3 also serve to maintain long-lasting relationships built 4 5 on mutual trust and respect, qualities that are 6 imperative to managing large projects using a 7 partnership approach. 8 The proposed highway construction 9 project is generating much excitement among residents of the Beaufort Delta with prospects of a higher 10 11 standard of living, increased access and mobility, job 12 creation, and economic development on the horizon. 13 Our goal is to manage this public 14 infrastructure project in a manner that upholds the Department's integrity. When complete, the additional 15 16 137 kilometres will be managed with the same environmental integrity as the existing 2,200 17 18 kilometres of all-weather highway.

19 The Department of Transportation will 20 continue to receive and consider communities' and 21 residents' input as we move forward toward advancing 22 the aspiration that Northerners have held for decades, 23 to build Can -- build a highway connecting Canada to 24 the Arctic coast. 25 Thank you for your kind attention.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

These are but a few comments, and we do look forward to 1 receiving comments from panel members and other 2 participants in this public review. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 4 Thank 5 you. 6 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, is --7 does time permit Mayor Rodgers to proceed at this time? 8 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I -- I 9 believe there's time for him to go ahead. So, Mayor 10 Rodgers, go ahead. 11 12 OPENING STATEMENT BY MAYOR DENNY RODGERS: 13 MAYOR DENNY RODGERS: Thank you. Good 14 morning, my name is Denny Rodgers. I'm the Mayor for 15 the Town of Inuvik. I certainly welcome Madam Chair, 16 members of the panel, visiting guests, and people in 17 our community. We -- we hope you get out and take 18 advantage of some of the amenities we have here and the 19 warm hospitality. Those who have been here before, I 20 know you -- you know that, and those if it's your first 21 time, please -- please get out in the community and --22 and talk to some people. 23 I'd also like to recognize Mayor Merven 24 Gruben, who is here with us, and the Proponent as well. 25 And I appreciate his passion for this project and hard

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 work before I became Mayor of Inuvik and got involved 2 in this project. I'd like to thank Minister Ramsay as 3 well, and Deputy Minister Neudorf, and Jim and the 4 staff and the dedicated group that's been working on 5 this project and putting countless hours in to -- to 6 move it forward.

7 The Deputy Minister had outlined the -the importance of -- of the environmental protection 8 9 work that has to be done for a project of this size. I won't get into that detail, other than to -- to 10 reiterate and say it's very important that when we are 11 12 building a project of this size, that -- that, 13 obviously, we do it right and we do it properly. 14 When I became mayor three (3) years ago, 15

15 they had begun, I guess, the project description report 16 for this project that -- just about complete. We did 17 get it, I think, in my first year -- first year in the 18 term. And so I brought it to my town council and said, 19 you know, This project is something that we could see 20 as a reality in the next few years. Obviously, it's 21 going to require a lot of funding.

I got tremendous support from town council. I also spoke with the current CEO of Inuvialuit Regional Corp. and said, Look, we're going to -- to try and do some -- try and find some funding

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

for this project now. The PDR has been completed, now 1 2 we have to -- now we have to find funding to build it. 3 To do that, we decided -- we got some funding from the Canadian Northern Economic Ass -- from 4 5 CanNor to -- to actually produce a DVD. And it's -- if 6 I can share a small anecdote or story with you on how we actually approached the federal government to put 7 funding into this project. 8 9 We -- we received twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) from CanNor. We actually brought a -10 - a gentleman who has a -- produces films in 11 12 Yellowknife to Inuvik, flew him on a -- on a helicopter 13 of the proposed route; got some testimonials from 14 current leadership in our community, as well as the current CEO from IRC; myself; Merven Gruben; a member 15 16 of our town council, and took this DVD, produced it, 17 and basically took it to -- to Ottawa to the PMO, to 18 Infrastructure Canada, to P3 Canada, to Senator 19 Patterson's office, just to let people know exactly 20 what we were talking about here. 21 We knew there was an interest, certainly 22 nationally, in the North. There's an interest in 23 sovereignty and in -- in climate change and those 24 studies and -- and what's happening in the North. And 25 we thought that if we actually give them an opportunity

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 to look at what the proposal was up here, we'd have a
2 better chance of receiving some funding for this
3 project.

Obviously, that -- not only because of 4 5 that reason, but obviously that was successful. In the 6 -- in the budget that followed Speech from the Throne, the Prime Minister dedicated \$150 million for this 7 project, which is very significant, obviously, for a 8 9 territory of our size. Given that the, I think, 10 capital budget for the territorial government is 125 11 million, 150 million coming in is very significant, you know, economically for this region. 12

I spoke with a lot of people in the community, as I do on a regular basis. I've -- many, many visitors come into the Mayor's office. And, you know, people were generally very excited about the prospect of this.

Obviously, it's very quiet in this region. It has been for the past two (2) or three (3) years. There has not been a lot of activity. And a project such as this will -- will put food on people's tables, will put people to work. And -- and that was obviously something that people were very excited about.

25

Many people in this room, and certainly

many residents of Inuvik and Tuktoyaktuk, have driven 1 the Dempster Highway. If they haven't driven it, 2 certainly they've relied upon it to -- to bring goods 3 and services in here that we can afford. 4 5 You know, that now, with an all-weather 6 road to Tuktoyaktuk, will also give the community of 7 Tuktoyaktuk an opportunity to take advantage of that, as well, year round, to have goods and services 8 9 provided certainly in a more economical -- a better economical situation than they are now, when you have 10 11 to fly that -- that food in there and whatnot. 12 Obviously, this is a project about 13 people. I mean, people will benefit form the work. People will benefit from the reduced costs. And 14 15 Canadians will benefit. Tourists will benefit from 16 being able now to drive from sea to sea to sea, to --17 to come up to Inuvik, to drive into Tuk, to -- to, you 18 know, dip their toe in the Arctic Ocean, which many, 19 many Canadians would like to do. 20 We -- we have many visitors in Inuvik 21 obviously drive here from all parts of North America, and -- and I've had several comments from -- from 22 23 visitors. We do a tourist of the week here, where we 24 go out and actually meet visitors that come to our 25 community. And a lot would -- would like to be able to

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

continue on to the -- to the Arctic coast. 1 Unfortunately, they can't do that in their -- in their 2 RVs at this time. And certainly that would be a 3 benefit, I think, to -- to them, and to the hospitality 4 5 industry, as well, in -- in Tuktoyaktuk. 6 So I -- I actually have a copy of the -the DVD, and I'd like to thank Madam Chair for -- for 7 allowing me to share this with -- with everyone here at 8 9 the break. You'll note in the DVD it's -- again, it's 10 some beautiful scenery. It shows the infrastructure that's in place to -- to build this road; as I said, 11 12 testimonials from -- from myself, from IRC, from the --13 from the leadership of Tuktoyaktuk as well. 14 And I encourage everyone if you do have 15 -- it's just a six (6) minute DVD. If you do have some 16 time in the break to -- to take a look at this, I think 17 you'll -- you'll find it interesting. And I can 18 certainly provide copies too for anyone who -- who has 19 an interest in -- in seeing it. 20 So in closing I just -- again, thank you 21 very much for coming here. I'd like to thank --22 recognize the work that everyone has done on this 23 project. I look forward to working with everyone and seeing this project come to fruition in the near 24 25 future. Thank you.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 2 you, Mayor Rodgers. Yes, Jim? 3 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, we just 4 have one (1) short item, if you would entertain that, 5 please. 6 We'll do it right after the break then. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: No, no --8 MR. JIM STEVENS: Oh, okay. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Now? 10 11 PRESENTATION BY THE DEVELOPER: 12 MR. JIM STEVENS: Please, and thank 13 vou. The Developer would like to advise the panel of 14 an erratum related to material sources. The Developer 15 previously provided to the EIRB the response to 16 Information Request from the technical sessions regarding material sources, and it's EIRB registry file 17 18 number 283-1, September 10th, 2012. 19 This erratum is filed to adjust the 20 information presented in the response to IR number TS-21 2, Table TS-2-1, "Estimated Material Requirements for 22 the Fifty (50) Year Period." 23 An omission was made in the presentation 24 of the estimated total quantity of material required 25 for operation of the highway for fifty (50) years.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

This erratum does not change our position that we have 1 sufficient materials to construct and operate the 2 highway. Thank you. 3 4 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Do you 5 have a copy of that material with you? If you could 6 provide it to the panel, we'll speak with our legal 7 counsel and -- and look at it during the break. 8 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, we do 9 have copies. 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: So I 14 think this probably is a good time to take a fifteen 15 (15) minute break. And during that break we'll 16 actually give people the opportunity to see Mayor Rodgers' video, and -- and there's coffee and tea at 17 18 the back. 19 20 --- Upon recessing at 10:03 a.m. 21 --- Upon resuming at 10:29 a.m. 22 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: If everyone could 24 return to their seats. Mayor Rodgers for the break 25 presentation and entertainment, and I think people

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

enjoyed the video. Thank you. 1 2 We -- we've had two (2) documents, one (1) -- one (1) that the Developer presented and there 3 was another document from the Town of Inuvik. And I 4 5 think what we're going to do, is if we could ask the 6 Developer to actually distribute their erratum document to all of the registered parties. And if you have 7 extra copies, you can put them on the table at the back 8 9 for other people. 10 And we will ask if there's any 11 objections to filing this as an exhibit, and we'll deal 12 with that after lunch. Similarly, there will be copies 13 available of the presentation from the Town of Inuvik, and they'll be distributed as well, and we'll -- we'll 14 15 deal with it as an exhibit after lunch as well. Just 16 ask a question. Okay. 17 18 (BRIEF PAUSE) 19 20 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yeah, I 21 apologize. It was from the Inuvik Community 22 Corporation, and that document will be circulated as 23 well. So I think we're at a point, Jim, if you'd like 24 to continue with your presentation, we will continue 25 on.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, with the indication of the erratum sheet, that was the end of 2 our presentation. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: So we'll 4 5 then continue. You're ready, then, to move on to 6 questions from the parties and the public? Okay. 7 MR. JIM STEVENS: We're ready, Madam Chair. 8 9 10 QUESTION PERIOD: 11 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. So 12 if I can go through as it's laid out in the agenda, 13 very similar to what we did in the opening remarks. Ι will start with Aboriginal Affairs and Northern 14 15 Development Canada and see if there's any questions for 16 the Developer on their presentation. No questions? 17 Okay, thank you. And please identify yourself, Conrad, 18 before you start. 19 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Sorry. Conrad Baetz 20 with Aboriginal Affairs. We have no questions at this 21 time for the Developer. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, 23 thank you. DFO...? 24 MS. AMANDA JOYNT: Amanda Joynt with 25 DFO. We have no questions at this time.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 1 Sorry. 2 Environment Canada...? 3 DR. JAMES HODSON: Thank you. This is James Hodson with Environment Canada. I have a couple 4 5 of questions for the Developer about their cumulative 6 effects supplement information that was provided. 7 My first question was about Table 1 of this document, which provided footprints from all of 8 9 the projects that they included in the assessment. And it says that, "It is with no overlaps." 10 11 So I was looking for a clarification of 12 whether that means overlap was accounted for in those 13 numbers or if that is just the footprint for each 14 project individually, and then the sum of all of those 15 individual footprints with no overlap. So that's my 16 first question. Thank you. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 18 you. Jim, should I direct the questions to you and...? 19 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, Rick 20 Hoos will respond to that. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 22 you. 23 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 24 Kiggiak-EBA, on behalf of the Developer. Forgive me 25 while I just quickly look up the information that was

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

provided just to make sure that I answer the question 1 2 as appropriately as possible. 3 4 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 MR. RICK HOOS: I would like to check 7 my answer that I will give momentarily with our GIS folks, but certainly my understanding of the table 8 9 provided is -- this is Table 1. It identifies the proposed -- the project footprints of -- of the 10 11 highway, the borrow sites that are associated with the 12 highway, project footprints associated with the 13 existing buried Ikhil pipeline, as well as project footprints of a number of other proposed developments 14 15 that may occur in the area of interest, including all 16 components of the Mackenzie gas project and the 17 currently proposed South Parsons Lake gas field 18 project. 19 The -- the footprints of each of these 20 projects has been calculated, and they have been identified to come up with a cumulative total of area 21 22 that would be covered by each of these projects. 23 I notice that the table says, "No 24 overlaps," but I -- my understanding is that it -- it 25 cumulates the total of all the footprints as well as

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

the footprints with the potential 1-kilometre zone of 1 influence buffer around them. 2 So I believe it covers all of the 3 projects and their -- and the buffer zones around them. 4 5 It's a cumulative total, in other words. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Does that 6 7 satisfy your question? 8 DR. JAMES HODSON: Thank you. James 9 Hodson, with Environment Canada. Maybe just a followup. So if I understand, if you were to amalgamate all 10 of the footprints that you would see, for example, in 11 12 Figure 1, and took a combined total, that total that's 13 prese -- presented in Table 1, if you didn't account 14 for the overlap between all those different footprints, 15 that could actually be an overestimate of the total 16 amount of area that would be affected by all of these projects? 17 18 19 (BRIEF PAUSE) 20 21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Mr. 22 Hoos...? 23 MR. RICK HOOS: Sorry, Madam Chair. 24 Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. I was just caucusing with my 25 colleague behind. And we haven't overcalculated the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

total area. We -- we did remove from this calculation 1 the area where there was essentially a duplicate 2 footprint to make sure that we covered the whole 3 4 footprints for the projects. 5 There are also two (2) other separate 6 tables at the back of the set of tables. These would be Tables 9 and Tables 10, which specifically 7 concentrate on the actual areas of potential overlap 8 9 between the proposed highway project and other proposed 10 and existing projects. 11 And that's for both the -- in particular 12 the Ikhil gas pipeline, which -- which does run 13 alongside -- it's a buried pipeline, but it runs 14 alongside the proposed highway corridor in the southern end for about 5 kilometres and the -- possibly 15 16 foreseeable future Mackenzie gas project, which does 17 cross the proposed highway at one (1) location just 18 north of Inuvik and also can interact potentially with 19 some of the development activities associated with the 20 Parsons Lake gas field. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Any 22 further questions? 23 DR. JAMES HODSON: Yes, thank you, 24 Madam Chair. James Hodson with Environment Canada. Ι 25 have a question about Table 2 in this document.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 The total footprint that you provide for the borrow sources for the highway project gives a 2 total of 2,325 hectares. But if I look at Table 6 of 3 4 the vegetation and wildlife habitat suitability 5 calculations report that was provided on August 31st, 6 only considering the borrow sources, the same borrow sources that were included in Table 2 of the cumulative 7 effects supplement, it would actually give a total of 8 9 1,290 hectares. 10 So I was wondering if the Developer 11 could explain the discrepancy between those two (2) 12 numbers so I can better understand the calculations. 13 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 14 Kiqqiak-EBA, and James, of Environment Canada. Yes, 15 you were right in -- in noticing a difference between 16 what was submitted before September 4th, which was the deadline for submittal of documentation to the Board. 17 18 Following that date, in consultations 19 with Kavik-Stantec folks we recognize that the numbers 20 we had provided for the borrow sources in the table 21 that you're looking at were significantly inflated over 22 the somewhat considerably smaller numbers that were the 23 basis of the work that Kavik-Stantec had done. 24 It was for that very reason that we then 25 submitted an erratum to the Board.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

	54
1	(BRIEF PAUSE)
2	
3	MR. RICK HOOS: Sorry, on on
4	September 12th we sub submitted an erratum, which we
5	understand may not have been put on the public
6	registry. But it was an erratum to correct our
7	overestimate of the potential size of borrow sites.
8	And hence, we revised the tables and the
9	figures and the actual figure number that we then
10	came up with for the area of the proposed borrow
11	sources which I should point out is still larger,
12	considerably larger than it likely will be in in
13	reality when these sites are developed it did come
14	down to 1,305 hectares, which I believe is within about
15	4 or 5 hectares of the number that you were quoting
16	from the Kavik-Stantec report.
17	And that difference had to do with
18	taking out some of the water that might have been
19	associated with some of those borrow sites.
20	
21	(BRIEF PAUSE)
22	
23	THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Quest
24	other questions or clarification?
25	DR. JAMES HODSON: Yes. I was

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

wondering -- sorry, James Hodson, with Environment 1 Canada again. Now that you've removed borrow source 2 PW-2 from the project application, how does it affect 3 your plans for construction to proceed with 4 5 construction of the highway from both the north and 6 south ends concurrently, as you'd originally described 7 in Section 2.7.1 EIS? Thank you. 8 9 (BRIEF PAUSE) 10 11 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, Robyn 12 McGregor will respond to that. 13 MS. ROBYN MCGREGOR: Thank you, Madam 14 Chair, members of the panel. Robyn McGregor, Kiggiak-15 EBA. The question is -- is: With removal of Public 16 Works to material source at the south end near Navy Road, how does that impact the Developer's discussion 17 18 of our proposal to construct the highway from both the north and the south end? 19 20 It doesn't change that proposal. The 21 Developer's intention is still to construct the highway from both the north and the south end. Construction 22 23 from the south end will require winter road 24 construction as planned, to the next available material 25 source, and hauling material from that source to begin

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

construction. 1 2 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you. Further questions...? 3 DR. JAMES HODSON: Thank you. James 4 5 Hodson, with Environment Canada. Are any other borrow 6 sources being considered at this time to replace Public Works 2? And, if so, how might that affect the 7 footprint of the project? 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. McGregor...? 10 MS. ROBYN MCGREGOR: Thank you, Madam Chair -- Chair. Robyn McGregor, Kiggiak-EBA. No other 11 12 borrow sources are being considered at this time to 13 replace Public Works 2. 14 15 (BRIEF PAUSE) 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Further 18 questions...? 19 DR. JAMES HODSON: Thank you. James 20 Hodson, with Environment Canada again. Just to go back 21 to the cumulative effects assessment. You said in your 22 -- your September 4th supplement submission that you 23 would be pleased to discuss the implications of the new 24 documentation provided at the public hearings today. 25 So I'd just like you to elaborate on the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

results that you provided in the report and how they 1 might affect your conclusions about cumulative effects 2 on species at risk and other wildlife, if you could. 3 4 Thank you. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 6 you. Jim...? 7 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos will respond to that. 8 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos 13 of Kiqqiak-EBA. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Just -- I 15 guess we're into areas where there's nothing on our records. So we need to sort of determine what the 16 basis of this information is, because nobody's had the 17 18 opportunity to actually review the information or -- or 19 respond to it. 20 MR. RICK HOOS: Yes, Madam Chair, that 21 is entirely correct. And it -- it was an issue that we 22 thought about. We were -- we -- we fully appreciated 23 that in submitting the -- the footprint numbers, the 24 quantified footprint numbers, and the quantified 25 potential zone of influence numbers in the form of

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

tables and figures to the Board, initially on September 1 2 4th and subsequently as an erratum on September 12th. 3 We recognized that we had not, at that point, provided the -- the supplemental or updated 4 5 cumulative effects analysis that would normally be associated with submittal of those tables and figures. 6 7 But we did feel it was important to get on the public record the data set that we were working with. 8 9 It's fair to say that the updated or 10 supplemental cumulative effects assessment is still 11 currently in preparation. It was our hope that at some 12 point in time, as dictated or deemed appropriate by the 13 Board, that we could table the updated su -- or, 14 supplemental cumulative effects assessment with the 15 Board and the public for their consideration, but that would be a decision of the Board. 16 17 At this point, we would be pleased to 18 just highlight, if the Board found it acceptable, some 19 of the -- the basic quantified representations of what these footprints are of both the highway and other 20 21 proposed possible projects. We could do that. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Sorry. Yes, go ahead. Oh, sorry. 23 24 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Excuse me, Madam Chair, it's -- it's John Donihee, Board counsel. The -2 - the problem that we're running into, and Mr. Hoos 3 knows -- knows it, is that a number of the Intervenors 4 5 have prepared their submissions to the Board on the 6 basis of the information that was available to them as of the 4th of September. 7 8 And, you know, we got the supplementary 9 -- supplemental cumulative effects assessment 10 documentation on the 4th of September. And -- and questions have emerged about that. But there's really 11 12 no explanation of what that means on the record at this 13 point. And let -- let me simply say that any 14 explanation that -- that Mr. Hoos gives and -- would 15 have an effect on the positions of all the other 16 parties. And that creates a bit of a problem from a 17 fairness standpoint, I think, for -- for the panel. 18 And so I'm not sure what to suggest 19 other -- perhaps, Mr. Hoos, you could tell the panel 20 whether or not you have any -- you have a document 21 that's available to explain what you are about to 22 launch into in -- in response to Dr. Hodson's question, 23 because if you have that document, we could circulate 24 it to the parties and, you know, they could respond 25 later in -- in the proceeding.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 But if all you're going to do is provide oral testimony by way of explanation, that makes it 2 very difficult for the other parties to respond to. 3 4 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 7 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, one moment, please. 8 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MR. RICK HOOS: Okay, Madam Chair, Rick 13 Hoos, Kiqqiak-EBA. John, I am certainly reading from a current draft of the documentation that we've been 14 15 trying to put together. The -- the port -- the part of -- of what I was going to read out simply relates to 16 the relatively small footprint areas relative to the 17 18 cumulative effects study area that the highway and 19 these other proposed projects actually represent, and, 20 in particular, in relation to the areas of potential 21 overlap, they are even smaller. 22 And they are actually -- the numbers are 23 drawn directly from the table. And so that's all I was 24 going to actually read out at this point. We've -we've done a cumulative effects assessment. We stand 25

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

by the cumulative effects assessment that's in the EIS. 1 2 We acknowledge that it was not as quantitative an assessment as some parties would like 3 to have seen. We felt that what we had done was 4 5 adequate for the purpose of a cumulative effects assessment on the basis that there are, at this point 6 in time, virtually no projects that can interact in any 7 way with the potential residual effects that we've 8 9 predicted for the highway. 10 And most of the proposed projects that 11 may occur in the future seem to be moving further and 12 further away as -- as opposed to coming closer and 13 closer to being realized. 14 So our basic position is, and remains, 15 that the highway itself creates very few -- is pre --16 is predicted to create very minimal potential residual effects on any of the wildlife resources from the 17 18 operation -- from -- sorry, from the construction and 19 operation of the highway. And none of the existing, but limited, mostly buried other developments that are 20 21 in the area are not creating any measurable residual 22 effects. 23 And therefore, we just don't see that 24 there could be any potentially significant res --25 cumulative effects associated with the highway in

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

relation to these other projects that exist. 1 2 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam Chair. John Donihee again. I -- Mr. -- Mr. Hoos, I --3 I understand your position. I quess the issue though 4 5 really is whether or not this new information is going 6 to have some kind of effect on the submissions made by the other parties, which were made in good faith on the 7 basis of the evidence as -- as it stood at -- on 8 9 September the 4th. 10 And, you know, if it is -- if all we're 11 really talking about here is in the margins, I -- I'm 12 not sure whether, you know, it -- it makes much 13 difference. It doesn't sound as though it's changed 14 your position. But from the Board's standpoint the --15 the issue is not really, you know, what -- what the 16 merits of the -- the Developer's position on cumulative 17 effects may or may not be, but rather whether, by 18 allowing new information at this point, they're being 19 fair to the other parties. 20 And from the standpoint of a procedural 21 ruling, Madam Chair, I -- I think certainly our -- if 22 you want to canvass the parties and see if they're okay 23 with the information coming in, that's one (1) way to approach it. It would be better, I think, if there 24 25 were some way of documenting the -- the new information

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

so that they could review it in -- in advance. 1 2 But if that's not possible -- I mean, the -- the difficulty that I see is that the question 3 4 asked by Environment Canada has effects beyond the 5 Environment Canada submission as well, because other of 6 the parties have -- several other of the parties have addressed cumulative effects in their submissions to 7 the Board. 8 9 So I -- my suggestion is if you want to 10 ask the parties if they have any objections, that perhaps the Board would be better informed to make a 11 12 ruling after that point. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 13 Thank 14 We're talking about providing written information you. 15 though in advance for the parties to look at. Is --16 are you saying that you do or you don't have written information? Yeah. Oh, sorry, Dr. -- Mr. Hoos...? 17 18 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 19 Kiggiak-EBA. What we're saying is we do have some 20 draft documentation that -- that I was going to be 21 reading from. It is by no means a complete cumulative 22 -- updated cumulative effects assessment. 23 We were hoping that in the next week or 24 so we would have that finished and available, if it was 25 possible. To -- as -- perhaps as a result of an

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 undertaking or something of that nature, we could table
2 that information and it could still be available to all
3 parties, recognizing that we are actually of course in
4 the hearing process at this time.

5 The reason why we did all this -- and I -- and I must stress it was not a specific undertaking 6 7 coming out of the technical sessions, but it was certainly our sense and understanding at the technical 8 9 sessions that some parties would like to have seen a 10 more qual -- quantitative cumulative effects assessment conducted, particularly for caribou, grizzly, and SARA-11 12 listed species.

13 And we felt that we should attempt to 14 meet that need, and it was for that reason that we 15 prepared the -- the figure showing the various project 16 footprints and provided the updated quantified data on the nature of those footprints, their areas of overlap, 17 18 and the dimensions of those overlaps, et cetera. We 19 realize we are in an awkward position of filing some --20 only the -- the basic data from which the analysis would be undertaken. 21

And frankly, the numbers that I was going to present would -- if -- if that was okay, and it doesn't -- it doesn't need to be so, because the tables are already with the Board, although the erratum

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

itself is not on the registry. 1 The numbers I was going to refer to were 2 simply the footprint numbers in terms of percentages 3 compared to the total footprint areas of the -- let's 4 5 say the cumulative effects study area, indicating that 6 most of these footprint areas are less than half a 7 percent of the cumulative effects study area. 8 But, you know, I don't know what else to 9 say at this point, Madam Chair. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Just give 11 us a second here. 12 13 (BRIEF PAUSE) 14 15 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: We're just going to take a five (5) minute break to discuss 16 what you've proposed. And we'll -- I'd like to consult 17 18 with my other panel members. And we'll -- so just --19 we'll just take five (5) minutes here. 20 21 --- Upon recessing at 11:01 a.m. --- Upon resuming at 11:02 a.m. 22 23 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, 25 thank you for your patience. I think we're ...

1 What the panel has -- I proposing is that I'm going to ask the registered parties if they 2 have any objection to you providing the information 3 verbally now. 4 5 You've made an undertaking to provide it 6 in written form in sort of a more fuller document, and it would have to be received by the parties prior to 7 them having to do their final submissions. 8 9 So if I could ask if there's any 10 objections from the registered parties to them -- the 11 Developer going ahead and giving the information 12 verbally now, with the written documents to follow, 13 before you have to do your final submissions. 14 MS. KARIN TAYLOR: Hi. My name is 15 Karin Taylor. I'm with the Department of Justice 16 Canada. And just to comment on this issue, I think we're okay with this information being put forward 17 18 verbally here today but with the understanding that not 19 everybody's prepared to ask questions or comment on the information here at this hearing and that we want to 20 21 have the ability to comment on the information in those 22 final submissions that we've been asked to put forward 23 as follow-up to the hearing with the new information 24 that's come forward after September fir -- or September 25 4th.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

And I think all of the Government of
 Canada departments would be okay with going forward in
 that manner.

THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 4 Thank 5 you. Just a question of clarification. Are you saying 6 that if the documents are provided in writing, in sort of their full state and not just what we're receiving 7 here today, that you could actually then make your 8 9 comments that you need to make within your final submissions? Or would you like to have a round of 10 11 questions before that? 12 MS. KARIN TAYLOR: I think the parties 13 would be more comfortable with allowing questions in 14 writing between the Developer and the parties prior to 15 final submissions. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. 17 Thank you. 18

19 20

21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Sorry.
22 Any other comments? And you need a microphone?
23 MR. BRUCE HANBIDGE: Good -- good
24 morning. It's -- my name is Bruce Hanbidge, and I am
25 just -- I am the technical consultant for the WMAC.

(BRIEF PAUSE)

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Just speaking to the Chair, it's not acceptable. 1 We don't -- we're being asked to comment on unknown 2 information for an erratum that is not on record. So 3 we're not even sure what we're going to be commenting 4 5 on. And we -- it's not acceptable. Thank you. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Not 7 acceptable to have it presented today? 8 MR. BRUCE HANBIDGE: That's correct. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Just 10 totally out? Okay. Thank you. 11 12 (BRIEF PAUSE) 13 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, I 15 just -- I just want to make sure that I've heard from 16 all of the -- the parties. I understand you represent the Government of Canada parties. 17 18 So, FJMC, do you have any comments or 19 questions on this proposal? 20 MR. DEREK PARKS: Derek Parks, FJMC. 21 Not at this time, because it's more dealing with 22 terrestrial aspects, and we'll leave it to the 23 respective parties. Thank you very much. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: All 25 right. I think then what we'll do is -- we need

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

to actually consider what we've heard from the other 1 parties. So if you have anything more on this, other 2 than the new information, then you can present that. 3 And we'll get back -- we -- we need to talk about this 4 5 and then get back on the proposal that's on the table. 6 I -- I guess the other thing, maybe, before we proceed with this, this is the second erratum 7 that has come up in the proceedings since this morning. 8 9 And I was wondering if there will be any more as the 10 two (2) days progress. We might as well get them all 11 on the table now. 12 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, the --13 the erratum was prepared to provide the most accurate 14 and up-to-date information for the panel's 15 consideration. And at this time, we have no further erratum that will be filed. 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, 18 thank you. Okay. 19 So I don't know, Mr. Hoos, if you have 20 some other things in addition to what you've already 21 said, or I could go back to Dr. Hodson and see if he 22 has some additional questions or clarifications that 23 he'd like to ask. 24 DR. JAMES HODSON: Thank you James 25 Hodson with Environment Canada. We don't have any more

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 questions at this time. Thanks. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 3 you. 4 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: We'll 7 just continue on with the questioning, and we'll come 8 back to the issue at hand after lunch. 9 10 So, FJMC, any questions? None? Sorry, 11 we need you on the record with your name and your ... 12 MR. DEREK PARKS: Derek Parks, FJMC. 13 No, we do not have any questions at this time. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Parks 15 Canada...? 16 MR. JEAN-FRANCOIS BISAILLON: Jean-17 Francois Bisaillon, Parks Canada. We don't have any 18 comments. Thank you. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Transport 20 Canada...? 21 22 (BRIEF PAUSE) 23 24 MR. DOUG SOLOWAY: Doug Soloway, Madam 25 Chair. No -- no more questions at this time.

71 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 1 2 you. Wildlife Management Advisory Council...? 3 MR. LARRY CARPENTER: Larry Carpenter, WMAC-NWT. No questions at this time. 4 5 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. Is 6 there someone here from NRCan? 7 8 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 11 Infrastructure Canada...? 12 13 (BRIEF PAUSE) 14 15 MS. PHOEBE MILES: Phoebe Miles, 16 Infrastructure Canada. No questions at this time. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 18 you. 19 20 (BRIEF PAUSE) 21 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Sorry. 23 We have a couple of parties that wanted to be connected 24 by telephone, and I just tried to see if we've got that 25 hooked up.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

72 (BRIEF PAUSE) 1 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I think -- I think before we go to ask our technical experts and 4 5 counsel to ask questions, I would invite anybody here 6 from the public that has any questions to come forward and ask your questions now. 7 8 9 (BRIEF PAUSE) 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: No -- no 11 12 takers? 13 14 (BRIEF PAUSE) 15 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. Thank you. Then I will actually turn it over to --17 18 Gordon, actually, do you want to just organize the... 19 20 (BRIEF PAUSE) 21 22 DR. PETR KOMERS: This is -- this is 23 Petr Komers, on behalf of the EIRB. I just have a 24 couple of questions for the Developer. In August you, 25 the Developer, submitted a number of report pertaining

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

73 to vegetation and wildlife field data. 1 2 And I wonder, did you use these data to update the impact assessment? 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Jim...? 4 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) 7 8 MR. JIM STEVENS: One moment, Madam 9 Chair. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Sure. 11 12 (BRIEF PAUSE) 13 14 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, Rick 15 Hoos will respond to that. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 17 you. 18 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 19 Kiggiak-EBA. 20 Dr. Petr, in the EIS we had indicated 21 that there -- we made commitments, or the Developer 22 made commitments, to undertake a whole series of -- of 23 continuing more site-specific baseline environmental, 24 geotechnical, and other studies. 25 We identified that the primary purpose

of -- of that work was to allow optimization of -- of 1 routing, and optimization of mitigation measures 2 intended to protect the environmental VECs of -- of 3 interest of all of them. And what we have observed 4 5 from the data provided by the various Kavik-Stantec 6 reports is that they have, in fact, confirmed the kinds 7 of predictions that were made in the EIS. 8 And I know that I have my colleague 9 here, and if there are any specific questions that you would like to ask of us related to that issue, we can 10 certainly address them. Thank you. 11 12 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 13 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Thank 14 you for this. You were saying that the -- the data 15 confirmed your initial assessment, so that means you 16 have used them to update the assessment? 17 Can you just be more specific as to yes 18 or no? 19 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 20 Kiqqiak-EBA. We haven't actually formally updated the 21 assessment primarily because there's no need, in our 22 view, to do that. 23 The -- the data that have been provided 24 are of a more site-specific nature. In most cases, 25 they basically confirm the kinds of assumptions and --

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 and data that we had previously provided, which was 2 based on more regional data sets, and -- and other 3 information that's been gathered over many years by 4 other parties.

5 And certainly for -- for instance, for 6 SARA-listed species, which we know Kavik-Stantec 7 focussed on, they were able to identify more -- more precisely the nature of the habitats out there for 8 9 those various species, their apparent use of those 10 areas, and so on and so forth. And they're very consistent with our initial predictions of what might 11 12 be expected to occur out there in the way of wildlife, 13 wildlife habitat, and possible impacts, and also the 14 kinds of mitigation measures that would be used to 15 minimize such effects. Thank you. 16 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. So I 17 take it that -- that you used that data, or will use 18 that data, to update mitigation measures? I think you

19 just mentioned mitigation measures.

I'm just trying to get a handle of how
this data will be used that you have collected now, and
what utility it will have now and in the future.
MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair -THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Rick -MR. RICK HOOS: -- Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

I would like to ask my colleague, Erica Bonhomme, EBA. 1 to elaborate on that since Kavik-Stantec did a lot of 2 this follow-up -- important follow-up work. Thank you. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 4 Go ahead. 5 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme, 6 Kavik-Stantec. The -- there are two (2) relevant 7 studies and repor -- associated reports that would help inform mitigation planning and site-specific mitigation 8 9 and monitoring that would re -- be required for 10 potentially vegetation and wildlife species. 11 So the -- the vegetation and wildlife 12 studies that were undertaken this summer were -- and, 13 specifically, the vegeta -- the wildlife study, was 14 undertaken in cons -- was designed in consultation with 15 Environment Canada, Canadian Wildlife Service, and 16 Environment and Natural Resources to focus on species 17 at risk, bird species at risk and water birds within 18 the project study area. 19 And the purp -- the -- the reason it was 20 specific to those species was to be able to 21 characterize and identify the types of habitat that 22 would be preferred for those species so that, if 23 necessary, the appropriate mitigation and monitoring plans could be developed for those species. 24 25 The vegetation mapping was designed to

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 be able to characterize and map vegetation types in a 2 very detailed way within the 1 kilometre study area so 3 that the corresponding habitat mapping for wildlife 4 species could be undertaken.

5 So again, the vegetation and the terrain 6 studies that were completed this summer and that are 7 presented in the August reports that Dr. Komers refers to are designed specifically for -- to -- to -- for 8 9 mitigation and monitoring planning because, as Mr. Hoos 10 says, there is no predicted change to the impacts specifically for vegetation and wildlife as presented 11 12 in the EIS.

13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Petr...? 14 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Thank 15 you for that explanation. I'll just talk a little bit 16 about cumulative effects. I'm looking at the Figure 1 17 in the supplementary cumulative effects assessment that 18 you have provided recently, and there are a number of 19 polygons on that figure that seem to be disconnected 20 from anything else.

I'm assuming that those polygons that surround borrow pits and presumably other developments would be connected to the rest of the polygons by access roads or some form of linear developments. Have these access roads been assessed in

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

your cumulative effects assessment and have they been 1 assessed in the project-specific assessment? 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Jim...? 4 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, Rick 5 Hoos will respond to that. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 7 you. 8 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 9 Kiggiak-EBA. The Developer has indicated that all access roads to any of the new borrow sites that will 10 be used for highway construction will be winter road 11 12 only. Therefore, they will only exist for a few months 13 in the winter period. And we have not included them, 14 at this point in time, in the assessment, per se. 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Petr...? 19 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. So I 20 take it from the last part of your answer is that they have not been included in the assessment. Or else are 21 22 you saying that winter roads simply do not have any 23 effect? Can you clarify that? 24 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, we're --25 we're saying they were -- were not included in the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

assessment because they're not going to leave any kind 1 of a footprint, negative footprint for -- related to 2 environmental values once the winter roads disappear. 3 It is acknowledged that activity on 4 5 winter roads in the wintertime can -- the -- by -- can 6 themselves also have some sort of a residual environmental effect on wildlife that may be 7 frequenting the area during the winter period. 8 But 9 that has not been included in the current assessment. 10 It certainly could be included in the 11 updated cumulative effects assessment, because in --12 particularly -- not so much for the SARA listed species 13 that are typically not there in the winter, with the 14 exception of wolverine and boreal caribou. 15 But the -- the primary species that is 16 going to be present in the winter that everyone is most 17 concerned and interested in would be the caribou, 18 basically barren-ground caribou. And we could, in our 19 updated cumulative effects assessment, we could 20 certainly build that into that piece of work that we're hoping to submit as part of this review process soon. 21 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 23 you. Petr...? 24 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Is it 25 fair to say that winter access roads will require water

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

to be built? 1 2 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. Yes, absolutely. The winter -- any 3 winter access road that will be used for construction 4 5 of the highway will require some water to solidify the -- the winter roads. 6 And we've indicated, as we've indicated 7 before in the EIS and in subsequent Information 8 9 Requests, we've indicated the approximate -- the 10 estimated quantities of water that might be used for 11 winter road construction. It has been very difficult 12 for us to identify the specific water bodies from which 13 that water would be withdrawn, because these kind of details have not yet been developed for the project. 14 15 At the same time, we've also indicated 16 that any winter water withdrawals would be in 17 conformance with the winter water withdrawal guidelines 18 of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans. Thank you. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 20 you. Petr...? 21 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Is it fair to say that other activities will need to be done 22 23 in relation to access roads, such as some clearing and 24 some preparation of the ground? 25 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Mr.

Hoos...? 1 2 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. No, the basic process for constructing a 3 winter road is to first of all make sure that the 4 5 ground is completely frozen. And I know that that is 6 actually detailed in the EIS, and perhaps Robyn could comment on how winter roads are constructed. 7 8 But the -- the main objective is to make 9 sure that the road does not get built until the ground is completely frozen, and the terrain is left 10 undisturbed, and snow and ice and water are applied to 11 12 create the winter road and the running surface for the 13 equipment. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 15 you. 16 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, could we 17 supplement that with a comment or two (2) from Don 18 Hayley? 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 20 21 (BRIEF PAUSE) 22 23 MR. DON HAYLEY: Madam Chair, my name 24 is Don Hayley, and I'm also with Kiggiak-EBA. One of 25 my major projects over the past two (2) decades has

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

been the engineer of record for the winter road that --1 it provides access to the diamond mines north of 2 Yellowknife. And over that period of time I've worked 3 on winter roads all across Northern Canada, and in 4 5 fact, wrote the TAC guidelines for winter roads. 6 Winter road development is -- in -- in Northern Canada is -- is very well understood. And the 7 -- the process is -- is pretty straightforward. And a 8 9 good contractor with appropriate supervision can construct a winter road such that there -- there is 10 absolutely no evidence at the end of the year when the 11 12 road melts to indicate that the road has ever been 13 there. 14 Of course, these roads we are talking 15 about are short-term. There are only maybe a couple of 16 years at most that they would be in place. 17 The snow is initially compacted to -- to 18 create a -- an initial base, and then water is applied 19 to -- to solidify the surface over the portages. 20 Wherever we can, we align the winter roads to cross 21 floating ice surfaces. It's much smoother and -- and 22 basically minimizes the environmental -- any possible 23 environmental effects from -- from the winter road. 24 I -- I think that that's basically the -25 - the process that we would lay out for -- for the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

winter road, for -- for the construction in -- in this 1 particular area. I would say probably about -- from 2 what I've seen, about half the winter roads would be 3 over ice and about half the length of winter roads 4 5 would likely be over the tundra. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 7 you. 8 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Thank 9 you very much for that. Just returning to DFO, you 10 mentioned before that you will need permits from DFO. Have you assessed the impacts of water withdrawals 11 12 associated with those winter roads? 13 Is there an assessment that DFO can use 14 for their permit requirements, permit approval? 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 19 Kiggiak-EBA. Dr. Komers, in the EIS we certainly did 20 assess the possible environmental consequences associated with winter water withdrawals for winter 21 22 road construction. 23 I must emphasize that in the EIS we --24 we concentrated on dealing with the winter access road 25 that would be for much of the route, or some of the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

route, be constructed along side the right of way for 1 the proposed -- for the highway construction itself. 2 3 We did not actually extend that assessment to some of the access roads to borrow sites 4 5 because, frankly, at the time the EIS was prepared we 6 did not know which borrow sites might be used for that purpose. So it was evaluated on a very general basis 7 in the EIS. 8 9 In more recent times we -- the Developer 10 has commissioned -- and bathymetric surveys have been conducted along a number of the water bodies that could 11 12 be used for winter road water withdrawals to -- again, to ensure that if those water bodies were selected we 13 14 could demonstrate in documentation provided to the 15 Department of Fisheries and Oceans that we would be 16 conforming with their winter withdrawal guidelines. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 18 you. Petr...? 19 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Are any of these bathymetric surveys required for -- in the --20 21 or in association with the access roads on the record? 22 23 (BRIEF PAUSE) 24 25 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

technical map -- memo from the consultants doing that 1 2 bathymetric survey will be filed on or before September 30th. 3 4 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 7 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Petr, are 8 you okay? 9 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Α slight change of topics. I would like some 10 11 clarification about some of your assumptions in relation to the cumulative effects assessment buffer. 12 13 Again in Figure 1 and in your 14 supplementary cumulative effects assessment you use a buffer of 1 kilometres, yet in some IRs I remember, 15 particularly IR 74 and 75 regarding grizzly bear and 16 17 caribou, you provided evidence that animals can res --18 respond or avoid human disturbances for several 19 kilometres. 20 In one (1) case caribou was 2 kilometres. There was a zone of influence of 2 21 22 kilometres you talked about, and then another one of 4 23 kilometres for grizzly bears. There was some instances 24 of several kilometres, up to seven (7), I believe. 25 How does that buffer of 1 kilometre

reflect these potential disturbances? And I'm 1 highlighting that in particular because of your 2 response to both WMAC and Environment Canada that the 3 buffer that you choose of 1 kilometre is a conservative 4 5 estimate for the cumulative effects assessment. 6 7 Can you please clarify that discrepancy? 8 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 9 Kiqqiak-EBA. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Rick...? 11 MR. RICK HOOS: In conducting the --12 the environmental effects assessment, we certainly did examine much of the other literature that exists about 13 disturbances, for instance, of wildlife to roads or 14 15 other kinds of activities. 16 One of the challenges we had was trying to interpret some of those data relative to this 17 18 particular project which is, as we all know, a two (2) 19 lane road made of local material with about eight (8) or ten (10) one (1) lane major bridges across streams. 20 Compared with the kinds of studies that 21 were done around very large mining projects with all 22 kinds of other associated infrastructure like mine haul 23 24 truck roads, or blasting activities in -- in pits and 25 things of that nature, compared with data obtained from

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

13

14

15

the Prudhoe Bay area, which is one of the world's 1 2 largest oil field developments in an arctic environment in the middle of the central arctic herd calving 3 grounds, compared with other literature drawn from 4 5 places in Europe where there are projects that include 6 highways, power lines, other kinds of developments, and 7 then relate all that information to this particular road project between Inuvik and Tuk. 8 9 And so although we did recognize that some of this other literature exists out there, when we 10 11 did our assessment we, for instance, evaluated that in 12 general the predicted residual effects on all VECs

related to the relatively short-term construction phase

and longer term operations phase of the highway were

predicted to be low in magnitude and localized to the

16 immediate project area or the local study area, which 17 is about 500 metres on other side of the road. 18 For wildlife species in particular, 19 including caribou, grizzly bear, and SARA-listed species, the majority of the predicted residual effects 20 21 of this very low volume traffic road were also 22 predicted to be of a generally short term and rapidly reversible nature. 23

24 So we used professional judgment to try 25 and select a potential zone of influence, which in our

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 view was somewhat generous but certainly did not 2 necessarily reach -- extend out as far as -- as some 3 reported effects for other developments that are much 4 more massive and complex than this particular highway 5 project.

6 Hence, we selected a 1-kilometre buffer 7 zone as a reasonable and, as we actually indicated, 8 conservative zone within which we would not -- wi --9 which -- within which some possible disturbance to --10 residual disturbance to our wildlife species could 11 conceivably occur.

But we -- we do feel that we -- we would not expect most wildlife, perhaps all wildlife, to react to the road beyond about a kilometre from either side of the highway. And that's the basis for the 1kilometre buffer zone that we have selected.

I might even go so far as to add at this point in our technical submission to the Board, we did notice that -- we did note that WMAC had suggested that a buffer zone of perhaps 15 kilometres might be more appropriate. They base that on their examination and evaluation of literature.

23 We reviewed all of that available 24 literature. And we -- we're also familiar with enough 25 of that literature to understand that the only place

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 that might have ever made reference directly based on 2 actual field experience to a zone of influence that 3 could extend out as far as 15 kilometres actually came 4 from some work done by Boulanger et al., for the Ekati 5 diamond mine and the Diavik diamond mine and all of the 6 associated infrastructure.

7 And within the paper by Boulanger et al., they specifically mentioned that the work that 8 9 they had done was related to some very large industrial complexes. And they went further in their paper and 10 11 specifically said that their study addressed the 12 effects of large open pit mines which would var --13 which would represent a very different configuration of stimuli to caribou than, for example, a road or a 14 15 tourist lodge.

16 So there is no doubt that one can spend a lot of time arguing about potential zones of 17 18 influence. We selected what seemed to be the most 19 reasonable and appropriate potential zone of influence for this particular project, which is not expected to 20 21 have any significant predicted residual environmental 22 effects related to construction or operation of this 23 highway.

24 Sorry I took so long, but I hope that 25 helps answer your question.

THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 1 Thank 2 you. Petr, do you have more? 3 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr -- Petr Komers. Yes, thank you for that explanation. So I gather from 4 5 your explanations that the cumulative effects 6 assessment is largely based on professional judgment. I wonder if you ever consulted with or 7 received advice from ENR, being one of the cooperating 8 9 departments, to quantitatively measure zones of 10 influence. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 12 you. Mr. Hoos...? 13 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 14 Kiggiak-EBA. We have had regular dialogue with the 15 senior representative of ENR. We have worked closely 16 with ENR to provide information to the Board. We have 17 previously submitted information to the Board on a 18 variety of potential zones of influence, extending from 19 1 to 5 to, I believe, even 15 kilometres. 20 That information was provided to the 21 Board to inform them of other possible zones of 22 influence that various parties have -- have asked for 23 or have considered. But we are still comfortable with 24 the selection of the 1-kilometre zone of influence that 25 is the basis for the supplemental cumulative effects

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

assessment that's currently in preparation and for 1 which tabular and graphic documentation is being 2 provided to the Board. 3 4 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 7 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you. 8 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. 9 So just for me to be clear on this, I think you are saying that 10 ENR did not advise you or re -- require you to measure 11 12 zones of influence, either for your assessment or in 13 monitoring and follow up? 14 Is that correct? 15 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos. 16 I'm not exactly sure what you're asking there, Dr. 17 Komers. 18 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. I just 19 wonder, given that ENR is -- is probably the department 20 with the most expertise in wildlife and -- and has 21 participated in many regional wildlife surveys, in 22 quantifying responses by wildlife to disturbances, they 23 have required other developers to measure zones of 24 influence and to verify their predictions on zones of 25 influence.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 Did ENR advise you to do something like that, like measuring, quantitatively, your predictions? 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Rick...? 4 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 7 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. As I mentioned before, we have had 8 9 regular dialogue and -- and contributions from ENR in -- in many of the responses that have been provided to 10 11 the Board. We have never been specifically asked by 12 ENR to consider the kinds of monitoring that might be 13 done to determine a possible zone of influence in 14 relation to this project, either during the construction or operations phase. 15 16 However, we are -- or, sorry, the 17 Developer group is working with ENR on the development 18 of a wildlife monitoring program. And certainly if we 19 can -- you know, if -- if it is det -- determined by 20 ENR that we should be doing some monitoring in relation 21 to that, I'm sure that will be part of the discussions 22 that will arise related to the development of the 23 wildlife monitoring plan. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 25 you.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr -- Petr Komers, here. Just a quick follow-up question. When will 2 these -- this information resulting from these 3 consultations be available? 4 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) 7 8 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Jim...? 9 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, a 10 wildlife effects management plan is in a draft stage, and we are just starting out on the development of the 11 12 wildlife protection plan. I would suggest those plans 13 would be finalized and completed within the next, oh, by the end of October. 14 15 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 16 you. Petr, do you have any other questions? 17 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Madam 18 Chair, that concludes my questions. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 20 you. Meghan...? 21 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie, 22 technical advisor to EIRB. To follow on some of Petr's 23 questions about wildlife, I'd like to ask some 24 questions on harvesting. And, as discussed at the technical sessions, harvesting wasn't identified in the 25

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

SEIA as a separate and distinct valued socioeconomic 1 component. So the assessment of impacts on harvesting 2 appeared to be based primarily on the results of the 3 biophysical assessment and information from the 4 5 traditional knowledge study. Additional biophysical information has been recently submitted though, as --6 as discussed with Petr. 7 8 What are the predicted impacts to 9 harvesting in light of this new information? 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Jim...? 14 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, Erica 15 Bonhomme will start off with some initial comments, and 16 it may be supplemented by one (1) of our other members 17 of the team. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you. 19 20 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme, 21 Kavik-Stantec. Specific wildlife information that 22 would inform harvesting in the area would be 23 information that has been presented in the wildlife 24 report on the occurrence of -- the potential occurrence 25 of grizzly bear dens within the project area.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 The report concludes that the study area does not have any preferred habitat for grizzly bear 2 dens. As such, the -- one would conclude that there is 3 -- should be no concern there around harvesting for 4 bears anyway, in -- in any specific area along the 5 6 project route. 7 The traditional knowledge study also provides some map-based information about areas with --8 9 surrounding the project that -- where harvesting does 10 occur. And those are shown -- those are depicted within the traditi -- the report of traditional 11 12 knowledge workshops, as conducted in Tuktoyaktuk and Inuvik. 13 14 I will ask Rick Hoos to supplement that 15 with information about the assessment of -- of 16 harvesting. 17 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, could we 18 take about three (3) to four (4) minutes to discuss 19 this, please, as a group? 20 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I was 21 actually just asking the question about how much longer 22 we would need for questioning. And this may be an 23 appropriate time to break for lunch and come back to 24 the remainder of the questioning, if that's suitable to 25 you.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

96 1 MR. JIM STEVENS: We'd be very 2 receptive to that action, Madam Chair. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Fine. So we'll have a -- a lunch break. We'll return at --4 5 we're a little late leaving, so 1:30, and we'll 6 continue at that time. 7 --- Upon recessing at 11:53 a.m. 8 --- Upon resuming at 1:27 p.m. 9 10 11 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Welcome 12 back. I think we'll start our hearing and continue on 13 from where we left off this morning. There were two (2) -- I guess I should start by saying this is Liz 14 15 Snider, the Chair of the Board. 16 There were two (2) things that we were 17 going to get back to you on. One (1) is the letter 18 from the -- or, the presentation from the Inuvik 19 Community Corporation. There's copies of it at the back on the table just as you walk in. If you could 20 21 take a look at that, we would like to enter it as Exhibit 1. And we'd like to know if there's any 22 23 objections from any of the parties about entering it as 24 Exhibit 1. So we'll ask the question again at the end 25 of the day and see where we're at with it.

1 With respect to the other information that's outstanding, there's a couple of documents that 2 have been referred as erratums. And there's a couple 3 of other documents that have re -- been referred to; 4 for instance, the wildlife management plan. And given 5 6 the comments by the parties, we would like to reserve our judgment on that until after we've finished our 7 hearings in Tuk. 8 9 So for the purposes of these hearings, 10 we won't enter any of that new information or make it part of the record in the presentations or answers.

12 But we will look at, it in terms of how we will deal with those documents in terms of the overall review. 13 And that will be after the Tuk hearings. 14

11

15 So I think with that, we were at the point where there was questions to the Developer. And 16 I think, Meghan, you were the one that was -- so if you 17 18 would like to continue with your questions.

19 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: We left off with 20 the question of: What are the predicted impacts to 21 harvesting in light of the new biophysical information? 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 23 you. 24 MR. RICK HOOS: Good afternoon, Madam 25 Chair, panel, and everybody else here. Rick Hoos,

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Kiggiak-EBA. And I will try to answer that question.
 We had some healthy dialogue over lunch to come up with
 this answer, and we hope it'll help.

The basic question relates to how might the results of this summer's environmental -biophysical environmental studies contribute to our understanding of how harvesting might be affected by this project, based on this new information.

9 In answering that question, I guess the 10 first thing we do want to say is that, to the extent we 11 could, we discussed the effects of harvesting in the 12 EIS and subsequent filings. In particular, we also, of 13 course, pointed out that the act of harvesting, which 14 is a human-induced activity, is something that DOT 15 would have a very difficult time controlling, for 16 various reasons, including the fact that it's not 17 really within its mandate to do so.

18 But throughout the EIS, we have noted 19 that we have recognized that future harvesting 20 activities pertaining to the highway are certainly a 21 very important issue that needs to be properly managed. And we've indicated that there are a number of existing 22 23 resource management agencies -- the Inuvialuit Game 24 Council, the HTCs, and the co-management Boards -- all 25 of whom we believe, or the Developer believes, have a

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

role to play in helping to manage the behaviour of 1 humans using their highway. 2 3 We are also aware that even today there are already certain restrictions on harvesting in the 4 5 area between Inuvik and Tuk. In -- in particular, 6 since 2006 all resident, non-resident, and commercial hunting of caribou, for instance, has -- has -- there's 7 been a ban on hunting of caribou since -- in this -- in 8 this area between Inuvik and Tuk since 2006. And in 9 2007 -- well, never mind that. 10 11 It's -- it's -- there's been a ban on, 12 and it's still in place today. It's a ban in the 13 wildlife management areas 1/BC07 and G/BC02. It's --14 we understand the reasons why the ban were placed on 15 caribou harvesting. It had to do with diminishing 16 numbers of caribou of all three (3) herds that occupy, at times, the area of interest. 17 18 We also assume that when these herds do 19 rebound and increase in numbers, there may be opportunity to revise the ban. But for the time being, 20 21 the ban stays in place. And if the ban was ever lifted, we are confident that the authorities that have 22 23 responsibility for managing those resources will ensure 24 that there are appropriate conditions that are placed 25 on harvesting that may take place at that time.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

A similar thing applies to grizzly bear 1 hunting, where there is currently a quota system for 2 the harvesting of grizzly bear, which we assume will 3 continue to be in place and will be modified as 4 5 necessary by the agencies responsible for that. 6 Now, turning to the specific question of how the work this summer might have informed us on --7 on this matter further, I -- I have -- this will sound 8 a little disappointing. 9 10 The biophysical work that was completed 11 this summer was primarily related to vegetation, 12 wildlife, and wildlife habitat. The studies that were 13 undertaken focussed to a great extent on SARA-listed bird species and grizzly bear, because those were 14 15 species that -- that were particularly identified by 16 folks like Environment Canada as being very important 17 to them. 18 The work that was done this summer did 19 not specifically focus on, let's say, harvested 20 species. Essentially, none of the SARA-listed birds 21 are harvested, and grizzly bear already has a 22 management system for it, and that's it. 23 The studies did also indicate, however, 24 that there is waterbird habitat throughout the project 25 study area in the form of the hundreds and hundreds of

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 little lakes and bonds scattered along the entire route 2 pretty well, and particularly the northern half of the 3 route. And we identified in the studies that there 4 were no preferred areas for a bear den habitat along 5 the road corridor.

6 We also did complete fish and fish 7 habitat studies, and the results of those studies and 8 our plans for crossing the different kinds of streams 9 that were encountered are -- are currently being 10 developed into documentation that's going to be 11 provided to the Board by, I believe, September 30th. 12 Yes.

13 And we have also previously -- the 14 Developer has previously committed to take this information, present it to the Inuvialuit Game Council, 15 16 which is currently scheduled for September 28th in Whitehorse, and also to present this information to the 17 18 HTCs in the communities of Tuk and Inuvik relatively 19 soon after the hearing process is completed in order to receive their input on any concerns they have -- they 20 21 might have about fish utilization of various streams, 22 and the importance of any of those fisheries resources 23 to the community from a harvesting perspective. 24 That information is also being -- has 25 been requested by departments such as the Department of

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

102 Fisheries and Oceans and Transport Canada, and we will 1 be happy to provide the results of any consultations 2 that are held on those matters at that time, during the 3 regulatory -- subsequent regulatory procedures. 4 5 Furthermore, traditional knowledge 6 workshops that were conducted by Kavik-Stantec, which 7 had identified areas important for harvesting and concerns related to impacts of the highway on 8 9 harvesting. The Developer responded to the comments and recommendations on August 31st and also discussed 10 11 these findings in a response to Directive 6 filed on 12 July 13th and September 4th. The conclusion of the biophysical 13 assessment that was conducted is that there are no 14 15 potentially significant residual effects to wildlife or 16 wildlife habitat based on, initially, the work that was 17 done for the EIS and, subsequently, as a result of the 18 im -- of the improved information base, which has 19 basically been confirming the kinds of predictions that were made in the EIS. 20 21 However, we do acknowledge, and have 22 acknowledged consistently since the beginning, that 23 potential concerns about increased harvesting remains a 24 valid concern that needs to be effectively managed. 25 The Developer's commitments has been,

throughout this process and it is in our commitments 1 table, is that the Developer is committed to working 2 with these various parties and -- and participating in 3 whatever additional further consultations will be 4 5 pursued in order to ensure that, eventually, 6 appropriate policies, plans, rules of behaviour, if you 7 will, are developed. Appropriate signage is developed and placed at various key points along the route to 8 9 remind the general public of their responsibility to 10 behave responsibility -- to -- to behave responsibly --11 I'm sorry -- and to not take overt advantage of the 12 wildlife resources and values associated with the 13 corridor itself and the road through the corridor. 14 That last part didn't make a lot of 15 sense to me, but what I'm trying to say is that the 16 public and the resource management agencies really have 17 to work together to ensure that the environment is 18 there for future generations to enjoy as well. 19 We are confident that the resource 20 management agencies and some of these co-management 21 boards that have actually, in their own documents to 22 the Board, indicated their commitments to help make 23 sure that that happens, does happen. So we're 24 confident that -- that the environment can be protected 25 with everybody's help. Thank you.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 1 Thank 2 you. 3 4 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: There's headsets up here for the translation, so if anybody 7 wants to hear the -- the information translated into 8 9 Inuvialuit, the -- the headsets are down here. And the registered parties, we've added another table, if you 10 11 want -- wanted to come and sit at the front. So just 12 move up if you'd like to. And I apologize for not 13 mentioning that at the beginning of our session. 14 Meghan...? Sorry. 15 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. 16 Thank you, Rick. It's not only concerns about 17 increased har -- or, concerns that the road will result 18 in increased harvesting that need to be addressed. 19 There were concerns raised in the TK study, as well as 20 in -- in your consultation records, about the road 21 impacting harvested species, which would in turn 22 decease the amount of harvested resources. 23 And knowing that you've based your --24 your assessment of harvesting primarily on the 25 biophysical assessment, I'm -- I'm still curious as to

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

105 whether all of the additional information that you've 1 gathered for the biophysical assessment has been used 2 to reevaluate your impact predictions on harvesting, 3 particularly as it would pertain to a decrease in 4 5 harvesting and harvested resources. 6 7 (BRIEF PAUSE) 8 9 MR. RICK HOOS: One moment, please, Madam Chair. 10 11 12 (BRIEF PAUSE) 13 14 MR. RICK HOOS: Okay. Madam Chair, I 15 request that Erica Bonhomme help us to answer this 16 question. Thank you. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 18 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme, 19 Kavik-Stantec. The report of the traditional knowledge 20 workshops held in Tuktoyaktuk and Inuvik summarizes 21 some of the concerns and recommendations made by 22 traditional-knowledge holders during those workshops. 23 The report does not indicate that there 24 were concerns raised about decreases to harvest quotas 25 as a result of increased harvest pressures. There were

106 quite a few references made, as Mr. Hoos summarized, to 1 the potential for better access to harvest species 2 which, as he said, is a -- is a valid concern. 3 But in reference to quotas, there would 4 5 be no reason to believe that there would be any impact 6 from the project to a change in har -- harvested species allowable under various pieces of legislation, 7 based on the information we have collected to date. 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 10 you. Meghan...? 11 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Some of the 12 comments in the traditional knowledge study were taken 13 to mean by myself that the behaviour of animals could 14 change as a result of the presence of the road, right. 15 And then that in turn could impact their availability 16 for harvesting. 17 So given that, and given what you've 18 also gathered in the biophysical assessment, did you 19 reevaluate harvesting in light of the comments from the traditional knowledge study that the behaviour of 20 21 animals could change? 22 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Eri -- excuse me, 23 Madam Chair. 24 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: It looks like we've lost our Chair. 2 3 4 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I 7 apologize. Go ahead. 8 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme, Kavik-Stantec. A short answer is: No, there has not 9 been a reassessment. An additional remark to that is 10 11 that the traditional knowledge workshop report did 12 indicate that there would be some short-term disruption 13 to, potentially, the movement of animals, harvested 14 species, as a result of some localized sensory 15 disturbance due to con -- during construction 16 activities, but that that was going to be a short-term effect and would not impact, in the long term, the 17 18 availability to access harvested species. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 20 you. 21 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Did you present 22 your impact predictions to the communities of Tuk and 23 Inuvik or any of your agencies or organizations there, 24 and did they have any input to the determination of 25 significance?

1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, Kiqqiak-EBA. I think some of this discussion goes 4 5 right back to the days of the project description 6 report that was prepared initially for the construction 7 of the Tuk to Source 177 access road and, subsequently, during consultations that were convened in the 8 9 communities with the HTCs and other parties in preparation for the -- for the EIS, and even the 10 scoping of the EIS. 11 We did present our initial thoughts on 12 the kinds of effects that could arise from construction 13 14 and operation of the highway. We did pres -- discuss 15 the kinds of mitigation measures that we proposed could 16 be employed or implemented to ensure that any such 17 effects are -- are minimized, if not completely 18 avoided. 19 I don't think the record will show that 20 we received much input back on what other thoughts 21 should perhaps be incorporated into the design or implementation of the project. But certainly we have 22 23 always tried to consider and accommodate whatever 24 inputs have arisen from those kinds of consultation 25 efforts.

In that regard, you know, as -- as everyone here is aware, as further work was conducted in relation to the road -- whether it be geotechnical, environmental or otherwise -- one of the things that was conducted were traditional knowledge workshops with both the community of Inuvik and the community of Tuktoyaktuk.

8 The results of those workshops have been 9 presented to the Board, have been presented to the Developer -- or, the Developer was part of the TK 10 11 workshops. We were subsequently requested by, I 12 believe, the Board -- or maybe it was the Tuk-Inuvik 13 Working Group or Tuk Community Corp. I can't recall which organization asked us to indicate how we may have 14 15 incorporated any recommendations that were forthcoming 16 from these workshops and, for that matter, any concerns 17 that were raised within those workshops, how we have 18 reflected those concerns and recommendations in the 19 design of the highway project.

That information has also been filed with the Board in the form of, I believe, one (1) or two (2) tables. Perhaps I can just check with Tara here to see what those particular filings were.

25

(BRIEF PAUSE)

MR. RICK HOOS: On -- Madam Chair, on 1 August the 31st we submitted those documents to the 2 Board. And they did itemize specifically how we dealt 3 with each of the concerns that we had recorded as 4 5 having been raised at these TK workshops, as well as 6 any recommendations that were made during those 7 workshops. Thank you. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 9 you. 10 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. 11 Thank you, Rick. Is the answer "yes" or "no" to that 12 question or directly or somewhere, I would understand, 13 in between, as you've described? 14 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, I have to 15 admit I can hardly remember what the question might 16 have been. But -- but the point is we have tried to 17 listen to any and all concerns that have been raised at 18 any in time over the last three (3) to four (4) years 19 by the communities, the HTCs, or other parties. 20 And to the extent that we have been able 21 to accept advice received, inputs received, we have 22 tried to do so in the -- in the pro -- in the design of 23 the project. I will say now that we haven't -- we did 24 not al -- we were not always able to completely 25 accommodate the wishes of every party. But to the

```
110
```

extent that we possibly could, we did. Thank you very 1 2 much. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 4 you. 5 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. With regard to monitoring of harvested species and 6 7 harvesting, in the Developer's response to 2B and 2C, you identify WMAC, FJMC, IGC, the HTCs, and ILA as 8 9 responsible for the management of harvested resources. 10 And in Round Two IRs, when these parties 11 were questioned about their role, WMAC responded that 12 they acted in an advisory capacity; IGC responded that 13 they will work with ENR, DFO, and the HTCs; and MJ --FJMC responded that together with DFO and the HTCs, 14 15 they do have the responsibility for monitoring and 16 mitigating project-related effects on harvesting with the other parties. 17 18 Now, in your recent refiled response to 19 some of the Developer's -- or, some of the parties' 20 submissions you, reiterated that these parties need to 21 work together for the management and monitoring of 22 these resources. 23 Can you provide any other information on 24 -- or explanation on who is going to be leading 25 monitoring of harvesting, not just fish, and who is --

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

who would be involved, and what their roles are? 1 2 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, Kiqqiak-EBA. I -- I believe our answer is that ENR 3 4 will play a lead role related to most of the wildlife 5 species. We would believe that DFO would have a 6 significant role to play in the management of the 7 fisheries resources, and perhaps including issues related to harvesting of the resources. 8 9 But with respect, I think it would be 10 appropriate for the Board to ask those questions 11 directly of each of these other organizations, many of 12 whom will be -- will appear before you either here in 13 Inuvik or in Tuk next week. Thank you. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 15 you. 16 17 (BRIEF PAUSE) 18 19 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. 20 Questions -- concerns, sorry, have been raised by 21 community residents during consultations on the terms 22 of reference in the TK study, and also in submissions 23 to the technical sessions regarding increased public 24 access to and recreational use of harvesting areas and 25 areas of special and cultural importance.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Following the technical sessions, ILA 1 2 responded that the public may enter on Inuvialuit lands for recreation and that industry can as well if they 3 meet the acceptable environmental standards using the 4 5 Husky Lake special cultural area criteria. 6 As the Proponent and the party 7 responsible for conducting the assessment, how do you propose the public and recreational land access and 8 9 use, and the adverse impacts asso -- associated with 10 these, be managed and monitored, and by whom? 11 12 (BRIEF PAUSE) 13 14 MR. JIM STEVENS: Jim Stevens, 15 Developer. The Inuvik-Tuk Highway is a public 16 infrastructure project with significant public, government, legislative, and governance oversight. 17 18 For instance, on the highway we have the 19 Public Highways Act, Motor Vehicle Act, and other respective site-specific regulations that can control 20 21 traffic speeds, parking, those type of issues. In 22 addition, we do regular traffic counts on the highway 23 system to determine peak hour of volumes, traffic 24 characteristics that are provided to enforcement 25 authorities relative to traffic volumes, those type of

characteristics. 1 2 So there's a range of things that are within our current programs and everyday kind of 3 activities that provide oversight of activities on the 4 5 highway. 6 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. Thank you, Jim. I'm interested more in answers 7 following up on some of the questions that were raised 8 9 by the Inuvik HTC at the technical sessions and some of 10 the concerns again about increased access, not -- not along the road, but because of the road, so increased 11 12 access to areas where access currently isn't, and --13 and access by the public, and -- for example, tourists. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 15 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 16 Kiggiak-EBA. I'm usually fairly good at multi-tasking, 17 but I had -- was -- I have to admit I was looking at 18 some other stuff while Meghan was asking that question. 19 However, I -- I would like to respond by -- certainly within the -- the lands under the control of the ILA, 20 21 Inuvialuit Lands Administration, in their response 22 number 79 to the Board, they say this: 23 "The -- the ILA has reviewed the 24 highway route and identified 25 locations on Inuvialuit lands where

115 it is likely that there will be land 1 2 use demands for activities such as 3 boat launching and the establishment of cabins. These sites will be 4 monitored by the ILA and the 5 6 necessary steps taken to ensure an 7 appropriate level of protection of Inuvial land -- Inuvialuit lands at 8 9 these locations, up to and including 10 refusal to permit certain activities. 11 Ongoing monitoring will also identify 12 other areas that may require similar attention." 13 14 That's just the -- the position of the 15 They feel they have some -- some significant ILA. 16 responsibility for controlling access within their 17 mandate. 18 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. 19 Thank you, Rick. I read that to -- to mean that they 20 have some -- some role in monitoring. But as yet, in 21 terms of controlling public and recreational access -and I think some of the examples raised at -- in the TK 22 23 study and in the technical sessions were things 24 resulting from tourism. And -- and as yet, no one has 25 -- there -- there is not a party that's -- can you

116 confirm if there's a party that is going to be managing 1 or actually controlling some of that? 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 4 5 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, if we 6 7 could have a moment on this, please? 8 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 13 Kiqqiak-EBA. 14 15 (BRIEF PAUSE) 16 17 MR. RICK HOOS: I guess the short 18 answer is, it's, you know, someone has to take charge. 19 And I think Meghan is hoping that the Developer or the DOT will take charge of that. The Developer has 20 indicated it's not within its mandate to do that. 21 22 The ILA has indicated -- I'm speaking 23 too quickly for the translators. I'm sorry, I'll slow 24 down. The ILA has indicated that they have some 25 substantial mandate to control access to lands within

1 the Inuvialuit final agreement. It's fair to say that 2 on Crown lands, that ability to control access by other 3 parties, including tourists, et cetera, does not exist 4 to the same extent.

5 And the Developer has indicated that it 6 is willing and wanting to work with the various parties who have some responsibility for helping to control 7 human behaviour, including that of tourists, in 8 9 relation to this road. It must be stressed that tourists will typically probably only come on this road 10 11 in the summer months, when the caribou, as one (1) 12 example, will not actually be in the area, and 13 notwithstanding the fact that there's a ban on -- a 14 complete ban on caribou harvesting as well. 15 The primary resources that might be 16 pursued, conceivably by tourists -- although I doubt 17 very much that they would come to the Inuvik-Tuk 18 Highway to go chasing the fish resources in -- in most 19 of that area.

20 We will -- the Developer will be 21 developing, wi -- with the cooperation of other 22 parties, various forms of signage that will be placed 23 alongside the highway to remind the general public of 24 their responsibilities.

25

And in terms of fishing, for instance,

if -- if a tourist was to do that, they would, in 1 theory, have to apply for and obtain a fishing licence 2 to do that kind of fishing along the route. But we do 3 not expect that the primary reason, or even a secondary 4 5 reason, for tourists coming up the road would be to go 6 harvesting along the road. 7 They would be probably coming up to, as the Mayor of Inuvik said this morning, dip their toes 8 9 in the Arctic Ocean and experience firsthand the opportunity to drive to the Arctic Ocean and to take 10 photographs of whatever wildlife they do see and enjoy. 11 12 Rick. Thank you. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 14 you. 15 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. 16 Thank you, Rick. I was seeking clarification more on who would be managing the effects rather than -- than 17 18 hoping that the Department of Transportation would. 19 But this is a lead-in to another question of mine. 20 The Department of Transportation -- or, 21 sorry, the EIS, from -- from the beginning, has said 22 that there are a number of agencies and organizations that have the mandate to address socioeconomic effects. 23 24 In the September 7th reply from ENR, they clarified 25 that it is the Department of Transportation and not the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

GNWT that is the Developer and, further, that the
 Department of Transportation, with its other
 development partners, is responsible for the assessment
 of effects.

5 The socioeconomic impact assessment for 6 the Mackenzie gas project made the distinction between 7 the responsibility to assess and the responsibility to manage effects and drew upon a shared responsibility 8 9 model for the management of effects. And in this model, the proponent isn't responsible for implementing 10 11 all of the -- the mitigation measures, but they are 12 responsible for identifying them and for -- for 13 actually working with the other parties from the beginning to make sure the other parties are 14 15 comfortable with those -- those management measures. 16 And the reason for this is that you cannot -- their reason for this was to arrive at re --17 18 their impact predictions for residual impacts by 19 applying mitigation beforehand. 20 So did the Department of Transportation,

21 as the Developer, conduct an assessment of effects for 22 any of the -- the valued socioeconomic components 23 without identifying or applying specific mitigation 24 measures, even if it is isn't your responsibility to 25 implement them?

120 1 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, can we have a moment again, please? 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes. Go 4 right ahead. 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) 7 8 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair --9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes. 10 MR. JIM STEVENS: -- in response to 11 that question, when we initially looked at this there 12 were no significant socioeconomic impacts identified. 13 And I quess we can take measure in that when we now 14 manage 2,200 kilometres of highway, there are varying 15 impacts that we see from time to time. Some are in different times of the season. Some occur 16 17 incidentally. Some occur on a regular basis. 18 And I would suggest, for instance, bison 19 management along Highway 3 north of Fort Providence to Yellowknife, it's an identified issue. And we have 20 21 worked with the various parties there to come up with 22 bison awareness programs, other mitigation actions. 23 There are other areas where we've had 24 some site-specific issues develop because of 25 transportation. And again we don't have a consistent

1 program, but once we know of an incident, we have the 2 necessary tools and capacity to address that. And I'll 3 stop there.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 5 you.

6 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. 7 Thank you, Jim. Okay. Comments were raised by community residents during consultations on the terms 8 9 of reference and in the TK study, and then again during the technical sessions, regarding some of the adverse 10 11 effects of tourism. And these range from garbage in 12 the communities and along the road to large influxes of 13 traffic and people in the communities and on the land. 14 In the Developer's response to 2B and 15 2C, you identify some of the agencies that have 16 existing responsibilities to -- to monitor some aspects 17 of tourism. And in the Second Round of IRs, these 18 parties were asked to describe their roles. So ITI, 19 CanNor, IRC, and IDC all responded that they are not 20 directly involved in mitigating any adverse effects of 21 project-related tourism.

22 So as yet there are few specific 23 measures to manage and plans to monitor possible 24 project-specific adverse effects of tourism in the 25 communities and between the -- and between the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

communities on the land. 1 So have the communities of Tuk and 2 Inuvik been involved in identifying potential 3 mitigation measures to address some of the concerns 4 5 that they raised about adverse effects of tourism? 6 And, if yes, what are some of the suggestions and recommendations? 7 8 9 (BRIEF PAUSE) 10 11 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 12 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 13 Kiqqiak-EBA. I just consulted with my colleague who 14 was very involved with the TK work -- workshops that 15 were conducted. A few people did raise concerns about 16 tourists and some issues associated with tourists 17 18 coming to the communities. They were both positive and 19 negative. But there were no specific discussions on what to do about any potential negatives associated 20 with tourism -- tourists -- increased tourism to the 21 22 area. Most people, I think, probably focussed on the 23 positives associated with that activity. 24 Again turning to things like garbage 25 along the road, there would absolutely be signage

1 related to that included along the road. And at the 2 same time, I'm sure -- you know, we would -- it would 3 be our position that the RCMP, as an example, would --4 would be an organization that would be patrolling the 5 road and would be looking out for things of that 6 nature.

And I -- I can't -- I can't say whether 8 they could do anything about that sort of thing, but 9 perhaps DOT has some understanding of that ever being 10 an issue that is managed.

11 We do believe that in today's world, 12 when people come to appreciate the -- the opportunity 13 they have to -- to travel to a new part of the world, I 14 feel reasonably confident that most people would 15 respect whatever rules of the road or signage is 16 presented to them as the come to this part of the road. 17 That's just my -- my basis. But no one 18 has specifically been -- set out to help manage any 19 negative impacts that may be associated with tourism at 20 this point in time. I think it's something that the 21 Board may wish to comment on in their decision report, 22 provide some guidance on that. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 24 you.

MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Sorry.

25

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, could I add a supplemental comment or two (2) on that? 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 4 MR. JIM STEVENS: Maybe for context, I 5 -- I should bring forward to the panel's attention is 6 that we do experience problems now with litter and waste management at some of our pull-off sites. We 7 work with ENR, for instance, to provide additional 8 disposal bins, signage. We also step up patrol and 9 10 monitoring activities relative to issues at those pull-11 out sites. 12 For context, we should be reminded that the current ice road now has about a hundred and 13 14 thirty-nine (139) vehicles a day, average. We expect, 15 with tourism and the increased shipping of goods, that 16 traffic volumes will increase up to a hundred and fifty (150) to two hundred (200) a day. Again, that's stated 17 18 on page 97 of the EIS. 19 So it's acknowledged that there will be 20 additional traffic volumes on the highway. It could 21 bring about the increased issues of litter. But again, it's well within our existing programs and capacity to 22 23 address any of those issues. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 25 you.

1 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. Thank you, Jim. Speaking to some of the positive 2 effects of tourism that were identified in the EIS and 3 this morning as well by the Mayor, I'm just wondering 4 5 if you have gone -- if you have reevaluated your 6 prediction or if you can speak any more to your 7 prediction of -- of increased tourism? 8 In the EIS, I believe it predicted a 10 9 percent increase in tourism from five thousand (5,000) tourists a year to fifty-five hundred (5,500). A 10 11 recent CBC news isem -- news item indicated a 12 12 percent drop in tourism. 13 And that, combined with increased price 14 of fuel, I'm wondering if your -- your -- you've 15 revisited your previous predictions of the increase in 16 tourism as a result of the highway? 17 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, the 18 short answer is no. There are various factors that go 19 into determining tourism-related traffic. One of our 20 principle tools is looking at existing traffic volumes 21 on the rest of the highway system. We have traffic 22 counters. And typically they show that during the 23 summer seasons we do see an increase. And that's 24 largely related to tourism-related travel. 25 Other issues, such as the cost of air

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

fare, the cost of gasoline, aren't entertained in our 1 modelling. We basically use a direct, empirical kind 2 of reflection on whether there's going to be an 3 increase or decrease. Our monitoring is based on an 4 5 annual kind of appraisal of traffic volumes. So if 6 traffic volumes experience a dramatic shift over a 7 short time period, we would not be able to confirm that shift until the year after. 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 10 you. 11 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Meghan Birnie. 12 Thank you, Jim. With regard to education and training 13 and skills, the Developer is committed to education-14 and training-related measures - for example, working 15 with local academic institutions in the design of 16 short-duration, skill based courses to improve job 17 readiness, expand the labour pool, and enhance local 18 capacity. 19 At the technical sessions, the Developer 20 was asked to provide details regarding the necessary 21 timing of implementation of these measures in order to 22 ensure their usefulness. And you replied that you'd be 23 meeting with Aurora College the following day. 24 Do you have an update on the necessary 25 timing of implementation of those measures to ensure

their greatest benefit? 1 2 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, local employment, training, and business opportunities will 3 be maximized as we go through this project. The 4 5 discussions that were referred to with Aurora College 6 did not occur due to a timing issue with the other person. But just this morning, again, there was a 7 commitment to re-engage in those discussions. 8 9 I should note that, whatever our procurement process selected, that'll be front and 10 11 centre of any obligation that will be put on the 12 contractor or Proponent that develops the road. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 14 you. 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Are we --19 that's the end of your questions? 20 MS. MEGHAN BIRNIE: Yes, no more 21 questions. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 23 you. Dr. Burn...? 24 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam Chair. I have three (3) sets of questions that I hope 2 the Board will be interested in directing to the pro --3 4 Developer. 5 The first of the sets of questions 6 concerns water use by the proposed project. The second concerns the estimate of the aggregate requirements. 7 And the third concerns the implications of climate 8 9 change for aggregate requirements for the project. 10 If you would permit me to proceed with 11 the first of those, which concerns water use, then I'd 12 be pleased to do so. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 13 Proceed. 14 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, this 15 morning you heard that the Source PW-2, which was the 16 southernmost aggregate source was no longer to be considered by this project. And at the same time, the 17 18 Proponent, or the Developer, mentioned that a winter 19 road would be required to another source in order to 20 transfer to that source the equipment that would be 21 required to develop that source. 22 Now, I wonder if the Developer would be 23 able to confirm for us that the destination of that 24 winter road is the Source 314-325. 25

1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair --THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 4 5 MR. JIM STEVENS: -- the Developer can 6 confirm "yes" to that question. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you. Dr. Burn...? 8 9 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam Chair. I wonder if the Developer would confirm that 10 11 that winter road will start at approximately kilometre 12 zero of the present project and proceed to 13 approximately kilometre 40 of the present project. 14 MR. JIM STEVENS: A moment, please, 15 Madam Chair. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes, go 17 ahead. 18 19 (BRIEF PAUSE) 20 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, I'll ask 21 22 Walter Orr to respond to that question. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 24 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 25 Orr, Kavik-Stantec. The -- what I would like to do to

1 answer that question is I would -- would be to point
2 out the construction methodology for the project has
3 always envisioned a parallel winter road to the
4 alignment under construction to allow, basically,
5 vehicles to move down the -- the constructed embankment
6 to place their material and -- and move back up the
7 parallel winter road.

8 So there would be a winter road 9 constructed to that site. Now the specifics of how to 10 get to the site initially, it may or may not be that 11 parallel winter road. But in any case, a parallel 12 winter road is already a part of the construction 13 methodology.

14 So we would anticipate that that would 15 be the use, that that would be used. So it would be no 16 change effectively to the -- as-presented construction methodology. This is very -- this is exactly the --17 18 what we've used with, for instance, the 177 source to -19 - road to Source 177 existing construction, and that is 20 proposed for the follow on for this project. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 22 you. 23 DR. CHRIS BURN: Chris Burn. Т 24 appreciate the clarification that there will be a

25 parallel winter access road. That was -- that was laid

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 out in the EIS. You did point out just now that you
2 may or may not use that winter access road to reach the
3 deposit.

And I wonder, if you are not going to use the winter road, whether you will build another access road using a different route?

7 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 8 Orr, Kavik-Stantec. The -- the reason that I qualified 9 my answer in any way was that the con -- the actual 10 construction methodology, of course, will be the 11 decision of whomever the contractor at the time would 12 be.

As the -- as a Developer team, we would anticipate that the -- the route chosen would be the -this parallel route that we're talking about. However, to prejudge to say that that's the only possibility, I could not say that.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank
19 you.
20 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, may I

21 take it that regardless of what the alternative
22 construction methodologies are, there will be a winter
23 access road and there may be another access road?
24 MR. WALTER ORR: Walter Orr, Kavik25 Stantec. There will be a winter access route to the

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

preliminary source for this construction. 1 2 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, may I ask the Developer to confirm that that winter access road 3 will be approximately 40 kilometres long? 4 5 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 6 Orr. Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 7 you. 8 9 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, may I ask 10 the Proponent whether that winter access road will follow the alignment that has been demarcated in 11 12 documents presented to the Board or whether a different 13 alignment will be chosen? 14 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 15 Orr. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okav. 17 MR. WALTER ORR: The intention of the 18 Developer team would be that that winter road would 19 follow the access that has been demarcated currently that would be along the existing -- the road alignment 20 itself. 21 22 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, I wonder 23 if the Developer can indicate to the Board at what 24 point in the project a different alignment may be used 25 or may be -- may be known if it is to be used.

133 1 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair --2 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 3 MR. WALTER ORR: -- can we take a short caucus on this? 4 5 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes, qo 6 right ahead. 7 8 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 11 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 12 Orr, Kavik-Stantec. I will note, for the record, Mr. 13 Don Hayley's comments earlier on winter construction. 14 I think they're still relevant as to the appropriate 15 methodology for winter road construction. I will also state for the record that 16 17 the intent of the Developer is to develop and maintain a winter road along the alignment of the pera --18 19 permanently constructed road throughout the process of construction. Does that address your question? 20 21 DR. CHRIS BURN: Chris Burn. Madam 22 Chair, that is a helpful response, because this morning 23 I heard Mr. Hayley point out that he anticipated that 24 the winter road, or the -- the -- a possible route for 25 the winter road would cross land, and it would also

cross lakes, and that approximately a half of the 1 2 length of the winter road would be on lakes. 3 I just wonder if the Developer would 4 like to clarify that what I heard Mr. Hayley say, and 5 how I interpreted it -- it were both about the winter 6 road, the same winter road, or whether he was referring to a separate project? 7 8 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter I would like to call Mr. Hayley to address 9 Orr here. his -- clarify his comment specifically, if you would. 10 11 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 12 13 (BRIEF PAUSE) 14 15 MR. DON HAYLEY: Madam Chair, Don 16 Hayley, Kiggiak-EBA. My comments this morning were intended to be more in general nature, not specifically 17 18 aimed at the project. I was talking about winter roads 19 in general. 20 But in reference to Dr. Burn's comments, 21 what I was thinking when I made my comments was only the access roads off -- off right-of-way into the 22 23 remote borrow pits that we'd selected. I wasn't 24 thinking at the time I made it -- those comments that -25 - that this -- we were talking just about the road

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

along -- the construction road basically along the --1 along the alignment itself. 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 4 you. 5 DR. CHRIS BURN: Chris Burn, Madam 6 Chair. Thank you very much, Mr. Hayley, for that clarification. I would like to ask the Developer if 7 this access road to the first of the pits, which is 315 8 9 -- 314325, is intended to be developed in only the 10 first year of construction or whether there is anticipation that this road, or this section of the 11 12 road, may need to be developed during several of the 13 construction seasons? 14 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 15 Orr, Kavik-Stantec. There would be several seasons of -- of required access to this winter road of which 16 17 we're discussing. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you DR. CHRIS BURN: 19 Thank you, Madam 20 Chair. I wonder if the Developer could indicate to the 21 Board the width and height of an ice pad that is 22 normally required for such a road? 23 MR. DON HAYLEY: Madam Chair, we haven't actually gone to the trouble of actually coming 24 25 up with a design yet, but I will -- I -- I would

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

136 respond to Dr. Burn by saying a typical ice pad would -1 - would consist of perhaps 6 inches of compacted snow 2 and covered with an -- an ice -- an ice cap that would 3 be maybe about 4 inches thick. That would be the --4 5 that would be the structure of the -- of the road, and the -- the width would be -- would be a single-lane 6 road typically, so it would be only perhaps seven (7), 7 maybe even 6 metres wide. 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 10 you. Go ahead. 11 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, given the 12 necessity to take very heavy equipment into the gravel 13 pit and to haul full loads up and down this road to the construction terminus. 14 15 Is it the case that an ice layer of approximately 10 centimetres is sufficient, and does 16 17 this ice pad require maintenance over the course of the 18 season? 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 20 MR. DON HAYLEY: Madam Chairman, I -- I 21 think we can -- we -- we can provide a positive answer 22 to both those questions. Yes, it does require 23 maintenance. When you -- when you have a winter road 24 that's -- that's a heavy traffic area, you do need a 25 maintenance crew that's constantly patching surface

potholes, just like any other project. 1 2 The -- this type of road will support a substantial load. To -- to take the heavy loads into 3 4 the Ekati diamond mine we -- we run up to 63 metric 5 tonnes on Super B-trains across portages that are built 6 about like this. And late in the year, when -- when the lake ice is very thick, we handle loads up to 100 7 metric tonnes on -- on one (1) particular truck with 8 ten (10) axles. So we have lots of experience carrying 9 heavy loads. 10 11 I think that in this case the contractor 12 would -- would con -- configure his fleet of trucks to 13 -- to -- that -- that would move up and down this road 14 in a manner that they would be the right size and the 15 right shape and the right axle spacing to optimize his load on -- on a winter road and that -- winter road 16 17 contractors are pretty -- pretty much used to -- to 18 doing that. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 20 you. MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos 21 22 here, Kiggiak-EBA. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes, qo 24 ahead. 25 MR. RICK HOOS: If -- if I -- I may

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

also add something to this, the comments of Don Hayley,
 and that relates to the way in which the Tuk to Source
 177 Road was constructed.

4 It too had an ice road parallel to and 5 immediate adjacent to the length of the road from Tuk 6 to Source 177. It was built for each of the two (2) 7 winters of construction of that road.

8 There was regular flooding of the road 9 to keep it in good condition. And I think the evidence speaks for itself. I -- I understand the Board will be 10 flying the route of the proposed highway, and hopefully 11 12 the Tuk access road as well, and they will be hard 13 pressed to see any evidence of a winter road having been built for two (2) consecutive winters alongside 14 15 that particular road.

So we're confident that that kind of technology and experience that has been developed, not only for this project, but for many other projects in the Mackenzie Delta during the oil and gas activities, will hold us in good steed for the development of the winter -- the types of winter access roads that will be needed for this highway.

And they -- they consist of basically the two (2) that have been discussed: one (1) parallel to the road that is under construction, or the highway

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

that is under construction; and secondarily, one (1) or 1 two (2) winter access roads to whichever are the active 2 borrow sites at any given point in time. 3 And as Don Hayley has indicated, for 4 5 those roads, or those temporary winter access roads, if 6 there are water bodies, frozen water bodies between the main highway and the borrow source it would be 7 advantageous to use those level ice surfaces as part of 8 9 the winter road construction to those sources. Thank 10 you. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 11 Thank 12 you. Go ahead. 13 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, Mr. Hoos 14 just mentioned reg -- regular or repeated flooding of 15 the road. And I wonder if the Developer could indicate 16 to us, over the course of a season, what is the total thickness of water that is added to the road during the 17 18 course of regular flooding? 19 (BRIEF PAUSE) 20 21 22 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair --23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead, 24 Mr. Hoos. 25 MR. RICK HOOS: -- Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-

EBA. I'm not sure that we can answer that specific 1 question. The point is that the road thickness will be 2 as thick as is needed to ensure this integrity and 3 safety and protection of the -- of the vegetative cover 4 5 beneath the road, the frozen road surface. 6 The more important point that you're 7 perhaps leading to is how much water is this going to take or what kind of water use is predicted for the --8 9 the road. It is true that most of -- much of the ro -of the water use related to construction of the road 10 11 will rela -- will relate to the development of these 12 winter roads. And we've indicated in the EIS that the 13 14 daily quantity of water that might be used for that 15 purpose or might be needed for that purpose would be in 16 the order of 300 cubic metres a day to as much as, 17 perhaps, a thousand cubic metres a day. That would 18 have to actually be divided up between the two (2) 19 primary construction spreads north and south, because both sides of the highway will need some ice road 20 21 support for the construction activities on both the 22 north and south ends of the highway. 23 Those quantities of water, frankly, for 24 those of us that have been involved with major 25 industrial developments that consume many thousands of

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 cubic metres a day of water from a single lake or water 2 body, these are not really, frankly, very large 3 numbers, and particularly when you consider that the 4 sources of water will -- will be various: some water 5 sources in the south, some water sources in the north 6 end at any -- for any given winter.

And considering that the Developer is committed to conforming with the DFO protocol for winter water withdrawal whereby no more than 10 percent of any water body would ever be withdrawn, I can assure you, with experience -- also as Don has indicated, on the winter road, we are the environmental managers for the 680-kilometre-long winter road.

We have never yet had a situation where we -- we've come anywhere close to exceeding a winter water withdrawal requirement. And we don't anticipate that happening here either.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, 19 thank you.

20 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam 21 Chair. This is Chris Burn. I wonder if the Developer 22 could indicate to the Board how many days are required 23 to construct the winter road from the -- its beginning 24 near to source -- near to kilometre zero to the Source 25 314325?

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 2 (BRIEF PAUSE) 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 4 5 MR. DON HAYLEY: Madam Chairman, Don 6 Hayley, Kiggiak-EBA. We -- we haven't actually put 7 together a detailed plan, in terms of how long it's going to take to -- to construct it. 8 9 My -- if I was to -- to give you a 10 rough, off the top of my head estimate, which is, I 11 think, the best we have, I would say that it would take 12 about a month, about thirty (30) days. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 14 you. 15 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam 16 Chair. Could the Developer please confirm that at the 17 same time as this south-end road is being constructed, 18 a north-end road will be constructed as well? 19 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair --20 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yeah. 21 MR. WALTER ORR: -- Walter Orr, Kavik-22 Stantec. Yes, the intent of the construction process, 23 there would be two (2) simultaneous construction sites 24 operating. So there would be one (1) at the south and 25 one (1) at the North.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 2 you. 3 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, this is Chris Burn. I wonder if the Developer could confirm 4 5 that the rate of road building at the north end and the 6 south end will be approximately the same? 7 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 8 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 9 Orr, Kavik-Stantec. That would be the anticipation, 10 yes, correct. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 11 Thank 12 you. Go ahead. DR. CHRIS BURN: Chris Burn. Madam 13 Chair, I understand that a 40-kilometre road, about 6 14 15 metres in width and initially about 10 centimetres of 16 ice, is to be constructed in a month. My arithmetic 17 suggests that that requires about 800 cubic metres of 18 water a day. 19 If the same rate of water withdrawal is 20 being effected at the north end of the road, then we 21 will need 1,600 cubic metres of water a day, which is 22 considerably greater than the 1,000 cubic metres that 23 we have just heard is required. 24 And I wonder if the Developer could 25 comment on my arithmetic, please?

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

144 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. JIM STEVENS: One moment, Madam Chair? 4 5 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 6 Certainly. 7 8 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 10 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, can we 11 caucus a moment, please? 12 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I'm 13 sorry? 14 MR. WALTER ORR: Can we have a moment, 15 please? 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. Why don't we just have a fifteen (15) minute break and 17 18 let you have some time and let people stretch a bit? 19 We'll take a fifteen (15) minute break. 20 21 --- Upon recessing at 2:42 p.m. 22 --- Upon resuming at 2:59 p.m. 23 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: So if 25 everybody's ready, we can get back to our -- the

145 questioning. Are you fine there? 1 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 4 5 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 6 Orr, Kavik-Stantec. I apologize. I was eating a mouthful of cookie at the time. 7 8 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: They're worth it. 9 10 MR. WALTER ORR: Okay, to Dr. Burn. To summarize your -- your question, I believe it's -- it 11 12 is that when you calculate -- when you do the 13 arithmetic on -- on the -- the distances that we've 14 noted and the days and the -- and the distant -- and 15 the thickness and the width, it comes up to more than 16 we've noted in the EIS. 17 Does that summarize the question 18 accurately? 19 DR. CHRIS BURN: (NONVERBAL RESPONSE). 20 MR. WALTER ORR: Okay, I will respond 21 to that -- that question. I will -- there's three (3) 22 things I would like to clarify on this. And that while 23 it is true that the -- the southern portion of the 24 road, the initial pit that we would be working from, is 25 40 kilometres from kilometre zero, roughly. It -- in

fact, we would not be constructing a full-depth winter 1 road over that entire length of 40 metres (sic). 2 3 Initially, what would be happening, we 4 would mobilize equipment into there, typically with a 5 Cat train (phonetic), a light-duty winter access road. And the -- then we would be constructing south from 6 that -- that with a full-strength parallel access road, 7 as -- as we've described. 8 9 And that parallel access road would --10 would move along with construction and -- and slightly precede it. So there would not be a -- a full 40 11 12 kilometres of -- of full-strength winter road 13 maintained on the southern part, number one. 14 Number two, the -- the northern portion, 15 there would never be more than about 20 kilometres 16 maximum of -- of this type of parallel winter road being maintained, because that's the -- about the 17 18 longest distance between pits in the North. So we 19 would have a shorter length -- shorter amount of winter 20 road in the North than we would have in the south. The 21 south would not necessarily be the full 40 kilometres 22 as a full-strength winter road. 23 And -- and then in addition, I'd like to 24 -- to point out that in the EIS -- and I'll refer to 25 1.5.1.3 in the EIS -- we note that the -- we are

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

prepared to -- the type A water licence: 1 2 "The construction of the proposed 3 highway is anticipated to use a thousand cubic metres or more per day 4 5 during peak periods of construction, 6 particularly when establishing 7 temporary winter roads." So we have not limited the amount of 8 9 water to a thousand cubic metre per day maximum. We said a thousand cubic metres or more. So we would, in 10 fact, anticipate the necessary water licenses for the 11 12 actual requirements would be obtained by the con --13 constructor at the time appropriate. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 15 you. 16 DR. CHRIS BURN: Chris Burn. Madam Chair, I wonder if the Board would be interested in 17 18 knowing what is the upper limit of daily water 19 withdrawals that the Developer is proposing given that 20 they -- the -- as I understand it, the Board -- the 21 Board's report is a document which is considered by the 22 regulator. 23 MR. WALTER ORR: I'm sorry, I didn't 24 hear a question to the Proponent. 25 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I could

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

get -- why don't you repeat it. I'm sure I could, 1 2 but... 3 DR. CHRIS BURN: Chris Burn. Madam 4 Chair, the question is: What is the upper limit --5 upper daily limit of water withdrawal that is 6 anticipated by the Developer given that the report of 7 the EIRB is a document that is considered by the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board? 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yeah. 10 We'll skip the last part of that question. 11 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, whoa, 12 whoa, what happened. Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. While my 13 colleagues are looking up part that answer, the part that we wanted to clarify -- that I wanted to clarify 14 15 is that the trigger for a water license is anything 16 over 300 cubic metres a day in terms of water use. And 17 the prevailing Water Board in -- within the Inuvialuit 18 Settlement region is the NWT Water Board, not the 19 Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board. 20 That's just a clarifi -- clarification 21 of --22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yeah, we 23 24 MR. RICK HOOS: -- Dr. Burn's comments. 25 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: -- I had

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 actually already said that we were going to remove the 2 last part of that question because it isn't relevant. 3 Thank you.

4 MR. RICK HOOS: Thank you. 5 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 6 Orr, Kavik-Stantec. With respect to Dr. Burn, you know, I'm -- I'm hesitant to speculate in -- in a forum 7 like this. The -- certainly the numbers, as you have 8 9 done the arithmetic, and I can do the arithmetic, they are not grossly different from the thousand or more 10 11 that we've stated. They're certainly not in order of 12 magnitude greater.

And so I would say that the EIS, when it states a thousand cubic metres per day or more at the peak, is probably accurately stating what the requirements are or sufficiently accurately for the Board.

18 They will certainly vary as construction 19 happens and will be -- will have to be appropriately 20 permitted, as Mr. Hoos has said. But, you know, to 21 give you -- to put a number on the record right now, 22 you know, to me the -- without fully going though the 23 implications of -- of what that may or may not mean, 24 I'd be -- I'm hesitant to do it. 25 I'm confident that they are not grossly

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

150 different from what we have stated in the EIS, having 1 just done the arithmetics myself. 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 4 you. 5 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, I wonder 6 if the Board would be interested in knowing when it will be known which lakes are to be used for water 7 withdrawal? 8 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos 13 ___ 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 15 MR. RICK HOOS: -- Kiggiak-EBA. I think the Board is aware now that Golder and Associates were 16 17 retained by the Developer to sample a number of water 18 bodies that could be used conceivably for water 19 withdrawal for the construction of this project. 20 Unfortunately, none of us here have seen 21 -- we didn't -- okay, none of us here seem to know 22 which lakes those were but they were obviously defined 23 for them in the scope of work that they were provided 24 with. 25 However, at the end of the day the more

-- the more important thing is that the Developer is 1 committed to informing the NWT Water Board of which 2 water bodies might be considered for water withdrawal 3 and the kinds of volumes that might be considered for 4 5 withdrawal. Again, though, on the complete 6 understanding that whatever the amounts are, they will nev -- they will always conform to the water withdrawal 7 requirements of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans. 8 9 So basically the bottom line is that the specific water bodies that would be used would be 10 provided in applications to the NWT Water Board for a 11 Class A water licence. And that -- that would happen 12 13 at some time after -- we -- we hope, that this project 14 has completed the review process and a positive outcome 15 has resulted from it. Thank you. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 17 you. Go ahead. 18 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam 19 Chair. Chris Burn. I wonder in the timetable that has 20 been outlined for the Board now, who is expected to 21 conduct the impact assessment for ecological and 22 cultural values, and ecological and cultural 23 significance of the specific lakes identified and 24 selected for use? 25 For example, Zed Lake is used by many

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

people from the region, and I wondered if the Developer 1 could indicate the activities that will be attempted, 2 or the activities that will be conducted in order to 3 complete the impact assessment? 4 5 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 6 MR. RICK HOOS: Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. 7 I think we can say today with certainty that there will be no significant environmental impacts associated with 8 9 the withdrawal of limited amounts of water from certain specific lakes in conformity with the DFO water 10 11 withdraw guidelines. 12 But I do very much appreciate Dr. Burn's 13 point related to obtaining advice and input from the HTCs and the con -- and the community members regarding 14 15 the culture values that may be associated with certain 16 water bodies. I myself have had personal experience 17 with that many years ago during the oil and gas operations in the Mackenzie Delta and the offshore 18 19 Beaufort Sea. Our particular company facilitated the 20 construction of the water reservoir in Tuk, and our 21 particular company that I worked for proposed the use 22 of water from a particular water body. We were very 23 confident that that was a good water supply. However, 24 when we consulted with the community of Tuk we found 25 out it was a lake that had significant spiritual and

cultural values to the community and members of the 1 community, and we understood that maybe that was not a 2 very good lake to take water from, and we headed the 3 advice of the community as to what might be a better 4 5 source, or supply for such water. 6 So in the context of water use -- you know, lakes that might be used for water withdrawal for 7 this project, we would certainly feel it is important 8 9 to again consult with the HTCs and the communities to 10 make sure that we would not be selecting any -- any 11 lakes for water withdrawal that would have significant 12 cultural or spiritual values associated with them, and, 13 in fact, that they were lakes that were acceptable to 14 the communities involved. Thank you. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 16 you. 17 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you --18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 19 DR. CHRIS BURN: -- Madam Chair. That. 20 concludes the questions on water quantity. And if you 21 would permit me I'd like to move to a discussion, or a 22 series of questions regarding the estimate of aggregate 23 quantities that have been provided to the Board. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Proceed. 25 DR. CHRIS BURN: The first -- the first

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

154 question is -- is sadly a repetition of a question that 1 2 I posed at the technical conference. Unfortunately, the person who is -- who -- who might have been able to 3 answer the question wasn't present at the technical 4 5 conference. And the question refers to the estimates 6 of the quantity of aggregate, or construction 7 materials, or embankment materials, that are available in the sources which have been investigated. 8 9 Now, last winter a -- there was an investigation at several sources, and four (4) of those 10 11 sources are included in the estimates of the material 12 that is being presented to the Board. Those sources 13 are 314-325, which is one (1) source, 170, 173-305, and 14 307. 15 Now, all of those locations are places 16 where this winter a granular investigation took place. And as a result of that investigation there are 17 18 estimates of the amount of material that is present in 19 those pits that can be available for construction. 20 Now, I'd be grateful if the Developer 21 would indicate to the Board the precision of the 22 estimates that have been presented. And they were 23 presented again this morning in the -- in the erratum 24 that was given to us early on this morning. But they -25 - they refer to 325-314, 170, 173-305, and 307.

155 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, Shawn 3 McArthur will come to the table to answer that. 4 5 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 6 you. 7 8 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 10 MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: Madam Chair, my 11 name is Shawn McArthur, from Kavik-Stantec. Basically, 12 we presented the proven volumes after we drilled so we're confident in the values we found. 13 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I have a -- a panel member that would like you to repeat that if 15 16 you don't mind, please. 17 MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: We completed a 18 proven level investigation and we're confident in the 19 values we found from that investigation. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 21 you. 22 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, am I to 23 interpret that to mean that there is at least as much 24 as you have stated, or that this is your best estimate 25 of what is in the source?

MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: This is our best 1 estimate as to what's in the polygon that we 2 investigated, which is a subsection of the overall 3 4 borrow source. 5 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 6 you. 7 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, I wonder if the Developer could indicate whether they anticipate 8 9 that then the total borrow source contains double the amount that is there, or triple, or one (1) and a half, 10 or what quantity. 11 12 And again, if they could -- could 13 clarify to the Board that regardless of what 14 environmental conditions may exist in the environments that were not drilled, the quantities are present in 15 16 the deposit; the quantities that are published in the 17 tables are present in the deposit? 18 MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: Shawn McArthur, 19 Kavik-Stantec. First of all, to extrapolate outside of 20 where we drilled, it would be a prospective volume. 21 What we are presenting here is proven, based on what we 22 drilled for those polygons. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 24 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you very much. 25 Chris -- this is Chris Burn, Madam Chair. That is a

helpful response for us, because it means that in a 1 sense the estimates from the 2012 work are estimates 2 that could be deemed to be extremely reliable. 3 The next question that I have concerns 4 5 the three (3) deposits where activity -- geotechnical 6 testing of the nature that Mr. McArthur has described did not take place. And these are Source 309, and 174, 7 and 177. At these locations it appears that the 8 9 Developer is relying on estimates from the 1970s. 10 Could the Developer simply confirm that? 11 MS. ROBYN MCGREGOR: Robyn McGregor, 12 Kiggiak-EBA. Yes. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Robyn. 14 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, at the 15 technical conference, we asked the Developer if they 16 could estimate the -- the precision of the estimates that came from the 1970s, and it was not possible for 17 18 me to interpret that answer in quantitative terms. As 19 a result of that, I wonder if the Developer has compared the estimates from Sources 314-325, 170, 173-20 21 305, and 307, for which we have both the 1970s 22 estimates and the estimates of proven material from 23 2012? 24 MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: Shawn McArthur, 25 Kavik-Stantec. Our --

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

158 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 1 2 MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: Oh, our recent investigation was based on a much smaller polygon area. 3 As described in our reports, we started with the 4 overall area that EBA identified, did some train 5 6 analysis (phonetic) to identify likely units that would contain suitable material. And from there we also 7 further drilled down, pardon the pun, to find some --8 9 one (1) or two (2) of the key polygons in each area to 10 investigate. And that's why, I think, reflective in 11 12 these numbers in the table, it's much smaller than what 13 was the original estimates. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 15 you. Go ahead. 16 DR. CHRIS BURN: Mr. -- Madam Chair, this is Chris Burn. I -- the question was: Has the 17 18 Developer compared the estimates from the 1970s with 19 estimates from the same sources obtained in 2012? 20 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, we'll 21 need a moment. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, go 23 ahead. 24 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

159 1 MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: Madam Chair, Shawn 2 McAr --3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes, go ahead. 4 5 MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: Oh, Shawn 6 McArthur, Kavik-Stantec. We have, I quess, indirectly done the analysis, or done the comparisons. We -- like 7 I said, we started off with a large volume -- or a 8 9 large area and reduced it down, and that's how we end 10 up with a smaller number then comparable to the last 11 reports from the '70s. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 13 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam 14 Chair. This is Chris Burn. Madam Chair, in the 1970s at Source 170, the reports identified about 6 million 15 16 cubic yards of material, or just over 4 1/2 million 17 cubic metres. The investigation that is reported to the Board in the reports filed in August prove about 18 19 nought point six seven (0.67), or about 2/3s of a 20 million cubic metres in the deposit. The 1970s data 21 suggest there's four point six (4.6). We find nought 22 point six (0.6). 23 In Source 172, the 1970s data suggested 24 there was .92 cubic metres, and the recent 25 investigation proved about .14 cubic metres. If we

look at the data for all of the six (6) sources that we 1 have information from the '70s and from 2012, we find 2 that the 1970s estimate was over 14 million cubic 3 metres, and we find just less than 5 million cubic 4 5 metres. There is approximately only 35 percent proved 6 from the 1970s estimate. 7 Now, I have shared this information with the Developer, and I wonder if the Developer would like 8 9 to comment on that calculation. 10 MR. SHAWN MCARTHUR: Madam Chair, it's 11 Shawn McArthur, Kavin -- Kavic-Stantec. I've got your 12 table in front of us, Dr. Burns (sic). We have proven 13 out very small areas within the larger polygons. As 14 described in our reports and previously, we have 15 drilled several small polygons within the area, not the entire borrow source. Thus, I think our numbers are 16 17 representative of proven volumes in those small areas 18 within the borrow source. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 20 DR. CHRIS BURN: Chris Burn. Madam 21 Chair, I wonder how the Developer could suggest that 22 the Board might estimate how much aggregate is there 23 that is not proven but is there? 24 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, can we defer the answer to that question for later in the 2 proceedings? 3 4 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes, you 5 can. 6 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, if the answer is deferred it's difficult for me to continue 7 the line of questioning. And, therefore, it may be 8 9 appropriate to break my questions at this point, and to 10 reinitiate the questioning when the Developer is in a position to tell me the answer. 11 12 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Madam Chair, it's 13 John Donihee, the Board counsel. If Dr. Burn defers, 14 which we're happy to do if it will assist the -- the 15 Developer to consider that question, then perhaps I should -- I have a few matters that I'd like to 16 17 investigate as well through some questions, and I can 18 get that done. And my suggestion then would be that we 19 come back to Dr. Burn's questions first thing tomorrow 20 morning, if -- if that's acceptable. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Is that 22 acceptable to the Developer? Okay. 23 MR. JIM STEVENS: It is, Madam Chair. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 25 Then go ahead with your questions. you.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam Chair. I have two (2) areas that I want to ask some 4 5 questions about. The first one is in relation to some 6 of the evidence that's been filed in relation to the 7 worse case-scenario, and the second one relates to -specifically to the way that the Developer's reply to 8 9 the technical submissions from the various parties relates to the impact assessment process. 10 11 So I'll start with the worse-case 12 scenario. And if you'll bear with me the -- the first 13 few questions are just to confirm and perhaps refresh 14 in your minds what's already on the record. The matter 15 of worse-case scenario, of course, is -- must -- must 16 be investigated in order to satisfy the Board's -- or 17 the panel's obligations under the Inuvialuit Final 18 Agreement. 19 And the Developer was instructed to 20 address this issue in the EIS terms of reference, and 21 you did so in Section 4.4.5 of the EIS. The scenario 22 that you set out was, essentially, a fuel truck 23 crashing on the highway during spring freshette in an 24 area where there was an open water course draining into 25 Husky Lakes, and where somewhere in the range of about

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 10,000 litres of fuel was spilled.

You provided an estimate of the costs of compensation to Inuvialuit harvesters, and also for replacement of harvesting equipment in Table 4.4.5.2, and that that total amount was in the order of eight (8) -- pardon me, four hundred and eighty-six thousand dollars (\$486,000).

8 In IR Number 69 issued on January the 9 16th, the panel -- Board, at that time actually, asked about cleanup costs and habitat remediation costs, 10 wildlife compensation agreements, and other matters. 11 12 So the initial information provided in the EIS only 13 addressed compensation for lost fish and, essentially, 14 compensation for lost fish nets or replacement of fish nets that might be fouled by -- by oil. 15

16 And so IR 69 expanded the -- the scope 17 of -- of the worse-case scenario being considered, and 18 I -- I just want to start to ask my questions from --19 from there. So the -- one (1) of the first questions 20 that was asked in that Information Request related to 21 whether or not the Developer had had any discussions with HTCs or the Inuvialuit Game Council about the 22 23 possibility of a wildlife compensation agreement. 24 These agreements are commonly entered into among 25 Developers undertaking projects in the Inuvialuit

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

settlement region. 1 2 At the time when you answered, you indicated that you had not talked to any of these 3 agencies about wildlife compensation but that you 4 5 could. And so my question is simply: 6 Have you undertaken any of those kinds of discussions with game council or the HTCs since your 7 response in February? 8 9 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, those -those discussions have not occurred at this time. 10 11 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. 12 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 13 Chair. John Donihee. Is it the Developer's intention 14 to undertake such discussions and, if so when and, if 15 not why not? 16 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, the intent of the -- is to have those discussions. At this 17 18 time I don't have a date for those meetings. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 20 you. 21 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 22 Chair. The rest of your response to IR 69 resulted in 23 some estimates of the additional costs that might be 24 incurred in order to actually do the cleanup of a spill 25 such as the one which you identified as the worst-case

1 scenario.

2 And there were two (2) ta -- there was a table, IR 69-1, and depending on the length of time 3 required for the cleanup, you estimated a cost of -- of 4 5 two hundred and sixty thousand dollars (\$260,000), plus 6 or minus, for a five (5) day cleanup exercise. And 7 double that, five hundred and sixty-one thousand dollars (\$561,000), for a ten (10) day cleanup. 8 9 And so I just want to confirm now that tho -- those costs are in addition to the four hundred 10 and eighty-six thousand dollars (\$486,000) that you had 11 12 previously estimated for compensation of Inuvialuit. 13 MR. JIM STEVENS: One (1) moment, Madam 14 Chair. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 16 17 (BRIEF PAUSE) 18 19 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 20 Kiqqiak-EBA. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 22 MR. RICK HOOS: The direct response to 23 John's question is that, yes, indeed, these costs 24 presented in IR 69 are in addition to the previous 25 numbers provided in the EIS estimate of costs related

to the replacement of equipment and things of that 1 nature for fishermen. 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 4 you. 5 MR. JIM STEVENS: Just a supplemental 6 on that cost issue. It should be noted that the party responsible for the spill remains basically responsible 7 for those costs. And I should note that ENR is the 8 9 lead agencies (sic) that handle spills on NWT highways. 10 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you for that, 11 Madam Chair. John Donihee, again. I think -- that's 12 useful, but it's not necessarily what the land claim 13 says. So I don't propose to explore that any further 14 with you. I want to go on. 15 IR Number 89 also dealt with a worst-16 case scenario. And it was actually sent to AANDC and 17 to several of the federal departments. I just want to 18 speak, for the moment, to the response received from --19 when I say AANDC, Aboriginal Affairs and Northern 20 Development Canada, for those of you who don't live 21 with acronyms. 22 And I'm summarizing and -- and 23 certainly, if you disagree with my assessment or 24 evaluation of AANDC's answers, please feel free to say 25 so. But, generally, I -- I think that what I

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 understood from their answer was that they felt that 2 the worst-case scenario that you had identified was 3 potentially reasonable.

And what they indicated in their answer 4 5 was that they felt that the estimate of cleanup costs 6 might be a little bit optimistic because of some 7 assumptions made about temperatures, ice conditions, and -- and some of the other kinds of things, I guess, 8 9 in the physical environment which can actually make cleaning these kinds of -- of spills up more difficult. 10 11 So, you know, what AANDC suggested was 12 that they -- they felt that the cleanup of a -- of a 13 full B-train of fuel would be difficult in five (5) to 14 ten (10) days. And they gave the example of a 15,000 15 litre spill on the winter road between Norman Wells and 16 Fort Good Hope, which took fourteen (14) days to clean 17 up and cost over seven hundred and fifty thousand 18 dollars (\$750,000).

19 So I'm wondering if -- if you would like 20 to make any comment, at this point, in -- in light of 21 AANDC's views of how conservative your estimates of 22 costs might be and -- and perhaps the assumptions you 23 made about conditions that might have to be dealt with 24 in light of such a spill.

25

THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead.

1 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, let me first say hopefully there won't be any incidents to 2 explore the cost issue. But with respect to comparing 3 the incident at Hanna Creek (phonetic) versus our 4 proposed worst-case scenario, it should be remembered 5 6 that the Hanna Creek issue was serviced by a winter road with some limitations. 7 8 In our worst-case scenario it would be 9 serviced by an all-weather road. We would probably 10 have quicker and more direct access to the incident 11 site. And on that basis, I would say that our costs 12 probably would be reliable and in some cases, you know, 13 if there are extra additional things that can't be predicted, obviously maybe the DIAND cost is -- is 14 15 closer to the mark. MR. JOHN DONIHEE: This is John Donihee 16 17 My -- my understanding of the spill that AANDC again. 18 referred to was that it occurred in the wintertime, 19 they accessed it right off the road where the spill 20 happened. I -- I quess I'm just not clear why you say that there'd be differences in the lev -- in the level 21 22 of accessibility in the two (2) cases. 23 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, one (1) 24 of the basic differences would be the response time to 25 the actual incident site. Typically the winter road

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

169 travel speeds are 15 to 25 kilometres an hour, possibly 1 2 lower for heavy equipment. 3 With the proposed Inuvik-Tuk Highway we would expect heavy equipment to go on that road a lot 4 5 quicker and, therefore, the shorter response time. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 7 you. MR. JOHN DONIHEE: John Donihee. 8 Thank 9 you, Madam Chair. I think I'll just leave that there at the moment. 10 11 There -- there are other -- there's evid 12 -- other evidence in front of the Board in relation to 13 worst-case scenario and, in -- in particular, I'm 14 referring to the evidence provided by the Wildlife 15 Management Advisory Council NWT, WMAC. And they --16 they posit an alternative worst-case scenario which involves a -- a total, or a near total loss of caribou 17 18 harvesting in the project area for a long period. 19 And I want to take you to -- to their 20 submission. If you have it you might turn it up. And so I'm referring to the WMAC's review of the 21 22 environmental impact statement for construction that 23 was filed on September the 7th, I believe. 24 And if you'll turn to page 22. The 25 portion of the submission that deals with worst-case

scenario begins on page 21 and the conditions or 1 assumptions, I suppose we could call them, around which 2 WMAC's worst-case scenario are constructed are found on 3 pages 22 and 23. 4 5 Now, on September the 11th, I believe, 6 you filed a response or a -- a reply to the technical 7 submissions provided by the -- September 13th, I apologize, to the technical submissions filed by the 8 9 parties. 10 And on page 41 of your response you 11 indicated that, and I'm quoting in -- in reference to 12 the WMAC scenario: 13 "This is a valid potential 14 alternative worst-case scenario." 15 So I just want to explore your views on the elements from which WMAC constructed their worst-16 case scenario. So let's go back to page 22, point 17 18 number 1. They say: 19 "Past experience elsewhere has shown 20 that caribou populations are not 21 resilient to long-term human 22 development and disturbance." 23 Now, is that -- is that comment correct, 24 in your view? 25

171 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. RICK HOOS: Sorry. Madam Chair, 4 Rich Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) 7 8 MR. RICK HOOS: I guess the general 9 opening comment I would make is that most people who have worked with caribou understand that caribou 10 populations do fluctuate from time to time in response 11 12 to any number of types of activities -- or sorry, 13 natural fluctuations, as -- and perhaps, at times, those natural fluctuations have been further 14 15 exacerbated or affected by some other kind of human 16 activity. 17 I'm aware of -- of areas in northern 18 Alberta, for instance, where there has been 19 considerable pressure as a result of an ac -industrial activities imposed on caribou populations. 20 21 And there may be some linkage between the -- the health 22 and well-being of those populations and activities 23 surrounding them. 24 In the case of the caribou in the 25 Inuvik-Tuk area on the Tuk Peninsula, those caribou

have not been affected, as far as we know, by any other 1 2 let's say industrial activity at this time. 3 We also know that from some of the 4 papers in Europe where, again, there have been a 5 multitude of development activities also associated 6 with presence of caribou herds that there may have been 7 some impacts exhibited from those kinds of activities. 8 We also know that at Prudhoe Bay, as an 9 example, which again I will refer to as one (1) of the largest oilfields in North America, the central arctic 10 11 herd has cohabited with the oilfield activities since 12 the 1960s. The population throughout that time has, in 13 general, actually increased even with very large levels 14 of industrial activity. 15 We also know that the Dempster caribou, 16 the Porcupine Herd, has varied over time in the 17 presence of the road. We do know -- we do not know of 18 any information that would suggest that the presence of 19 the road has had a significant effect on the population of the Porcupine Caribou Herd. 20 21 So I guess I'm just sort of rambling on. But I think the bottom line is, we do not see the 22 23 construction or operation of the highway having a 24 significant residual effect on the health and well-25 being of the caribou herds, but we do remain and share

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

the concern that there have to continue to be 1 management controls imposed for the protection and 2 well- being of those herds that spend part of their 3 4 life cycle, particular the wintering period, in the 5 vicinity of -- of the proposed highway. 6 7 (BRIEF PAUSE) 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 10 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 11 Chair. John Donihee. Yeah, Mr. Hoo -- Hoos, I -- I do 12 understand your position. And, of course, you 13 understand, as do I, that WMAC doesn't share it. And 14 so what I'm trying to do is to actually explore the 15 bases for your two (2) positions in order to help the 16 panel try to chose between those two (2) positions. 17 And so I thank you for that answer, but 18 the question that I asked, really, was whether or not 19 you agree with the first premise set out by the WMAC in 20 their technical submission to the Board, and -- and, 21 that is, that past experience has shown that caribou 22 populations aren't resilient to long-term human 23 development and disturbance. 24 I listened to your answer, and you gave 25 me some indication of areas where you don't believe

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 that's happened, but you also gave me some information
2 that indicates that in some places maybe that has
3 happened.

So I'm wondering if you want to add anything more to that answer, or not. I'm -- I'll stick with that if you don't want to say anything more, and I'll move on to the next point.

8 MR. RICK HOOS: Rick Hoos. John, I 9 understand what you're trying to pursue, and for some 10 of the questions I think the answer will be that we 11 agree with the assumptions of WMAC, but with regard to 12 the first one, it's not appropriate to give a clear cut 13 "yes" or "no" answer.

14 It's -- the answer is variable depending 15 on the circumstances of the kinds of industrial, or in 16 this case it's not even -- in -- in my view, this road 17 is not even an industrial undertaking per se. It is a 18 highway infrastructure activity for the benefit of the 19 communities of the area, and it's a different kind of 20 project. Thank you.

21 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: But you'd agree --22 it's John Donihee. You'd agree, sir, that many 23 industrial undertakings come with roads attached. You 24 -- you know, like Red Dog Mine is a good example. You 25 know, Meadowbank Mine is another example. So there --

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

there's certainly some similarities between industrial 1 -- some of the elements of industrial activities in the 2 arctic and -- and a -- and this road. 3 Is that fair? 4 5 MR. RICK HOOS: Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. 6 Absolutely. Actually my colleague, Erica Bonhomie, 7 would like to add something to the response provided so far. 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 10 MS. ERICA BONHOMIE: Thank you, Madam 11 Chair. Erica Bonhomie, Kavik-Stantec. 12 We did pose this question during the 13 traditional knowledge workshops that were conducted this summer, and some of the information that came back 14 15 from traditional knowledge holders specifically about the use of Source Road 177 by caribou was actually 16 positive. 17 18 The quote was, and this is on page 39 of 19 the traditional knowledge workshop summary report: 20 "That caribou appeared to like the 21 current 177 Access Road in the spring 22 because the road provides good 23 footing." 24 And further down that: "The participants felt based on their 25

176 experience and knowledge of caribou 1 2 that the road may have --3 getting some feedback here, sorry.] The -- the road may have an initial 4 5 effect on the caribou, but over time, 6 the caribou will eventually habituate it -- habituate to it." 7 8 Although it was qualified that with 9 large herds moving across there would likely be some 10 effects. 11 So -- and then --12 "But caribou have adapted to the 13 Dempster Highway, and are using their 14 usual routes." 15 So I would use that as an example of a 16 direct experience that people have observed in terms of caribou behaviour in response to something like human 17 18 development that may be referenced in the WMAC 19 submission, point 1. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 21 you. 22 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: John Donihee. Thank 23 you, Madam Chair. I appreciate that information. I 24 think -- you know, the experience from roads at --25 associated with mines in the North is that caribou very

177 often like the roads. One reason, of course, is that, 1 as you said, the footing is good. Another one is when 2 the embankment is fairly high and the bugs are bad, 3 it's nice to get up in the wind and get the bugs blown 4 5 off. So, you know, I -- I understand what you're 6 saying. 7 I'd like -- like to go on to the next point, then, really. So I'm back to page 22. And, you 8 9 know, WMAC is saying the Cape Bathurst and Bluenose West caribou herds are already under pressure. 10 11 Populations are at all-time recorded lows. 12 Are we -- you're in agreement with that 13 one? 14 MR. RICK HOOS: Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. 15 We absolutely are in agreement, and that is what we 16 have indicated in the EIS as well. And it's precisely 17 for those reasons that a ban was imposed on harvesting 18 of those caribou in 2006 and is still ongoing today. 19 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, John 20 Donihee. That's -- taking that as agreement with point 21 number 3, as well then, which is simply that the 22 caribou harvest is under pressure because harvesting is 23 restricted? 24 MR. RICK HOOS: Ab -- absolutely. 25 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Point number 4, I'll

1 -- I'll just read:

2	"The combined direct impact of past
3	projects on the highway
4	me] of past projects, the highway,
5	other imminent development projects,
6	and their associated road networks
7	could affect hundreds of thousands of
8	hectares of caribou habitat."
9	Do you agree with that statement?
10	MR. RICK HOOS: Rick Hoos, Kav
11	Kiggiak-EBA. Oh, this is getting bad. No, we would
12	not agree with that statement. We do not think that
13	hundreds of thousands of hectares of caribou habitat
14	are going to be impacted by the construction and
15	operation of the Inuvik-Tuk Highway. Nor are we
16	convinced that many of these other proposed
17	developments, in particular the Mackenzie gas project
18	and associated facilities, is going to happen for the
19	foreseeable future.
20	And even if they they were to
21	develop, it's going to be a long time before that
22	combination of potentially foreseeable projects could
23	ever expand to the point where hundreds of thousands of
24	hectares of caribou would be impacted by the presence
25	of those projects, bearing in mind that the bulk of the

project -- well, a significant portion of the project 1 is a buried pipeline. And the anchor fields are, 2 obviously, in the northern delta. But certainly 3 they're a long way off right now. Thank you. 4 5 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: John Donihee. Thank 6 you for that. I was looking at your supplemental cumulative effects assessment documentation. 7 This is the document that you filed on September 4th. 8 And 9 there's -- there's several figures in here. And I'll 10 just refer them to you; you're probably familiar with 11 them. I'm not going to ask you specifically about 12 them, but they gave me some information that I thought 13 was relevant to this line of questioning. 14 And so Figures 3 identifies caribou --15 the -- the title is, "Caribou Observations and Herd 16 Ranges, December 1st to March 31st." Figure 4 is entitled, "Spring" -- "Spring Migration and Pre-Calving 17 18 Caribou Observation and Herd Ranges, April 1 to May 19 31st." And then Figure 9, the title is, "Fall Rut 20 Caribou Observations and Herd Ranges, October 8th to 31st." 21 22 And these -- these figures caught my 23 attention because they're -- they're based on satellite telemetry. I mean, you've got, you know, everybody 24 25 likes to see the little dots on the map where the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

caribou are. And what they do show is that, at least 1 at the north end, the -- that a good -- a very good 2 part of the -- of the road is in car -- caribou range 3 all year round and that in the months that I've 4 5 mentioned, from October through until about the end of 6 May, that based on the telemetry there's lots of caribou around the north end of the -- of their -- of 7 your right-of-way on an ongoing basis. 8 So that -- that's the basis for my -- my 9 next question, I guess. I just want to make sure that 10 I haven't taken you somewhere with that that -- where 11 12 you don't want to be. 13 Is -- is -- do you have any comments 14 about that -- that observation, about those figures? 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Rick...? 19 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 20 Kiggiak-EBA. John, the reason -- we presented these 21 figures for several reasons. First of all, they were 22 in the EIS. But in the EIS, we had not actually shown 23 combined potential footprints of a -- of a variety of 24 projects, including both the highway, anything that's 25 existing now, and some of these fores -- foreseeable

1 projects in the future.

2 Certainly Figure 3, which covers the period December 1 to March 31, confirms what we have 3 stated in the EIS. And that is that the area -- much 4 5 of the area around the proposed highway between Inuvik 6 and Tuk is within the range of the -- the Cape Bathurst herd, in particular, and the Bluenose West herd, 7 absolutely, as well as the small Tuk herd at the 8 9 northern end.

10 And during the wintertime is when the 11 most caribou of any of those herds can be expected to 12 be present within the -- the vicinity of the Inuvik-Tuk 13 Highway or, as we have indicated in these figures, 14 within the cumulative effects study area that we put 15 forward for the highway which extends from the Mackenzie River on the west, essentially to the Husky 16 Lakes on the east, and north from Inuvik to Tuk. 17

18 What this Figure 3 also shows is that 19 although you can expect to find more caribou present to the -- in the area -- in the vicinity of the road 20 21 during the period December 1st to March 31st, this area 22 represents only a portion of the total winter range of 23 these two (2) caribou herds, with the rest of it being 24 located -- more than half of it being located to the 25 east of the cumulative effects study boundary that we

selected for this corridor, which again is the Husky
 Lakes area, the water separating the Tuk peninsula from
 the mainland to the -- to the east.

What these figures also show, because 4 5 they -- they do -- they do follow from winter through 6 to spring migration, what it shows clearly is that the data that we have presented in these figures indicates 7 that come the period April 1 to May 31, most of the 8 9 caribou that have spent the winter in the vicinity of 10 the highway, or slightly towards the west of the highway, up against the river, start to migrate towards 11 12 their calving grounds, which are well removed from the 13 highway corridor.

14 And what Figure 5 then indicates is that 15 by about June there are effectively no caribou 16 remaining in the area between Inuvik and Tuktoyaktuk. 17 That's not to say there may not be one (1) or two (2). 18 I personally have seen one (1) or two (2) caribou from 19 time to time between Inuvik and Tuk in the summer months, but only very occasional -- occasionally. 20 21 Ninety-nine point nine percent of the 22 caribou have probably moved off towards the Nicholson 23 or -- or Cape Bathurst peninsulas, where they do their calving, which is recognized as being the most critical 24 25 and sensitive time for these caribou herds and any

1 caribou herd.

25

2 They then stay away from the Inuvik-Tuk Highway corridor throughout July, August, even into 3 early October. And it's only at that time, towards the 4 5 beginning/middle of October, that some caribou from 6 these herds begin to migrate back into the area where 7 the pro -- proposed Inuvik-Tuk Highway is located. And that is their fall rut period, not a good time to be 8 9 near caribou. 10 And the fall rut continues through to --11 or, po -- post-rut period, it extends back into the 12 winter period for the next cycle of life for the 13 caribou herds. That's the documentation we provided in 14 those figures, and that was a bit of the analysis that 15 will be provided, when the opportunity arises, in the supplemental cumulative effects assessment. 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 18 you. John...? 19 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam Chair. John Donihee. Well, Mr. Hoos, you'll -- you'll 20

21 forgive me. I -- I really wasn't referring to these 22 figures, you know, to enter into a discussion about 23 cumulative effects. I'm still -- I'm still focussing 24 on worst-case scenario.

And I did simply refer to those figures

because, first of all, they're good evidence, and --1 and they showed that the -- for at least the period 2 from December until the end of May, based on satellite 3 telemetry, there's -- there's caribou in the immediate 4 5 vicinity of the -- of the highway. 6 And that, to me, is relevant to the 7 points that WMAC is trying to make in their worst-case scenario. And -- and it's relevant, I -- I think, to, 8 9 you know, the -- the other points, if I -- if I can 10 take you to them, you know. Six (6), seven (7), and eight (8), you know, they're talking about demographic 11 12 factors related to caribou being exacerbated by 13 indirect effects, you know, such as wildfires, 14 predation by wolves, disturbance by humans, which would 15 include hunting, et cetera, et cetera, through their utilization of linear corridors. That's number 6 in 16 17 the WMAC list. 18 And they then go on to say the 19 demographic factors could be affected by other planned 20 developments in the ISR and -- and so on, that climate 21 change could -- could have some effect as well. 22 So I guess, could you just tell me 23 whether you feel that points 6, 7, and 8 contained in 24 the WMAC worst-case scenario are -- are -- do you 25 dispute them?

185 1 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 4 MR. RICK HOOS: -- Kiggiak-EBA. I 5 don't really feel terribly comfortable in being cast in 6 the position of sounding like I constantly disagree with WMAC. But in the context of item 6, I did want to 7 point out that there are virtually -- there are very 8 9 few wildfires that occur on the tundra. Most wildfires -- most recorded wildfires that we are aware of have 10 occurred south of the tree line. 11 12 So in that context, well, we don't see 13 much of a linkage between wildfires within the -- the 14 majority of the cumulative effects study area and 15 health and well-being of the caribou herds. 16 Predation of wolves, it's well-known that when the caribou herds grow, the number of wolves 17 18 grow and wolf predation increases. As caribou 19 decrease, wolves tend to decrease. That is a reality 20 of life for caribou. The are predated upon by wolves. 21 Increased predation by wolves, I'm not 22 quite sure how that's going to arise. I believe WMAC 23 has suggested that the wolves can take advantage of the 24 road to allow them to access caribou more quickly. I'm 25 not sure that that's a reasonable assumption.

186 I think if -- if the caribou -- if the 1 wolves can take advantage of smooth road surface to get 2 closer to caribou, I think the caribou on -- using the 3 same road, can probably still outrace them. 4 5 Increased disturbance by humans through 6 their utilization of the linear corridor, as long as they are driving on the road, maybe taking photos of 7 the caribou, the caribou will be fine. If humans 8 9 decide to move off the road for the purpose of 10 harvesting, particularly at a time when a ban on caribou harvesting, particularly at a time when a ban 11 12 on caribou harvesting is in effect, that would be a 13 problem, or should be a problem for the -- for the 14 hunters; not for the caribou, hopefully. 15 You know, it's a very general statement. 16 I don't know what else to say about it. Actually, 17 again, Erica has some comments to make as well. 18 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme, 19 Kavik-Stantec. Thank you for the opportunity to 20 provide some comments on -- on the WMAC submission in 21 particular. 22 Echoing some of Rick's comments here, I 23 -- I think in particular some of the literature that is referenced in pot -- in relation -- to substantiate the 24 25 point made in point 6 in -- in relation to predation by

1 wolves. 2 I think it's appropriate in forested areas where, you know, you have increase access created 3 by linear corridors in forested areas, it is a counter-4 5 argument to suggest on one hand that caribou will avoid 6 a highway at the same time increase predation by wolves 7 that will use a highway. 8 But that aside I would like to point out 9 that it remains, under the IFA, the responsibility of 10 government agencies and co-management Boards to apply 11 conservation management measures and measures to pro --12 to protect habitat, and in the -- particularly in the 13 case of WMAC, to develop things like quotas and 14 conservation management plans for the protection of 15 species in the ISR. 16 17 (BRIEF PAUSE) 18 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 20 you. 21 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 22 Chair. It's John Donihee again. I -- I'm just trying 23 to ask you some questions. I seem to be getting quite 24 -- quite a variety of -- of response. 25 So on the one hand you said traditional

1 knowledge told you that caribou liked the road; and so 2 if caribou like the road, I expect wolves like the 3 road. And, you know, we're -- I'm not trying to have a 4 quantitative argument about the biology here. I'm --5 I'm just trying to see whether these points that were 6 made by the WMAC are within the range of -- of 7 reasonableness or -- or not.

8 Now, it -- it's clear to me from your 9 answers that you disagree -- well, you were equivocal about number 1 -- point number 1; and point number 4, 10 you weren't equiv -- you weren't equivocal about. You 11 12 said that you disagreed with that point, which was 13 about -- you know, the -- the large areas of habitat 14 that might be essentially put out of commission, or --15 or -- I'm sorry, that's not very -- that would no 16 longer be functional, to use the language of the WMAC. 17 So you disagree about that.

18 And I -- I guess the other questions I 19 asked were more to try to see whether in fact the 20 source of your disagreement was purely based on this habitat issue. You know, whether the road would in 21 22 some way reduce the value or the utility of the habitat 23 to caribou, or whether in fact you disagreed that these sorts of projects have impacts, linear projects have 24 25 impacts on -- on caribou populations.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 So maybe I'll -- I'll try and just rephrase it that way. I think we have your answer on 2 the question of habitat. You know, what -- what about 3 the -- the other part about indirect effects related to 4 5 the use of the road? 6 It certainly seems, based on experience I'm aware of that, you know, hunters -- I mean, you 7 know, in -- in Mackenzie Valley, when they had to 8 9 restrict access to the Bathurst caribou herd recently, 10 you know, one of the first things that was proposed was 11 to establish a no-shooting zone along the -- the winter 12 roads. 13 So I'd be surprised -- well, maybe I 14 won't be surprised. Are -- I -- I assume that you 15 agree, then, that the access that can be provided by these facilities can be one of those indirect effects 16 17 that may -- may impact the caribou populations. 18 Are -- are we in agreement on that 19 point? 20 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, 21 Kiggiak-EBA. Yes, we -- we have indicated that. We 22 have provided examples of the kind of management 23 systems that have been in -- put in place for the 24 Dempster Highway in relation to harvesting practices 25 and har -- harvesting activities.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

And there is significant documentation 1 that's been provided to the Board to indicate the kind 2 of measures that were implemented in relation to the 3 Dempster Highway to ensure that harvesting activities 4 5 are controlled, where harvesting is permitted to occur. 6 And those kinds of measures, we 7 suggested, those types of measures could and should be considered at some point in the future, when the ban on 8 9 harvesting of caribou is potentially lifted which, of course, would not presumably occur unless it was 10 demonstrated by sound science and resource management 11 12 sampling that the herds had recovered to the point 13 where managed harvesting should be permitted to occur. 14 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 15 Chair. John Donihee. Do you agree that, you know, 16 when caribou populations are at all-time lows and, you 17 know, climate may or may not be changing, you know, 18 that any effects resulting from construction and 19 operation of a road project would be cumulative to the stresses that those populations are already under? 20 21 22 (BRIEF PAUSE) 23 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 25 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos,

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

191 Kiqqiak-EBA. John, you missed number 7. I was hoping 1 to be ready to respond to the article that was 2 referenced in number 7. And if you -- if -- if I may, 3 I would like to respond to that one. 4 5 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Actually, if you 6 don't mind, would you answer the question I asked you 7 and --8 Okay, sorry. MR. RICK HOOS: MR. JOHN DONIHEE: -- then maybe I'll 9 10 come to number 7. 11 MR. RICK HOOS: Fair enough. My 12 apologies. I -- I think as a scientist who's been 13 working in the Arctic for more than thirty-five (35) 14 years, I -- I think I would certainly agree that 15 climate change does affect, or can affect, all VECs in 16 this area. Whether a climate change effect might be 17 positive or negative towards a particular VEC, I think 18 would be the subject of considerable evaluation before 19 any conclusion could be drawn. 20 I would not be able to suggest to you 21 today whether continued warming of the climate, for 22 instance, if that is projected to occur, is going to be 23 beneficial or harmful for the caribou herds in this 24 area. And I'm not sure that WMAC could make any 25 assertions on that re -- in that regard either.

THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 1 Thank you. John...? 2 3 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: It's John Donihee. You still didn't answer my question, Mr. Hoos. 4 5 MR. RICK HOOS: Could you repeat the 6 question then, please? 7 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Yes, sir. It's John Donihee, again. The question was that when pop --8 9 caribou populations are at an all-time low and harvesting has already been restricted, whether in fact 10 11 impacts, direct or indirect, that result from a linear 12 development such as this road -- and I'm not asking you 13 to -- to comment on the magnitude or extent. 14 Simply, would you agree that the -- the 15 -- any additional impacts are cumulative to those which 16 -- to those stresses which are already being felt by those caribou populations? 17 18 19 (BRIEF PAUSE) 20 21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 22 MR. RICK HOOS: Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. 23 My professional judgment is that the small numbers of 24 caribou that still move into the wintering areas each 25 winter will not be affected in any significant way by

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

the construction or operation of this road. There will 1 be no significant residual effects associated with the 2 construction or operation of the road. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 4 Thank 5 you. Do you have another -- other questions? 6 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 7 Chair. I'll move on. There's one other point in the WMAC worst-case scenario materials that I'd -- I'd just 8 9 like to put to you and -- and ask you to -- to -- or, 10 give you the opportunity to comment on. 11 It's found on page 23, and it -- that's 12 the spot, the second paragraph there, if you've got the 13 matter in front of you, where they say: 14 "Seventy percent of households with 15 children in the ISR have an active 16 hunter, and fresh or dried caribou 17 meat is the most commonly consumed 18 country food." 19 You know, does that conform with the 20 information that you've gathered from your -- your TK studies? 21 22 23 (BRIEF PAUSE) 24 25 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme,

Kavik-Stantec. 1 2 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 3 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Oh, I'm sorry, Madam Chair. The TK report does say that that used to 4 5 be the case. Now, however, with caribou hunting 6 restricted, fish is the dominant country food in households. 7 8 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 9 you. 10 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 11 Chair, John Donihee. Just one (1) follow-up then. 12 Would it be your understanding that caribou would be the food of choice if -- if the 13 populations weren't so low and restrictions weren't in 14 15 place? 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 17 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme, 18 Kavik-Stantec. That is not information directly 19 reported on in the report, but through our experience 20 conducting consultations and other information-21 gathering sessions, yes, we would believe that caribou 22 would be a food of choice. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. 24 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 25 Chair. Those are my questions on that -- that issue

related to the worst-case scenario. I -- I want to 1 move on. I have a few questions about -- as I 2 indicated, about the reply made by the Developer to the 3 submissions from the parties. 4 5 A reply was filed on the 13th of 6 September. And for the sake of Mr. Stevens and his 7 team, I'm -- I'm just going to kind of work my way through that document from front to back. 8 9 If you'll -- you can turn to page 3 of 10 the document, if you will. And in that section, your Section 2, you're responding to concerns raised by 11 12 Environment Canada. And Environment Canada had -- had made some -- had so -- had some information in their 13 intervention that addresses the effects of blasting and 14 15 some of the residues of blasting on water quality. 16 And the re -- the reply made by the Developer was simply that -- and I'm just going to read 17 18 the last sentence in your response: 19 "The contractor's explosives 20 management plan will outline the 21 procedure for employing these 22 explosives and provisions to ensure 23 that blast residue will not enter any 24 water bodies." 25 And can you confirm that this explosives

196 management plan is -- is not yet filed with the panel, 1 2 please? 3 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, that is 4 correct. 5 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, sir. 6 John Donihee again. If you go to page 8, that involves your response to Environment Canada in respect of some 7 of the issues they raised about wildlife, wildlife 8 9 concerns. And your response is in relation to a 10 wildlife management plan. 11 I'm pretty sure I heard you say this 12 morning that the wildlife management plan is not yet 13 prepared and has not been filed with the Board. 14 Would you confirm that, please? 15 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, that is 16 correct. 17 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: You can move to page 18 10. It's got to do with your responses to Fisheries 19 and Oceans Canada. And there's a reference there. I'm 20 sorry, I've -- I've misdirected you, but I know it's in here. There -- there's a reference -- excuse me for a 21 22 second. 23 24 (BRIEF PAUSE) 25

MR. JOHN DONIHEE: The -- the reference 1 is to -- I apologize, it's escaping me. But if you 2 want, I'll -- you'll recognize it. You've mentioned it 3 this morning, anyways. And that's simply to the 4 5 updated cumulative effects assessment that's being 6 prepared by the Developer. 7 I understand that we have a portion of -- of that filed on September the 4th -- I referred to 8 some of that a little earlier -- but that the 9 explanation and the update to the cumulative effects 10 11 plan is yet to be filed with the Board as well. Can you confirm that? 12 13 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, yes, that 14 is correct. We are looking for an opportunity to file 15 the analysis that goes with the figures and tables. 16 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, sir. 17 John Donihee. You could turn to page 14. And it's in 18 relation to comments in the DFO materials in relation 19 to water crossings. And I'm referring now to the last 20 paragraph there that simply says: 21 "The Developer confirms that during 22 the regulatory phase, a detailed 23 design and associated site-specific 24 mitigation will be submitted to DFO 25 and other regulators for review and

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 approval." 2 So these detailed mitigations are -- are 3 yet to be provided. Is that correct? 4 MR. WALTER ORR: Madam Chair, Walter 5 Orr, Kavik-Stantec. 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes, go 7 ahead. 8 MR. WALTER ORR: If I can address that, 9 please. Yes, that is the case. What -- I'll -- I'll 10 clarify very briefly what the detail means in this case, that the detail would mean a specific for each 11 crossing, drawings that would include affected area and 12 13 things like that. Those -- those cannot be completed 14 prior to the completion of the -- the final alignment 15 and grade line for the highway itself. 16 So what we are submitted os -- as of September 30th as a -- as a final report will include -17 18 - will include, for each crossing in the -- in the --19 on the highway, it'll include a type of crossing, whether it's, for example, a culvert or a bridge or a 20 21 short-span bridge. It'll include the -- the size of 22 those hydraulically. It will include an estimate of the affected areas. 23 24 But it will not include the final 25 detailed submission, which will be required at the

regulatory phase. 1 2 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam Chair. John Donihee. Thank you, Mr. Orr, for that 3 clarification. If you move to page 15, comments and 4 5 concerns raised by DFO about sedimentation. And again, 6 the -- the reference here is to -- you know, that there's going to be an erosion and sedimentation 7 control plan prepared and submitted to regulators and 8 9 interested parties. 10 So that -- that also is not available 11 for the Board at this point in time. Is that correct? 12 MR. WALTER ORR: That is correct for 13 the same reasons that we have discussed on the previous 14 note. 15 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: John Donihee again. Thank -- thank you, Mr. Orr. Page 16, talking about 16 water withdrawal, I think in response to questions from 17 18 my colleague, Dr. Burn. 19 You've already indicated that you're not 20 in a position to identify the lakes and water bodies from which water would be withdrawn for the 21 22 construction of winter access roads at this point in 23 time. 24 So could you just -- would -- I'll just 25 move on with that one, unless -- actually do -- do you

want to confirm that, just for the record? 1 2 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, that is correct. We only have a partial listing at this time. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 4 Thank 5 you. 6 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: And if you would turn to page 17, it deals with fisheries management and 7 harvesting. The -- in effect, as I read that reply, it 8 9 says that there's no way to predict increased fishing pressure but, essentially, that even in the absence of 10 that prediction, that you have confidence that the 11 12 regulatory bodies will -- will take care of any 13 increased fishing pressure that may result from the 14 road. 15 Is that a fair indication of what you said? 16 17 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, that is 18 a fair appraisal. 19 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: And you can turn to 20 page 19. Your response on monitoring simply says that 21 you will develop a monitoring plan for the construction 22 and operation of the highway. 23 And I take it that that's future tense, 24 that that plan is not available at this point in time? 25 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, that is

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

correct. 1 2 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 3 you. MR. JOHN DONIHEE: And I would like to 4 5 ask as well about pit management plans. Are -- are 6 these plans that would be developed in the future once 7 you have the specifics about your extraction plans? 8 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme. That is correct, as a requirement of quarry permit 9 10 applications to the ILA and AANDC. 11 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank -- thank you 12 very much. I guess the -- the final question on this 13 series really is as follows, and -- and I -- I want to preface it by saying that I'm -- I'm -- by asking it, 14 15 I'm not suggesting for a second that we -- or, that I 16 have any doubt, you know, about the intentions of the 17 Developer, nor -- nor do I doubt that these plans will 18 be developed with -- with every care and attention to 19 the highest professional standards. 20 But the difficulty that I have at this point in time is that in the absence of all of this 21 22 information -- and -- and all of these plans are 23 intended essentially to act as either mitigation or 24 monitoring for the impacts that have either been 25 predicted or that have been identified by -- by the

202 other parties, because of course this document that we 1 2 just worked our way through is a reply. And so in the absence of these 3 management tools, I wonder if you could tell the Board 4 5 how you hope that they will complete an impact 6 assessment of this project? 7 8 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 10 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, a 11 moment, please? 12 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 13 14 (BRIEF PAUSE) 15 16 MR. RICK HOOS: Madam Chair, Rick Hoos, Kiggiak-EBA. 17 18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Yes. 19 MR. RICK HOOS: On July 13th, at the 20 request of Directive 3 of the Board, we were asked to 21 provide outlines, if you will, of what most of the 22 plans that John has asked about would contain, the 23 kinds of subjects that would be covered and that sort 24 of thing. 25 Beyond that, the various commitments

1 that have been made by the Developer, the various
2 mitigation measures that have been identified to
3 effectively manage environmental issues for essentially
4 every VEC discussed in the EIS, they are standard
5 mitigation measures that are employed to mitigate the
6 particular issues being addressed by them.

For instance, the standard mitigation 8 measures for fisheries and fish habitat related issues, 9 they are quite standard. They are only going to change 10 from standard to achieve a site-specific condition that 11 needs to be adjusted for, for the site-specific 12 condition.

13 Many of the mitigation measures are in 14 conformance with specific regulatory requirements, 15 operational statements, or other specifications that the consultants are aware of that exist within the 16 regulatory bodies that control these different kinds of 17 18 -- of issues and how to mitigate them. Examples 19 include the water withdrawal guidelines, the dust 20 suppression guidelines, the various operational 21 procedures of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, 22 et cetera, et cetera. 23 They are generally well known and well 24 understood mitigation measures that can be applied to 25 resolving a particular, or addressing a particular,

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

204 environmental issue or concern, whether it be for the 1 Inuvik-Tuk Highway project, some other highway project, 2 or indeed, some other kind of industrial activity. 3 4 They are very standard. And the only 5 reason why you have to deviate from standard is if you 6 have a site-specific condition that may not be effectively addressed by the standard approach to 7 dealing with that issue at that particular location. 8 Thank you. 9 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 11 you. 12 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 13 Chair. Thank you, Mr. Hoos. That was a very helpful answer. Board staff, advisers, and counsel have no 14 15 further questions for the Developer. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 17 you. 18 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Pardon me. Dr. Burn 19 reminds me, that's until tomorrow morning. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I was 21 just going to -- I think apart from what we will return 22 to tomorrow morning then, that concludes the questions 23 to the Developer. I think the next presentation on our 24 agenda is from Aboriginal Affairs and Northern 25 Development Canada.

205 But I think I would like to give the 1 opportunity of anybody from the community that's in the 2 hearing room, if you would like to make any statements 3 now, prior to asking Aboriginal Affairs to come 4 5 forward, I invite you to come forward. 6 7 (BRIEF PAUSE) 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, so 10 are you going -- there's mics or you can come up to the front here if you'd like. Just say your name and if 11 12 you represent any organization. Otherwise, just go 13 ahead. You have to press -- press the button, yeah. 14 15 PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS: PRESENTATION BY MR. RICHARD GORDON: 16 17 MR. RICHARD GORDON: Okay. Richard 18 Gordon, from Inuvik. I sat on the Inuvik HTC, and we 19 kind of looked at this information the other day on how 20 the process of the highway is going. And we just 21 appointed a couple of people from the community to sit 22 on the Tuk-Inuvik board. 23 But there's issues in there that we --24 we flagged during the -- the review process from the --25 from the Board level from the Inuvik, because we

represent the hunter and trappers members in the Inuvik
 region.

And our statement, I think, is we're not against the highway itself. It's the way it's going to be managed in the future. We have other highways coming into Inuvik. We seen issues raised from those -- those highways before and how the issues are looked to and how they're managed.

9 And we have concerns, because the -- the 10 road itself is going through very good land that are 11 for the animals to survive. And to have the animals 12 out there to survive means we keep the Inuvialuit 13 culture alive also.

And under the Final Agreement, the Inuvialuit Final Agreement, we have a -- a job under there put forward by our Elders and our greatgrandfathers. And our job is to maintain the land to best as possible and to keep our culture alive, no matter what.

And we're so tied, you know, with that -- with that task under the Final Agreement. I mean, Ve been to hearings before and we've been to communities, and the word that we always hear from -from the communities and from the members out there is respect. Respect the Elders. And to me, following the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

-- the words put forward by our grandfathers and our
 great-grandfathers are written in the Inuvialuit Final
 Agreement.

And there is -- development is going to 4 5 happen in the -- in the lands, but we have to have respect for those words that were written for us. And 6 we have to manage those lands out of respect for our 7 great -- our great-grandfathers and our grandfathers. 8 9 And those lands are out there not only 10 for us, but also for the future generations to come. And we would like to see during this process to be 11 12 taken very seriously is to put a management plan in 13 place, a management plan where we can review it from the Inuvialuit perspective, from the harvesters' 14 15 perspective, and from a cultural perspective, and from 16 a wildlife perspective to ensure that we would con --17 continue to manage this -- this, I quess, an indent 18 that's going to be on the land forever. 19 And we have to have a management plan in 20 place for that purpose. And if it's going to be a 21 process that may slow the process down, so be it. But

23 something in place for us to continue to manage that 24 development of a line that's going to go onto the land 25 that may effect denning for bears or other -- other

that's what we have to do as -- as Inuvialuit, to put

22

1 fur-bearing animals, feeding grounds for the caribou, 2 nesting grounds for the birds, and berry-picking for 3 our people.

So it's just not a management plan just to manage a highway. It's a management plan to pro -help protect the resources and the land and the -- the culture out there.

8 So I just ask everybody here just to --9 again, just to reflect on -- on the -- the Inuvialuit 10 Final Agreement. It's a very important document for us, and it's the only document that we have throughout 11 12 the history of the Inuvialuit that is written for us by 13 our people. And we have to respect those words put in 14 there. It's there for a reason. And let's respect 15 that reason, because it was there -- put there by our Elders and our great-grandfathers. Thank you. 16 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you 18 very much, Mr. Gordon. 19 PRESENTATION BY MS. SHEILA NESOLOAK: 20 21 MS. SHEILA NESOLOAK: Sheila Nesoloak, 22 just an interested party to the hearings. No 23 connections to any groups; taxpayer. As the Town of Inuvik is a Proponent in this project, is there 24

25 assurance that taxpayers, such as myself, will not have

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

209 to bear the burden if there are increased costs for the 1 Tuk-Inuvik Highway project? 2 3 The second question in concert with the comment put forth by the person before me, Richard 4 Gordon. Thank you, Richard. Cumulative effects of 5 6 persons on the proposed highway, how will these effects be measured financially, economically, and socially? 7 8 Third question in regards to tourism. Ι -- and I may have misunderstood the comment wrong 9 earlier. But is the cum -- cumulative effects of 10 tourism, how will that be measured? Will it just be 11 12 measured in numbers; in usage of sites; in usage of 13 sites, both on Crown and on private land; or as -- as a 14 whole, the way the government measures, you know, by 15 numbers, by dollars? 16 And those are my questions. Thank you. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 18 you. 19 20 (BRIEF PAUSE) 21 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, I 23 think we probably -- we talked about concluding at 24 5:30, so, Conrad, would you like to make your 25 presentation tonight, or are you up for that? You're

210 1 not. 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 4 5 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz, with Aboriginal Affairs. It really doesn't make much of a 6 7 difference, tonight or tomorrow morning. I know it's been a longish day for some, but... 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Should we 10 do it now and --11 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Sure. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: All 13 right. Go ahead. 14 15 (BRIEF PAUSE) 16 17 PRESENTATION BY AANDC: 18 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Good afternoon, 19 Madam Chair, panel members, panel experts, and 20 Developers. I think I might also -- for a start, 21 there's a few minor errors in the presentation that 22 were submitted on the 10th. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Oh, 24 sorry. Before you start, could you just identify 25 yourself again for the record, and maybe the gentlemen

sitting with you. 1 2 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz, with Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada, 3 accompanied by Bob Gowan, also with Aboriginal Affairs, 4 5 and Jan Davies, also with the same department here in Inuvik. 6 7 As -- as mentioned a moment ago that there's an error, and maybe a couple of caveats that I 8 9 might put in my presentation that wasn't in what was submitted to the Board on the -- on the 14th, last 10 11 Friday. So I'll try and note where the inconsistencies 12 are, if I could. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: I'm 14 assuming it doesn't change the substantial nature of 15 your presentation? 16 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Definitely not. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. Go 18 ahead. 19 20 (BRIEF PAUSE) 21 22 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Eli, if you maybe 23 want to move the slides forward just ... 24 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: There we go. Aboriginal Affairs has a few mandates that fit into 2 this process. I'm going to start by explaining a 3 little bit about what our regulatory mandate is, and 4 5 then a little bit of the environmental assessment, and 6 then move into what some of our issues or concerns may 7 be. 8 From a regula -- regulatory perspective, 9 Aboriginal Affairs' mandate includes responsibilities under the territorial land use regulations in respect 10 to land use and land use permitting, the NWT Waters Act 11 12 in respect of water use and compliance with water licences -- okay. 13 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Just a 15 little slower, sorry. MR. CONRAD BAETZ: The Territorial 16 17 Lands Act in respect of dealing with land tenure 18 issues, and granular resource management under the 19 territorial quarry regulations. I should also say that 20 the land use and the territorial land use regulations 21 apply only to Crown land within the Inuvialuit 22 settlement region. 23 Thanks. From a land use perspective, we 24 review and accept Applications that are submitted to 25 our organization for all Crown land projects in the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Inuvialuit settlement region. Upon satisfaction of 1 mitigations, Aboriginal Affairs issues the 2 authorization with terms and conditions attached. 3 And this is not outside the realm of hearing from the 4 5 Inuvialuit environmental screening committee at the 6 same -- at the same time as part of the process. 7 We ensure follow-up with regular dialogue and communication with the Developer, as well 8 9 as -- as appropriate, the Inuvialuit land 10 administration environmental monitors as appropriate. 11 We provide insp -- an inspections 12 function of all land management aspects of the project 13 that the permit covers or that Aboriginal Affairs has a 14 mandate to administer. 15 From a water use perspective, Aboriginal 16 Affairs participates in the application review process 17 that the NWT Water Board conducts. We ensure -- once a 18 licence is issued, we ensure follow-up again and 19 dialogue with proponents, and we communicate with them 20 on a fairly regular basis, as well as with ILA 21 environmental monitors, as appropriate. And we are 22 responsible for inspections of all water-related 23 aspects of the project that the water licence covers or 24 that AANDC has a man -- has a mandate to administer 25 under the NWT Waters Act.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Land tenure. AANDC leases and disposes 1 Crown land in the ISR under the Territorial Lands Act. 2 In the context of this particular project, upon 3 approval to construct once the road is completed -- or 4 5 if approval was granted to construct, once the road is 6 completed and a designation of a highway under the 7 NWT's -- under the NWT Act occurred, the right-of-way would be transferred to the GNWT and become territorial 8 9 land. 10 Granular resource management is another 11 aspect of what we deal with. Aboriginal Affairs 12 accepts and reviews quarry permit applications. We 13 review associated development plans, and for which a template is provided by Aboriginal Affairs through the 14 15 draft granular management plan we've developed with the Inuvialuit land administration. 16 17 Once approved, quarry permits are 18 issued. Follow up again with the developers occurs, 19 and inspection of quarry operations as they relate to the associated land use permits occurs as well. 20 21 22 (BRIEF PAUSE) 23 24 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Inspections, our 25 primary tool beyond education to ensure compliance.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

This is within the context of the applicable acts and 1 regulations, terms and conditions related to permits 2 and licenses issued by AANDC and the NWT Water Board 3 and applicable commitments detailed in the application 4 5 or the project description by the Developer. 6 Inspections are intended to assess the 7 proponent's adherence to the terms and conditions of the permits and licenses that are issued. Most of the 8 9 time, issues of noncompliance identified in an 10 inspection are dealt with in the field or very soon 11 afterwards. 12 Inspections are carried out by resource 13 management -- resource management officers or water 14 resource officers. Inspection reports are completed and supplied to the designated contact for the 15 16 proponent. And as necessary, subsequent inspections 17 occur to ensure noncompliance issues have been dealt 18 with as directed. 19 Another aspect to what we do, and this 20 is one of the slides where there's been some changes, 21 given the changes of the CEAA Act, particularly CEAA 22 2012, as applicable, Aboriginal Affairs conducts 23 environmental effects determinations of the proposed 24 projects. And this is in the -- in respect of the new 25 CEAA.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

We participate in coordinated processes for federal -- where multiple federal authorities are involved. We participate in environmental assessments in the region along with other federal departments. And we provide to boards and committees throughout the review processes advice.

7 Some of the issues we've tracked and 8 have flagged are local access to certain areas during 9 construction, temporary winter road access, two (2) 10 quarry sites during construction, temporary winter road 11 access, two quarry sites during operation and staging 12 the stockpile areas adjacent to the right-of-way.

13 In respect of local access during construction, this is in reference to access to the 14 15 Husky Lakes area by hunters and trappers as well as 16 recreational users, and it's in the context of during construction. In our examination of the information in 17 18 front of us, it appears that at least one (1) of the 19 quarry sites identified directly intersects with the 20 well-used snowmobile trail from Inuvik to Husky -- to -21 - to Inuvik to the Husky Lakes area.

Assurances, we believe, are needed to ensure access during construction either through the area or around the area remain unimpeded to local users as -- as possible. Dialogue with users, signage, new

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 trail routings, et cetera, are all things that should 2 be considered and we don't believe have been covered 3 off as yet.

4 Temporary winter road accesses, this was 5 touched on earlier, to the quarry site, specifically. 6 And the concern that we have is, for those accesses 7 that are going to built through successive years, if 8 winter access is needed for a succession of winter 9 seasons until construction is complete, the examination 10 of the collective impacts needs to occur.

11 This is currently not apparent in the 12 information submitted in the -- and available at the 13 moment to us. It's a concern that repeated use of the 14 same footprint can have more serious impacts on the 15 Tundra that are -- that is not accounted for.

16 Through the operations and maintenance 17 in the context of winter road access again, 18 specifically to the quarry sites, it's been stated that 19 there will be no permanent roads built to the quarry 20 sites. Therefore, there'll be a need to, through 21 successive years, maybe not every year, but every few 22 years, to construct a winter access for the regular 0 & 23 M for the reentering of the borrow sources either 24 biannually, annually or every three (3) years. 25 This is a concern, as stated in the

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

previous slide. 1 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 4 5 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Staging the stockpile areas, particularly in the context of the 6 7 operation and maintenance, not so much the construction because the stockpile areas likely will be at the 8 borrow sources. But additional land requirements, I 9 10 don't believe are covered in the environmental impact 11 statement either. 12 It's not clear how much additional land 13 will be utilized to achieve stockpile -- stockpiling material near to the right- of-way for subsequent years 14 of maintenance, and possibly even some of the 15 16 construction years, as well. There's an additional 17 permanent footprint that, as well, is not considered at 18 the moment. 19 AANDC recognizes that con -- continued assessment of the identified granular sources is 20 21 occurring and it looks forward to examining the results 22 of this work. These are important components needed to 23 complete our work here, as well as to the regulatory 24 component, should it proceed to that process. 25 As with others, concerns with timing of

submission of the additional information is of concern.
 This can be a challenge to assist -- to assess a
 project like this without the entire package available
 to us.

5 In concluding, I put four (4) bullets up 6 on the -- on the screen there that relate to different 7 natural resource management. It's clear to AANDC that 8 collectively, those of us who are responsible for 9 resource management in the area are prepared to manage 10 these elements that we've been tasked to by our rex --11 respective legislatures.

12 Management plans for caribou and 13 controls on their harvest on or near the highway are 14 needed. Restrictions on fishing limits may be 15 required. Appropriate assessment and management of our 16 granular resources likely is needed. And assurances 17 and mechanisms need to be put in place to protect our 18 water quality. These are all in the context of a 19 specific piece of new public infrastructure, should it 20 be approved to proceed, that are needed. 21 The Developers need to act responsibly 22 during construction, as well as during the operations 23 of the highway. And they have, in our opinion, 24 demonstrated their willingness to do so. The question

25 that needs to be answered is whether or not the rest of

220 us around the table or in this room are able to accept 1 the additional work involved in addressing the four (4) 2 bullets, and perhaps more, that are shown above. 3 In concluding, again, AANDC is satisfied 4 5 that the Developer has adequately responded to our 6 requests to date, which includes our additional Information Requests throughout the past several 7 8 months. Additional detail will be required through the 9 regulatory phase. And with the addition of this 10 information due to come in -- in the coming weeks, 11 AANDC is confident that possible adverse impacts can be 12 properly mitigated or managed. Thank you. 13 14 QUESTION PERIOD: 15 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 16 I think what we'll do is start questioning and vou. we'll be mindful of the time. So I'd like to start 17 18 with the Developers. 19 Do you have any questions for Aboriginal 20 Affairs and Northern Development? MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, yes, we 21 22 have one (1) comment or question of clarification, and 23 also an appreciation to Mr. Baetz for his overview and 24 presentation. I'd ask Erica to pose that question. 25 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme,

221 Kavik-Stantec. Just a question of clarification, if I 1 may, on page 9. That's page 9 of the PDF document. I 2 would just like clarification on the issue summarized 3 in the first bullet, "local access during 4 5 construction." 6 Can you just elaborate, please, for me on the iss -- issue specifically that you're referring 7 to in that bullet? 8 9 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz with Aboriginal Affairs. The local access during 10 construction is in relation to access by hunters and 11 12 trappers and local recreational users of the Husky 13 Lakes area. And probably more specifically, one (1) of 14 the granular resour -- granular sites like three 15 fourteen (314), three twenty-five (325), that directly 16 overlies the trail that people use to access that area. 17 And interested in knowing what the 18 Proponents are able to do or what they are willing to 19 do in terms of ensuring that continued access during construction is achieved by locals -- local people. 20 21 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead, 22 Kavik-Stantec. 23 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Thank you, Madam 24 Chair. So just to confirm, the issue is with not 25 impeding access along -- to those borrow sources by

creating -- or access into that area, Husky Lakes, by 1 development of that winter road? 2 I do have another question of 3 clarification. It's on the last slide, and the last 4 5 bullet that -- which eludes to information that is 6 coming in the regulatory phase. 7 And I would just like to ask AANDC, if I may, whether the information that we have committed to 8 filing in -- as part of the Developer commitments and 9 the various submission, including the response -- the 10 Developer's response to technical submissions, whether 11 12 that information is sufficient to conclude INAC's 13 review -- or sorry, AANDC's review in the regulatory 14 phase as part of the -- the authorizations that it 15 reviews and issues? 16 17 (BRIEF PAUSE) 18 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 20 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz with 21 Aboriginal Affairs. If I heard the question correctly, is if the information that has been committed to be 22 23 provided by the Proponents, will -- will that be 24 sufficient for us to make a determination at the end of 25 this pro -- process?

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

Is that how I understand it correctly? 1 2 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 3 MS. ERICA BONHOMME: Erica Bonhomme. 4 I'll rephrase my question maybe. The Developer has 5 committed to providing a number of plans to mitigate 6 project effects to, you know, provide additional 7 information about things like water sources, mitigation strategies, monitoring plans, in the regulatory phase. 8 9 Is that something that AANDC would 10 expect to be submitted now, or as part of their review of regulatory applications in their issuance or review 11 12 of permits? 13 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz with Aboriginal Affairs. Definitely it will be a part of 14 15 our review through the regulatory process, whatever is 16 submitted. And I would also suggest that it would be of great help to have it if it's available in advance 17 18 as a part of this process. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 20 you. Does that conclude your questions? 21 MR. JIM STEVENS: Madam Chair, that --22 that concludes her questions. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 24 DFO, do you have any questions for Aboriginal you. 25 Affairs?

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

224 (BRIEF PAUSE) 1 2 3 MS. BEV ROSS: Bev Ross, Fisheries and Oceans Canada. No questions for AANDC, Madam Chair. 4 5 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 6 you. Environment Canada...? MS. SUSANNE FORBRICH: Madam Chair, 7 Susanne Forbrich, Environment Canada. No questions. 8 9 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 10 you. FJMC...? 11 MR. DEREK PARKS: Derek Parks with the 12 FJMC. No questions at this time. Thanks. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 14 you. Infrastructure Canada, any questions? 15 MS. PHOEBE MILES: Phoebe Miles, 16 Infrastructure Canada. No questions at this time. 17 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 18 you. Sorry, Parks Canada...? 19 MR. ADRIANE BACHESCHI: Adriane 20 Bacheschi with Parks Canada. No questions at this 21 time. Thank you. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 23 you. Transport Canada...? 24 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

225 1 MR. DOUG SOLOWAY: Madam Chair, Doug 2 Soloway, Transport Canada. No questions. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 4 you. 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) 7 8 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Oh, 9 sorry, Wildlife Management Advisory Committee. Apologize. 10 11 12 (BRIEF PAUSE) 13 14 MR. BRUCE HANBIDGE: Madam Chairman, 15 Bruce Hanbidge for the Wildlife Management Advisory 16 Council. I've just got one (1) question of 17 clarification. When AANDC said that they could carry 18 out their regulatory function with these plans, so long 19 as they get them within that process, could you just 20 clarify what the process is. 21 When do you need the monitoring plans 22 and other plans to carry out your regulatory 23 responsibilities? 24 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz with 25 Aboriginal Affairs. In advance of issuing the permit.

226 I mean, it's -- it's one (1) of -- it's part of the 1 permanent application package that would come. It 2 would be the pit -- the pit development plans and those 3 kinds of plans. 4 5 MR. BRUCE HANBIDGE: So the process 6 you're referring to is not this review, it's your own process after the review that you're saying you don't -7 - you don't need those plans until after this review? 8 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz with 13 Aboriginal -- with Aboriginal Affairs. That's correct. 14 I was referring more specifically to our own regulatory 15 review, but I'd also qualify that they would be helpful 16 through some of this process as well. 17 MR. BRUCE HANBIDGE: Thank you. This 18 process meaning the review right now? 19 MR. CONRAD BAET7: Yes. 20 MR. BRUCE HANBIDGE: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 21 Is that 22 all of your questions? Okay. Thank you very much. 23 Just give me a moment here. 24 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 1 I'm --2 I'm being sure that we can still manage on time. So I'll move over to our panel staff and maybe start with 3 Dr. Komers. 4 5 Do you have any questions for the...? 6 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Yes, 7 Madam Chair, I have a couple of short questions if I 8 may. You mentioned a number of information 9 10 requirements that you have. In particular, in your 11 submission you mentioned a pit development plan. And I wanted to know if you have that 12 13 information at hand right now to review that plan and 14 if not, when do you expect to receive the information 15 you require to issue your permits? 16 17 (BRIEF PAUSE) 18 19 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz with 20 Aboriginal Affairs. No, we do not have the pit 21 development plans in our hands yet. It would be 22 expected that they would come as a part of the 23 applications for the regulatory phase should we proceed 24 beyond this. 25 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank

you. 1 2 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Now you're a regulatory agency, if I understand that 3 correctly, and you issue permits. And if I understand 4 also correctly that the EC was saying -- Environment 5 6 Canada was saying that they're not a permit issuing agency. 7 But Environment Canada listed a whole 8 9 bunch of other plans. I'm just going to read them out, plans that they were not satisfied with, including 10 spill contingency plan, hazardous waste management 11 12 plan, erosion and sediment control plan, explosive 13 management plan, waste management plan, and wildlife 14 management plan. 15 Are any or all of these plans in some 16 way under your jurisdiction? Would they feed into your 17 permits or, in other words, would your permits need to 18 include conditions while completing such plans? 19 (BRIEF PAUSE) 20 21 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 23 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Con -- sorry. 24 Conrad Baetz, with Aboriginal Affairs. Yeah, there are 25 some of the plans that Environment Canada mentions that

229 would be a part of one (1) of our -- or one (1) of our 1 authorizations. The land use permit perhaps would have 2 -- or would have conditions in there for a spill 3 contingency plan or emergency response plan or 4 5 environmental protection plan, those kinds of things. 6 Although I don't want to speak for the 7 NWT Water Board, water licenses generally have waste management plans as well. There are provisions in the 8 9 land use permit more specific to terms and conditions 10 as opposed to specific -- as general plans that relate 11 to erosion and ero -- and any erosion control sort of 12 issues and structures and -- and works. Thank you. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 14 you. 15 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Thank 16 you for that. I believe you mentioned that you're also 17 required to do compliance monitoring. Well, you also 18 mentioned that in the technical sessions. 19 Presumably associated with all those 20 plans you will need to monitor whether or not the 21 Developer complies with the conditions you associated 22 with those plans. 23 In addition to compliance monitoring, 24 does AANDC also do effects monitoring? 25

1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz, with 3 Aboriginal Affairs. In terms of effects monitoring or 4 5 effects monitoring through long-term kind of monitoring 6 plans generally not at the district level here. It's -7 - it's more of a compliance with terms and conditions. 8 The effects monitoring that we would be 9 responsible for would be those that are associated specifically with the land use permit or water licence. 10 11 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 12 you. 13 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Just --14 thank you. Just one (1) last followup. I -- I do 15 believe that you mentioned in your presentation that 16 you -- correct me if I don't have the right verbiage that's regulatory in nature, but you sign over the 17 18 responsibility to -- to GNWT. 19 Would the GNWT then do the effects 20 monitoring in the long run? MR. CONRAD BAETZ: I can't answer that 21 It's not something that I'm familiar with in 22 question. 23 terms of what their responsibilities are. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 25 you. That's fine.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 DR. PETR KOMERS: Petr Komers. Thank 2 you. That's all my questions. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Sure. 4 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, if I may 5 be permitted to ask two (2) sets of questions to AANDC 6 on this occasion. The first concern is water 7 quantities and the rates of water withdrawal. 8 And the question is prefaced by an 9 inquiry. And the inquiry is: Is it the case that 10 AANDC is an agency that delivers considerable support 11 to the NWT Water Board? 12 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: The answer to that 13 will be yes. 14 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, I'd like 15 also to know if the -- if the representatives of AANDC 16 in front of us are able to assist us in understanding 17 how the NWT Water Board views an environmental 18 assessment of a project that is before it. 19 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Con --THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 20 21 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz, with Aboriginal Affairs. I don't believe I'm in a position 22 23 to answer that question. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay, go 25 ahead.

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam Chair. This is Chris Burn again. I wonder if you 2 could -- could tell -- could respond to a more specific 3 question and, that is, whether it is in the interest of 4 5 the NWT Water Board when they receive an application to 6 know the total quantity of water that is to be removed, or used by the project, and also whether they're 7 interested in knowing the maximum daily withdrawal 8 9 rate? 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 14 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: Conrad Baetz with 15 Aboriginal Affairs. I'd suggest that in our support to 16 the Water Board in order for us to provide them the 17 appropriate advice that we would need that information 18 ourselves. 19 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 20 you. DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam 21 Chair. I -- I wonder if you could indicate then for 22 23 yourselves how AANDC views an environmental assessment 24 when the total quantity of water required is not known, 25 and the daily maximum withdrawal rate is also

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

233 unspecified? 1 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 4 5 6 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: If --THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 7 Yes --MR. CONRAD BAETZ: -- I'll let Jan 8 9 Davies answer. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 11 MR. JAN DAVIES: Madam Chair, Jan 12 Davies with Aboriginal Affairs. This is a difficult 13 question. From the projects and operations that I've seen thus far, usually they give the best estimates 14 15 that they have. 16 And from my experience, especially with some of the older oil and gas operations, they try to 17 18 give a good conservative estimate about how much water 19 they want to use, and that the Board approves them with 20 a good margin of room for the volumes. 21 Usually for the projects, they go and 22 they identify the water sources that they need usually 23 in excess of what they actually might need so that way 24 there's lots of room as the project proceeds, and that 25 they're not limited by having to identify an additional

234 water source to do additional bathymetry, and so those 1 -- usually those margins are conservative enough, and 2 adequate enough to allow the best-case scenarios, 3 especially in situations where there might not be 4 5 enough snow and they'll need more water to make ice. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 6 Thank 7 you. 8 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you very much 9 for that. Could you just comment on whether in the 10 present submission you were able to observe similar 11 information? 12 13 (BRIEF PAUSE) 14 15 MR. JAN DAVIES: Madam Chair, Jan Davies with Aboriginal Affairs. They certainly have 16 given a ballpark of what they need as far as 1,000 17 18 cubes or more. 19 I understand from the conversations that 20 have especially taken on today that they haven't 21 identified specifically all the locations for water 22 withdrawals, and so that particular information would be valuable at this time. 23 24 But, more importantly, during a regulatory phase that 25 information will be expected at a greater detail.

THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: 1 Thank 2 you. 3 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. Chris Burn again. Now, I have a couple 4 5 of questions regarding the aggregate estimates. 6 Madam Chair, today we -- we had an 7 interesting exchange with the Developer because the 8 language surrounding the estimates, or the -- the 9 quantities of aggregate that have been provided 10 changed. 11 Up until now, every time I have read the 12 description of what a figure in the aggregate 13 quantities meant, it was described as an estimate. 14 Today we were told it was a proven resource. 15 Now, I wonder if AANDC could tell me how 16 they distinguish between what are proven resources and 17 what an estimate of a resource? 18 MR. CONRAD BAETZ: I'll defer this to 19 Bob. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 21 MR. BOB GOWAN: Madam Chair, Bob Gowan, 22 Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada. 23 Historically, we've used sort of three (3) classifications for the, you know, the confidence 24 25 in -- in the volumes given, we use proven. And that's

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

1 typically with a, you know, where you've got
2 information to -- to estimate based on about a 50 metre
3 distance around the hole.

We used probable where we have, like 4 5 less information, but usually confirmed with some 6 ground truthing, some bore holes, possibly geophysics, and we use prospective where it's largely based on air 7 photo interpretation and -- and often just some shallow 8 9 hand dug test pits for -- for the area -- the area 10 that's -- that they have defined as, you know, as the prospective source. 11

And then -- so certainly, you know, there's -- there's different -- the numbers in their own -- on their own don't -- don't mean much without having some indication of what the level of confidence is on them. THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you.

DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you, Mr. Gowan. I wonder if I could ask Mr. Gowan a supplementary question, given that the spacing of the bore holes was 100 metres, not fifty (50), would you regard the estimate here as proven or probable? THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead.

237 MR. BOB GOWAN: Well, I believe if --1 or, sorry, it's Bob Gowan of AANDC, again, Madam Chair. 2 3 As I understand it, the -- the way that 4 5 they've calculated the -- the quantities of proven is 6 based on the bore holes that they've used, and they've used that -- that 50 metre radius around each hole. 7 8 So, you know, in the context of saying 9 that that's, you know, those amounts are proven, I -- I 10 would accept their -- their statement, you know, of 11 those volumes. 12 Now whether it, you know, whether it 13 covers for the whole area -- whether you can apply that 14 to the whole area in which they've drilled, I -- I 15 haven't assessed that yet, but... 16 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 17 you. 18 DR. CHRIS BURN: Chris -- Madam Chair, 19 thank you. Chris Burn again. I just want to clarify 20 one (1) item. 21 In your initial comment, and your 22 initial remarks, you stated that for proven the 23 separation between the bore holes is 50 metres. You've 24 now changed that to a radius of 50 metres, and the 25 aerial adjustment is a factor of four (4).

And I wonder if you could indicate, 1 then, whether you -- it's really a question of 2 clarification. Your initial 50 metre separation of the 3 bore holes is different from a hundred metre 4 5 separation, and which one (1) do you wish us to really 6 utilize? 7 MR. BOG GOWAN: Bob Gowan, AANDC. Certainly, in -- in any resource evaluation I think 8 9 there comes into play some professional judgment on it. And -- and I would accept -- if you -- if you have a 10 uniform stratigraphy that you -- that you perhaps need 11 12 less holes than -- than if you have a complex stra --13 stratigraphy. 14 So, you know, I think you would -- you 15 would leave it to a professional judgment of whether 16 you have proven that -- that quantity or not. I don't 17 -- I don't like, you know, any particular number, 18 because it's -- it's, you know, it's incredibly 19 expensive to -- to drill on a 50 metre spacing and --20 and I think you can have a great deal of confidence in the quantity that's there on a -- on a broader spacing, 21 22 if you understand the -- the overall stratigraphy. 23 And -- and, you know, and in an -- in a 24 context of the -- the particular volumes that the 25 Developer has indicated are proven, I believe their

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

calculations, where using that 50 metres as -- as a 1 column within -- within a broader area. And -- and so, 2 you know, that number might be greater for that area, 3 4 you know, if they were to extend beyond the -- that 50 5 metres. But I -- but I think they're just using in the 6 proven volume that 50 metre column. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 8 you. 9 DR. CHRIS BURN: Thank you, Madam 10 Chair. Chris Burn again. 11 Could you -- Mr. Gowan, I -- I wondered if you would be able to indicate in terms of the -- the 12 13 term "proven" or "probable," in your view, what is the precision of the figure which is given in a percentage 14 15 term, not in terms of specific cubic metres, because 16 that will vary according to the size of the deposit but, in terms of the percentage, what do you believe is 17 18 a reasonable confidence interval for the three (3) 19 categories that you have described to us? 20 MR. BOB GOWAN: Madam Chair, Bob Gowan. 21 I'm not sure that I understand your question. Could 22 you -- could you rephrase it? 23 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, this is 24 Chris Burn, with pleasure. Mr. Gowan, if we understand 25 that a deposit is proven and it is of a particular

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

240 magnitude, then is that proven magnitude good to within 1 2 percent or 10 percent, or 20 percent? 2 3 If the deposit is probable, is that good to within 2 percent, 10 percent, and so forth for your 4 5 three (3) categories? 6 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 7 MR. BOB GOWAN: Bob Gowan, AANDC. Т don't think I've ever, you know, attempted to put a --8 9 to put that sort of a qualification on it. I -- I 10 think I would prefer to see some, you know, some leeway in any of the estimates, like, you know, that you're --11 12 that you're indicating that you've proven out more than 13 what you actually need in any -- you know, in any 14 application. And I al -- but I also want to -- in --15 16 in the way you've expressed it, it's -- it's not 17 necessarily the area that we're talking about as being proven -- I mean, there's a difference between proving 18 19 the area and -- and proving the quantity of material 20 and I think that's what -- what the Developer has -has attempted to do to date is -- is prove out the 21 22 amount of material that they need. 23 Now whether -- I mean, certainly I would 24 like to see some more -- some more leeway in that in 25 that a higher -- a higher percentage proven than what

they actually -- actually need at the time that they 1 submit their applications. 2 3 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 4 you. 5 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, I have 6 one (1) final set of questions for Mr. Gowan and this 7 is Chris Burn again. 8 The estimates that were produced in 2012 are the result of a significant amount of drilling and 9 field data collection. 10 11 The estimates that were produced or have been derived from the 1970s data are, in some 12 13 instances, the result of three (3) or four (4) test 14 pits to 4 metres depth in the -- in the deposit. 15 Could you indicate the confidence -- the relative confidence you would have on those 1970s' 16 estimates in comparison with the 19 -- in 2012. I 17 18 assume, and I don't want to put words in your wi -- but 19 I assume -- in your -- in your mouth, I'm sorry, but I 20 assume that you are more confident with the 2012 than 21 you are with 1970 or '70s. 22 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Go ahead. 23 MR. BOB GOWAN: Madam Chair, it's Bob 24 Gowan, AANDC. Certainly that's -- that's true that I 25 would have more confidence in -- in data that is -- or

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

242 in an estimate that's based on actual drilling and --1 than -- than those that are taken largely on the basis 2 of air photo interpretation with a -- with scattered 3 shallow test pits. 4 5 I think it's -- I guess that's -- that's 6 -- I think that's all I have to say on that right now. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, it's 10 Chris Burn again, just one (1) final question then. 11 When you take the 1970s' estimates, how 12 do they fit into your three (3) categories? 13 MR. BOB GOWAN: In -- I'd have to go 14 back to some -- some tables that I don't have with me 15 right now in terms of the numbers that have been 16 presented in the Developer's -- in all of their 17 submissions. 18 But in a lot of the tables that -- that 19 we prepared summarizing the -- you know, the available 20 materials in the Mackenzie Delta region, in some cases, 21 we made an estimate of proven, of probable and of 22 prospective. I'm not positive as to which of those 23 numbers the Developer has -- has used in what the 24 prevent -- presented. 25 And -- and I think it's -- in some

cases, they -- those ones, the '70s ones are co --1 covering a very broad area that they've, you know, 2 picked as a target, basically, as a prospective target, 3 that -- you know, that might be a prospective deposit. 4 5 DR. CHRIS BURN: Madam Chair, there are 6 three (3) numbers at -- in -- in this -- in -- of interest here, the 1970s' data for Source 309, for 7 Source 174, and for Source 177. Those are the three 8 (3) numbers. And perhaps by tomorrow AANDC could 9 10 evaluate the reports, which I can given them here, the 1970s' reports, and just summarize for us whether they 11 12 would be proven probable or prospective. 13 MR. BOB GOWAN: Madam Chair, Bob Gowan, 14 AANDC. Yes, I can check those numbers tonight. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you very much. 16 17 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: Thank you, Madam 18 Chair. It's John Donihee. I -- I su -- suggest, since 19 you'll get the answer tomorrow, that we can just deal 20 with it as sort of a housekeeping matter, and not -- I 21 don't propose to take a formal understanding. I assume 22 we'll get it taken care of in the morning. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. 24 MR. JOHN DONIHEE: And there are no 25 further questions from Board staff, advisors, or

> DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

counsel. 1 2 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Okay. Just so you could rest easily tonight, I'll just ask 3 the panel members if they have any questions. Do you -4 5 - after that you're free and clear. Herbert, would -- do you have any 6 7 questions for them? MR. HERBERT FELIX: Herbert Felix. No 8 9 questions. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Roland, 11 do you have any questions for them? MR. ROLAND KIKOAK: Roland Kikoak. No 12 13 questions. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Cathy, 15 any questions? 16 MS. CATHERINE COCKNEY: Cathy Cockney. 17 I don't have any questions at this time. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: And Liz 19 Snider; I have no questions. 20 Bruce...? 21 MR. BRUCE CHAMBERS: Bruce Chambers. 22 No questions. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank you 24 very much for your presentation and your response to 25 the questions. Thank you.

So we will reconvene here tomorrow at 1 9:00 a.m. and carry on. I think we'll -- if it's 2 suitable to you, Jim, we'll start with the questions 3 4 from Chris. Is that all right with you, or would you 5 need more time? 6 MR. JIM STEVENS: I will be ready in 7 the morning, Madam Chair. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON/FACILITATOR: Thank 9 you. 10 11 --- Upon adjourning at 5:35 p.m. 12 13 14 Certified correct, 15 16 17 18 19 20 Mr. Sean Coleman 21 22 23 24 25

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 246
of 310				
\$	168:23	100 137:7	170	53:7,11
\$150 20:18	170:18	236:22	154:13,25	56:7,13
42:7	172:9	10th 9:14	157:20	69:10 76:6
	176:19	45:18	159:15	81:17,25
\$25,000 41:10	179:18	210:22	172 159:23	85:20,21
	181:3			86:18
\$260,000	182:8,17,1	11(9 7:22	173 157:20	96:14,16
165:5	8 188:10 194:11	11:01 65:21	173-305	109:22 124:2
\$486,000	216:18	11:02 65:22	154:13,25	138:6,14,2
163:7	220:22	11:53 96:8	174 157:7	4 139:2
165:11	221:13		243:8	140:18
\$561,000	225:16	11th 170:5	177 30:22	142:23
165:8	226:1	12 125:11	108:7	158:9
\$70 30:1	229:1	125 42:10	130:18,19	162:4
\$750,000	230:14 237:20	12th 9:15	138:3,6	165:2 168:22
167:18	238:5	54:4 58:2	157:8 175:16,21	173:15,16
	241:6	13 5:4	243:8	181:23
0	242:10			182:17,18
0.6 159:22	1,000 143:22	137 31:9	18 1:21	195:11
0.67 159:19	234:17	33:20 38:16	19 5:8 30:21	216:9
0.07 109.19	1,290 53:9		200:20	231:5 240:2,4
1		139 124:14	241:17	
1 1:22	1,305 54:14	13th 102:12	1960s 29:21	2,200 33:11 38:17
7:17,19	1,425 33:12	170:7	172:12	120:14
10:10,11	1,600 143:21	195:5 202:19	1970 241:21	
45:4 47:3	1.5.1.3		1970s	2,325 53:3
49:7 50:9	146:25	14 159:25	157:9,17,2	2.7.1 55:7
51:12,13	1/2 159:16	160:3 167:16	1 158:18	2/3s 159:19
52:17		197:17	159:14,20,	2:42 144:21
62:23 77:2,16	1/BC07 99:13		23 160:3,6 241:12,16	
85:13,15,2	1:27 96:9	14th 9:21 211:10	242:11	2:59 144:22
0,25	1:30 96:5		243:7,11	20 5:9
86:4,20	10 52:7	15 5:5 46:15	1989 30:6	146:15
88:15	86:20	88:20 89:3 90:19		240:2
90:19	125:8	144:17,19	1990 30:1	200 124:17
94:16 96:17,22,2	136:16	169:1	1-kilometre	2006 99:6,9
4 109:21	137:9	199:4	51:1 88:6	177:18
117:11	141:9	15,000	90:24	2007 99:10
137:8	143:15 165:8	167:14	1st 179:16	2010 31:1
138:24	167:14	150 42:11	181:21	33:25
139:1	196:18	124:17		2011 20:18
142:24,25 154:13	240:2,4	16 5:6	2	
154:15	10,000 163:1	199:16	2 7:25 12:10	2012 1:21
158:9	10:03 46:20		29:19	9:15 45:18 157:2,23
163:19		16th 163:9	42:19 45:21 47:2	158:19
165:13	10:29 46:21	17 5:7 200:7	52:5,25	160:2
			52 : 5,25	160:2

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 247
of 310				
215:22	217:24	4 1:22 54:15	182:14	79 114:22
241:8,17,2	235:24	85:22	5,000 125:9	
0	239:18	95 : 18		7th 9:16 118:24
205 5:19	240:5	110:18	5,500 125:10	169:23
208 5:20	241:13	136:4	5:00 9:25	100,100
	242:12 243:6,9	154:10	5:30 209:24	8
21 5:10		159:16 177:25	5:35 245 : 11	8 86:19
170:1	30 142:12	179:16		163:6
210 5:22	300 140:16	188:10	50 45:22,25	184:11,23
22 5:11	148:16	219:5	236:2,23 237:7,23,2	196:6
169:24	305 157:21	220:2	4 238:3,19	800 143:17
170:4,17	307	237:25	239:1,4,6	89 166:15
177:8	154:14,25	241:13,14	500 87:17	
220 5:23	157:21	4.4.5 162:21		8th 179:20
22nd 9:5	309 157:7	4.4.5.2	6	
23 170:4	243:7	163:4	6 44:15 53:3	9
193:11	30th 85:3	4.5 34:25	102:11	9 52:7 179:19
23rd 9:5	101:11	4.6 159:21	136:2,8	221:2
	198:17	40 129:13	143:14	
24 5:12	31 181:3	40 129:15 132:4	159 : 15	9:00 9:25 10:6 245:2
244 33:14	182:8	145:25	160:1	
245 5:25	314 221:15	146:2,11,2	184:10,16, 23 185:7	9:04 6:1
25 169:1	314325 135:9	1	186:25	92 159:24
27 33:15	141:25	40-kilometre	63 137:4	93 33:14
		143:14		97 124:18
283-1 45:18	314-325 128:24	41 170:10	680-	••••
28th 101:16	154:13	45 5:16	kilometre- long	A
2B 111:7	157:20		141:13	a.m 6:1 10:6
121:14	315 135:8	48 5:17		46:20,21
2C 111:7		4th 53:16	69 163:8,16 164:22	65:21,22
121:15	31st 53:5 102:10	56:22 58:2	165:24	96:8 245:2
	110:2	59:7,10 62:9 66:25	69-1 165:3	AANDC 5:5,22
3	179:16,19,	102:12	09-1 105.5	15:25
3 18:5 40:14	21 181:21	179:8	7	166:16,19
42:19	325 221:15	197 : 8	7 85:24	167:11
95:18	325-314		136:7	168:17 201:10
99:16	154:25	5	184:10,23	210:17
110:18 120:19		5 5:3 13:11	191:1,3,10	213:24
128:2	35 160:5 191:13	33:16	70s 159:11	214:1
145:21		52:15	160:2	215:3
157:5	38 5:13	54:15 65:16,19	241:21	218:19
177:21	39 5:14	90:19	243:1	219:7 220:4,11
179:14	175:18	160:4	74 85:16	220:4,11
181:2,18 195:9		165:6	75 85:16	223:9
202:20	4	167:13		224:4

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 248
of 310				
225:17	221:10	84:4,21	accordance	214:2,7
229:24	222:21	106:2	7:22 9:23	215:21
231:5,10,1	223:14,24	107:18		219:21
5 232:23	225:25	108:7	according	acted 111:12
235:15	226:13	112:24	239:16	
237:2	227:20	113:8	accordingly	acting 16:24
238:7	228:24	114:10,12,	12:17	21:18
240:7	230:4	13	account 37:1	action 96:2
241:24	231:22	115:16,21	51:13	
243:9,14	232:15	116:25		actions
AANDC's	233:12	117:2	accounted	120:22
166:24	234:16	130:25	49:12	active 139:2
167:21	235:22	131:2,6,23	217:15	193:15
222:13	absence	,25	accurate	activities
Ab 177:24	200:10	132:3,10,1	69 : 13	15:12
AD 177:24	201:21	9 134:22	accurately	36:25
ability	202:3	135:8,16	145:18	52:19
27:13	absolutely	138:12,21	149:15,16	80:22
32:12	80:3 82:11	139:2,5		86:15,24
66:21	122:25	146:5,7,9	achieve	98:20
117:2	175:6	168:10 175:21	203:10	107:16
able	177:15,24	185:21	218:13	114:4
43:16,25	181:8	185:24	achieved	115:2,10
75:7 76:20		189:9,15	221:20	124:10
77:1	ac 171:19	199:22	achieving	138:19
110:20,24	academic	216:8,9,11	32:14	140:21
126:7	126:15	,13,14,23		152:2,3
128:23	accept	217:8,17,2	acknowledge	171:12,20,
154:3	110:21	2	61:2	22
191:20	212:24	221:4,10,1	102:21	172:5,7,11
220:1	220:1	1,16,19,25	acknowledged	175:2
221:18	237:10	222:1	23:9 79:4	189:25
231:16	238:10	accessed	102:22	190:4
234:10		168:19	124:19	activity
239:12	acceptable	100.19	acronyms	42:20 79:4
Aboriginal	58:18	accesses	166:21	98:14
3:17 15:21	68:1,5,7	217:4,6		122:23
16:3 26:1	113:4 153:13	accessibilit	across 23:2	157:5
32:19	161:20,22	y 168:22	82:4 86:20	171:16
48:14,20	101.20,22	-	137:5	172:2,14
166:19	accepts	accessible	176:9	174:18
204:24	214:12	32:2	act 7:7,15	204:3
205:4	access 29:24	accommodate	9:1	acts 215:1
210:6	30:21	108:23	18:14,16	
211:3,4	31:2,3,4,2	110:25	21:3	actual 52:8
212:2,9	1 38:3,11	accompanied	22:24,25	54:9 89:2 131:9
213:2,13,1	77:24,25	16:4,6	98:13	
5	78 : 10	29:8 211:4	113:19	147:12 168:25
214:11,14	79 : 25		201:23	242:1
215:22 220:19	80:4,23	accomplish 27:23	212:11,17 213:25	
				actually

		IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 249
of	310				
	6:20 7:3	15:3 69:20	61:5 234:3	139:8	220:20
	10:8 11:6	113:22			221:10
	12:13,25	146:23	adequately	adverse	222:21
	13:16	165:10,24	25:16	113:9	223:14,25
	41:5,7,10,	220:9	220:5	121:10,20,	225:25
	25 43:24	229:23	adhered 17:9	24 122:5	226:23
	44:6 46:16			220:11	227:20
	47:6 51:15	additional	adherence	advertised	228:24
	53:8 57:18	8:22 9:6	215:7	9:22	230:21
	60:19,22,2	29:11	adjacent		231:22
	4 64:3	33:20	138:5	advice 19:3	232:15
	67:8 69:1	38:15	216:12	90:8	233:12
	72:17,18	69:22 94:5	- d :	110:21	233:12
	74:20 81:6	103:4	adjourn 10:5	152:13	235:22
	84:3 88:7	105:1	adjourning	153:4	
	89:3 95:21	107:10	245:11	216:6	affect 8:9
	103:21	124:8,20	adjust 45:19	232:17	26:20
	116:2	164:23	adjust 45:19	advise 18:17	27:13 55:3
	117:12	168:13	adjusted	27:14	56:7 57:2
	117:12	192:15	203:11	45:13	178:7
		218:9,12,1	adjustment	91:11 92:1	191:15
	135:24	6 219:1	237:25		affected
	140:18	220:2,6,8	237.23	advisers	51:16 98:7
	142:6	223:6	administer	204:14	171:15
	149:1	233:25	20:25	advisor	172:1
	163:9	234:1	213:14,24	12:6,7,8	184:19
	164:24	address	administrati	93:22	192:25
	166:16	11:13	on 114:21		198:12,23
	167:9	74:11	213:10	advisors	
	172:13 173:14	118:23	213:10	243:25	affecting
		121:2		advisory	36:5
	175:6,16	122:4	admit 110:15	3:25 23:23	afford 43:4
	180:22	122.4	114:17	24:5 71:2	
	186:16	133:20	adopted	111:12	afternoon
	191:5	134:9	23:15	169:15	15:17
	199:25	162:20		225:9,15	16:10
	233:23 240:13	198:8	Adriane 3:21	aerial 35:14	97:24
			21:14,15	237:25	210:18
	241:1	addressed	224:19		afterwards
a	dapted	25:16 63:7	advance	Affairs 3:17	215:11
	176:12	89:11	28:12	15:9 , 22	againat
2	dapting	104:18	63 : 1,15	16:3 26:1	against
1	32:5	163:13	223:17	48:14,20	182:11
		203:6	225:25	166:19	206:4
a	dd 88:17	204:7	advancing	204:24	agencies
	124:2	addresses	-	205:4	18:18
	138:1	195:14	38:21	210:6	25:25
	174:4		advantage	211:3,4	36:17
	175:7	addressing	39:18 43:7	212:2,9	98 : 23
a	dded 104:10	203:25	103:11	213:2,13,1	100:5
	139:17	220:2	185 : 23	6	103:16,20
		adequate	186:2	214:11,14	107:23
a	ddition	25:1,21	advantageous	215:22	118:22
			auvantageous		

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 250
of 310				
121:15	129:4,17,2	110:24	100:21	analysis
164:4	3	240:15	130:12	
166:9	133:2,6,10	3 1b and a	149:1	58:5 64:20
187:10	134:11	Alberta 171:18	162:14	158:6
	136:10,19	1/1:18	177:10	159:7
agency	137:24	align 82:20	190:20	183:14
228:3,7 231:10	139:12,23	alignment	192:10,16	197:15
	142:4	30:9,23	199:19	analyst
agenda	143:7,12	33:24 35:5	alternative	16:23
10:16,25	150:14	38:2 130:4	131:21	
11:1 48:12	151:17	132:11,13,	169:16	anchor 179:2
204:24	152:5	20,24	170:14	anecdote
aggregate	153:18	133:18		41:6
128:7,9,16	156:23	135:2	am 13:22	
153:22	158:1,15,2	198:14	16:4 18:1	animals
154:6	3 159:4,12		20:11	85:17
160:22	160:19	alive	24:4,6,11	106:13,21
235:5,9,12	161:25	206:13,18	25:13	107:13
	165:15,21	allocated	26:25 29:8	206:11
ago 25:7,12	167 : 25	20:18	60:13	208:1
40:14	173:9	allotted	67:24,25	annual 29:25
152:17	175:9	10:18	155 : 22	126:5
211:7	185:3		amalgamate	annually
agreed 24:21	190:24	allow 14:9	51:10	217:24
agreeing 7:9	192:21	74:1 130:4	Amanda 2:23	
	194:2,16	185:24	16:24	answer 15:1
agreement	198:7	234:3	48:24	18:6
6:20	202:12	allowable		50:1,7
7:14,23	205:13	106:7	ambition	78:20
21:4 24:13	210:13	a11 aui a a	30:4	89:25
117:1	211:18	allowing	amenities	98:1,3
162:18	221:21	44:8 62:18 67:13	39:18	105:15
163:23	222:19	67:13		107:9
177:12,15,	223:2	all-time	America	110:11
20 189:18	228:22	177:11	43:21	112:3
206:14,15,	231:20,25	190:16	172:10	116:18
21 207:3	232:13 233:10	192:9	among 38:9	125:18
208:10	235:20	all-weather	163:24	130:1
agreements	236:25	8:2 29:18	amount 51:16	131:9
163:11,24	240:6	30:4,21,23	104:22	136:21
ahead 7:2	240:8	32:2,9	146:19	140:1 148:13
39:9,10		33:12,21	147:8	148:13 154:4
58:23	aid 19:8	38:18 43:5	154:18	155:4
66:11	aimed 134:18	168:9	156:10	157:18
74:12 76:4		alongside	163:5	161:2,7,11
81:19	air 125:25	-	240:22	167:1,4
105:17	236:7	52:13,14 117:23	241:9	173:17,24
107:7	242:3	117:23		174:5,10,1
116:8	airports		amounts	3,14 189:2
120:4	33:15	already	151:6	191:6
122:11	al 89:4,8	64:25	152:9	192:4
124:3	a 0, 1, 0	69:20 99:4	237:9	177.1

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 251
of 310				
204:14	apart 204:21	82:18	187:2	50:15,21
230:21	_	203:24	213:9,10,2	51:16
231:12,23	apologies	1	1 219:15	52:1,2
233:9	191:12	applies	232:17	54:10
243:19	apologize	100:1		60:18
an assan a d	47:21	apply 118:2	appropriatel	61:21
answered 164:2	104:12	187:10	y 50:2	65:5 , 7
	107:7	212:21	149:19	76:18 77:2
219:25	145:6	237:13	approval	79:8 83:2
answering	170:8	applying	83:14	87:1,16
98:9	197:2	119:19,23	198:1	94:22,25
answers	225:10		214:4,5	95:1,5
97:11	apparent	appointed	approvals	99:5,9,17
114:7	75:9	205:21	20:12	100:25
166:24	217:11	appraisal	20:12	113:5
188:9	217.11	126:5	approved	117:12,19
	appear	200:18	17:6	122:22
anticipate	112:12		214:17	136:24
37:7	APPEARANCES	appreciate	219:20	158:3,5,9
130:14	2:1 3:1	39:25	approves	159:9
131:14	4:1	123:12	23:1	160:15
141:16		130:24	233:19	162:24
147:11	appeared	152:12		169:18
156:8	94:3	176:23	approximate	171:25
anticipated	175:20	appreciated	80:9	174:19
10:5	appears	57:22	approximatel	181:4,5,14
133:23	157:8	appreciation	y 34:25	,20,21
147:3	216:18	220:23	129:11,13	182:2,16
148:6	applicable	220:23	132:4	183:6
	17:10	approach	134:1	185:14
anticipation	22:24	37:24	136:16	191:16,24
135:11		38:1,7	143:6	198:12
143:9	215:1,4,22	62:24	160:5	216:15,21,
anybody 6:14	application	204:7	Deneil 170,10	24 219:9
11:1 72:5	8:2 10:22	approached	April 179:18	221:13,16
104:7	55:3	41:7	182:8	222:1
205:2	213:16		aprons 34:15	236:9
anyone 44:18	215:4	appropriate	archeologica	237:13,14
-	226:2	10:1 17:8	1 35:18	239:2,3
anything	232:5	34:9,12		240:17,19
69:2 77:20	240:14	58:12	arctic 14:15	243:2
123:8	applications	76:23 82:9	21:17	areas 8:10
148:15	23:7,10	88:21	24:17 30:5	9:9 15:2
174:5,6	151:11	89:19	31:12 32:1	19:5 34:7
180:24	201:10	95:23	38:24	52:8 57:15
anyway 95:5	212:24	99:24	43:18 44:1	60:17,20
	212:21	103:6,7	87:2,3	64:17
anyways	223:11	112:10	118:9,10	65:4,6
197:4	227:23	115:7	172:10	75:10 95:8
anywhere	241:2	133:14	175:3	99:13
141:15		147:13	191:13	101:4
	applied	161:9	area	102:7
	81:11	174:12		102.1

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 252
of 310				
112:24,25	121:16	104:24,25	230:9	August 9:5
114:12	213:12,23	105:2		25:12,24
115:12		106:18	Associates	53:5 72:24
120:23	aspiration	113:7	150:16	77:7
160:13,17	38:22	119:3,5,21	association	102:10
162:4	as-presented	151:21	33:25	110:2
171:17	130:16	152:4	84:21	159:18
173:25	-	162:10	0.0.1.0	183:3
187:3,4	Ass 41:4	166:23	assume 99:18	103.5
188:13	assembled	179:7	100:3	Aurora
192:24	33:1	183:16	189:14	126:23
198:23	assertions	197:5	241:18,19,	127:5
216:8,12	191:25	202:6	20 243:21	authorities
218:6,8	191:25	212:5	assuming	99:22
	assess 9:10	212:3	77:21	113:25
aren't 126:1	23:7 83:20	219:15	211:14	216:2
173:22	119:7	231:18		
arguing	215:6	232:23	assumption	authority
89:17	219:2	232.23	185 : 25	21:2,3
	assessed	assessments	assumptions	23:12
argument	77:25 78:2	216:3	74:25	authorizatio
187:5	83:11	assigned	85:11	n 213:3
188:4	237:15	18:14	167:7,22	
arise 92:22	237.13		170:2	authorizatio
108:13	assessment	assist	174:11	ns 222:14
185:22	7:7,15 9:1	12:12,17	assurance	229:2
arisen	12:1	161:14	208:25	availability
108:24	16:21,23	219:2	200.20	106:15
100:24	17:6	231:16	assurances	107:18
arises	18:2,9	assists 12:1	216:22	available
183:15	20:24 21:2	asso 113:9	219 : 16	8:18 13:2
arithmetic	22:15		assure	15:1,11
143:16,25	35:18,19	associated	141:10	19:4 47:13
145:13	49:9 56:21	50:11,12		55:24
149:9	58:10,14	52:19	attached	59:6,21
	59:9 60:25	54:19 58:6	174:23	63:24 64:2
arithmetics	61:1,3,6	61:25 76:7	213:3	88:23 93:4
150:2	63:22	83:12,21	attempt	154:7,19
arrive	64:10 73:3	86:23 89:6	64:13	199:10
119:17	74:15,16,2	103:12	attempted	200:24
article	1 77:17	113:9	152:2	217:12
191:2	78:1,2,14,	122:17,20,	240:8,21	219:3
	21	23 123:19		223:17
Ashcroft 4:6	79:1,9,11,	152:8,15	attending	242:19
aside 187:8	19 83:13	153:12	13:21	
	84:4	172:5	attention	average
aspect 35:23	85:12,14	176:25	26:17 30:3	124:14
214:11	86:5,12	178:6,18	38:25	avoid 36:5
215:19	87:11 90:6	193:2	115:13	85:18
aspects	91:1,12	197:23	124:5	187:5
29:14 33:1	94:2,4	214:13,20	179:23	
68:22	95:15	229:19,21	201:18	avoided 34:8
	102:14			108:18

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 253
of 310				
avoids 34:11	ballpark	79 : 18	207:25	106:5
aware 26:25	234:17	82:22,24	Beaufort	109:12,21
99:3 109:2	ban	102:19	14:23 31:8	112:3,5
150:16	99:8,11,12	126:2	38:10	123:11
171:17	,14,20,21	130:4	152:19	125:8
185:10	117:13,14	135:1		145:11
189:7	177:17	138:23	beautiful	169:23
203:16	186:10,11	151:9	44:10	170:5
	190:8	155:11	became	173:25
awareness		166:7	40:1,14	185:22
120:22	barren-	243:3	become 31:25	194:21
away 30:3	ground	basis 42:14		216:22
61:12	79:18	53:23	214:8	217:2
183:2	base 34:20	57:17 59:6	beforehand	218:10
a - la - a - a - d	82:18	61:6 62:8	119:19	229:16
awkward	88:21	84:7 88:15	begin 12:22	230:15
64:19	102:18	90:25	13:7 29:12	231:22
axle 137:15	based 8:15	120:17	55:25	237:1
axles 137:9		123:17	183:6	238:25
unico 197.9	19:3 75:2	168:11		239:17
	89:1 90:6	180:8,9	beginning	believes
B	94:3 98:8	213:20	12:14 25:7	98:25
Bacheschi	102:16	242:2	102:22	
3:21	104:23		104:13	beneath
21:14,15	106:8	Bates 16:1,2	118:21	140:5
224:19,20	126:4,16	Bathurst	119:14	beneficial
bad 177:3	156:21	177:9	141:23	191:23
178:11	158:3	181 : 6	beginning/	benefit
	175:25	182:23	middle	31:21
Baetz 3:17	179:23	189:9	183:5	
48:19	180:6	bathumotria		43:13,14, 5 44:4
210:5,11,1	184:3	bathymetric	begins 170:1	5 44:4 127:1
8	188:20	84:10,20	begun 40:15	
211:2,16,2	189:6	85:2	-	174:18
2 212:1,16	236:2,7	bathymetry	behalf 7:10	benefits
214:24	237:6	234:1	49:24	27:21
218:5	242:1	Bay 87:1	72:23	31:13
220:23	baseline	172:8	behave	berry-
221:9	27:8 30:12		103:10	picking
222:20	35:13,16	bear 35:17	behaviour	208:2
223:13	73:23	85 : 16		
225:24	bases 173:15	87:19	99:1 103:6 106:13,20	best 32:12
226:12,19		94:25 95:2	106:13,20 117:8	142:11
227:19	basic 58:19	100:1,3,14	176:17	155:24
228:23,24	61:14	,21 101:4		156:1
230:3,21	64:20 81:3	162:12	behind 51:25	206:18
231:12,19,	98 : 4	209:1	believe 39:9	233:14
21 232:14	168:24	bearing	51:3 54:14	best-case
233:6,8	basically	178:25	85:24	234:3
235:18	21:21		90:19	
balance 23:3		bears 85:23		better 31:4
37:19	41:17 74:25	95 : 5	98:25	42:2 43:9
JI.13	14:20		101:11	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 254
of 310				
53:12	112:19	92:11	162:16	boreal 79:14
62:24	114:6	96 : 15	boat 115:3	borrow 35:4
63 : 11	115:18	101:11	DOAL 110:5	
106:2	118:15	103:22	Bob 3:18	50:11
153:4	121:6	109:9,12,2	16:4 211:4	53:2,6,20 54:7,10,19
Bev 2:25	123:25	1 110:3	235:19,21	55:2
16:18,20	125:1	112:10	237:1,2	56:5,12
224:3	126:11	114:22	238:7	77:22
	127:20	123:21	239:20	78:10
beyond 63:4	Bisaillon	128:3	240:7	84:4,6
88:14	3:22 21:18	132:12,23	241:23	134:23
202:25	70:16,17	135:21	242:13	139:3,7
214:25		138:10	243:13	156:4,9
227:24	bison	141:22	bodies 80:12	160:16,18
239:4	120:18,22	147:17,20	84:11,13	217:23
biannually	bit 6:13	148:8,17,1	139:6	218:9
217:24	12:20	8,19	150:18	221:25
bins 124:9	28:11	149:17	151:3,10	
	59:16	150:6,16	152:16	bottom 151:9
biological	77:15	151:2,11,2	195:24	172:22
24:17	144:18	0 153:23	199:20	Boulanger
biologist	167 : 6	154:12,21	200:12	89:4,7
14:21	183:14	156:13	203:17	bound 7:13
18:10	212:4,5	159:18 160:22	body 11:18	11:18
biology	blast 195:23	160:22	141:2,10	
188:4	1.1	163:9	152:22	boundary
100.4	blasting	169:12	DOC 020.7	21:24
biophysical	86:24	173:20	BOG 238:7	181:25
36:14	195:14,15	190:20	bonds 101:1	Branigan 4:7
94:4,5	blown 177:4	196:13	Bonhomie	break 10:3
97:21 98:6	Bluenose	197:11	175:6,10,1	28:13,17
100:10	177:9	199:11	1	44:9,16
102:13	181:7	202:4,20		45:6
104:25		204:14	Bonhomme	46:7,15,24
105:2	board	205:22,25	2:12 14:17	65:16
106:18	1:2,11,12,	211:10	76:1,5	95:23 96:4
bird 76:17	13,14 2:3 6:18,19,21	213:17	94:15,20	144:17,19
100:14	7:7,9,13,2	215:3	105:15,18 106:22	161:9
birds 18:7	4 9:4,8,15	229:7	107:1,8	breaks 10:1
19:1 35:17	12:2,5,6,7	231:11,17	186:18	13:1
76:17	,8 18:11	232:5,16	193:25	
100:20	19:19 24:9	233:19	194:3,17	bridge 33:6
208:2	53:17,25	243:25	201:8	35:19
	58:1,13,15	boards 18:18	220:25	198:20,21
Birnie 2:6	,16,18	36:9 98:24	221:23	bridges 23:5
12:7 93:21	59:2,5	103:21	223:3	33:14
97:19	63:8,11	187:10		86:20
104:15	64:25	216:5	bore	
106:11	88:18		236:6,22	BRIEF 28:8
107:21	90:16,17,2	Board's	237:6,23	46:11
110:10	1 91:3	62:14	238:4	47:18 50:4
111:5		147:21		51:19

REN	/IEW - INU\	VIK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 255
of	310				
	54:1,21	205:7	51:2,4	143:3,4,13	52:1 160:9
	55:9 56:15	209:20	85:12,15,2	145:10,19	
	57:10	210:3,15	5 86:4	147:16	calculations
	58:25	211:20,25	88:6,16,20	148:3	53:5 , 12
	60:5,10	214:22		149:6	239:1
	65:13	218:3	bugs 177:3,4	150:5	calving 87:3
	67:19	222:17	build 8:2	151:18,19	182:12,24
	68:12	224:1,25	23:4 30:19	153:17,19,	Canada
	70:5,22	225:6,12	31:9 35:1	25 155:22	
	71:8,13,20	226:10,25	38:23 41:2	156:7,24,2	3:9,12,14,
	72:1,9,14,	227:17	44:11	5 157:14	19,21
	20 73:6,12	228:20	79 : 20	158:16,17	5:7,9,10,1 1 7:11
	78:16	230:1	131:5	159:13,14	15:22
	81:21	232:11	building	160:20	16:3,22
	83:16	233:3	34:17	161:6,13	17:15,21,2
	84:23 85:5	234:13	40:12	199:18	5
	91:5 92:5	briefly	143:5	204:18	18:2,12,16
1	93:6 94:11	18:11		231:4,14	,22 19:2
	104:4	198:10	built 23:2	232:1,2,21	20:6,8,13,
	105:7,12		38:4 80:1	234:8	17,24
	106:25	bring 26:17	81:9 137:5	235:3,4	21:1,11,13
	107:4	43:3	138:6,14	236:19	,16,20
	108:1	124:5,21	217:7,19	237:18,19	22:5,7,11,
	109:25	brings	bulk 178:25	239:9,10,2	15
	112:17	16:5,7	bullet	3,24	23:1,6,11,
	113:12		221:4,8	241:5,7	18 26:2
	116:4,10,1	broad 18:21	222:5	242:9,10	30:16,19
	5 120:6	243:2	222:5	243:5	31:7 38:23
	122:9	broader	bullets	Burns 160:12	41:18
	127:16,25	238:21	219:5		48:15
	129:1,19	239:2	220:3	Burn's	49:2,4
	133:8	brought 11:5	bunch 228:9	134:20	51:9 52:24
	134:13	30:25	b	148:24	53:14 55:2
	139:20	40:18	burden 209:1	152:12	56:5,20
	142:2	41:10	buried 50:13	161:19	63:4,5
	144:1,8		52:13	business	66:16 67:2
	145:3	Bruce 1:14	61:20	31:20	68 : 17
1	150:10 155:1,8	3:25 11:23	179:2	127:3	69:25
1	158:25	67:23,24	Burn 2:8	button	70:15,17,2
	160:25	68:8 225:14,15	12:8	205:13	0 71:11,16
	162:1	226:5,17,2	127:23		76:15
	165:17	0	128:1,14		82:4,7
	171:1,6	244:20,21	129:8,9	C	86:3
1	173:7		130:23	cabins 115:4	100:16
	180:16	B-train	131:20	calculate	102:1
	187:17	167:13	132:2,9,22	145:12	166:20
	190:22	B-trains	133:21	calculated	195:12
	192:19	137:5	135:5,19	50:20	196:7,19
	193:23		136:1,11	237:5	204:25
	196:24	budget 20:18	139:13		211:3
	202:8,14	42:6,10	141:20,21	calculation	224:4,6,8,
1		buffer	142:15		14,16,18,2

	VIK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 256
of 310				
0,23 225:2	169:17	137:9	143:15	117:3
228:6,8,25	170:20	case 85:20	central 87:3	184:15
235:22	171:10,20,	130:11	172:10	203:22
Canada ' s	24,25	136:15	172:10	217:1
21:6 33:25	172:6,15,2	137:11	centre	chair 6:17
	0,25	166:16	127:11	11:21
Canadian	173:21	170:17	CEO 24:19	13:20
7:6,14	175:16,20	171:24	40:23	16:19
8:25 18:10	176:1,5,6,	174:16	41:15	17:23
21:2,19,22	12,17,25	187:13	certain 99:4	10 10
22:1,3	177:10,18,	194:5	115:10	20:10 22:9
26:2 31:17	22	198:9,11	152:9,15	24:3,4
32:1 41:4	178:8,13,2	231:9	216:8	27:11
76:15	4	cases 74:24		28:15,24
Canadians	179:14,15,		certainly	39:6,15
22:19	18,20	168:12,22 242:20	39:15	44:7 45:3
43:15,19	180:1,3,7	242:20	41:21	46:8
CanNor	181:11,19,	243.1	42:25	48:1,8
41:5,10	23	case-	43:3,9	49:19,23
121:19	182:9,15,1 8,22,25	scenario	44:3,18	51:23
	0,22,25 183:1,5,9,	162:7	50:8 60:13	52:24
canvass	13	cast 185:5	62:21 64:8	53:13
62:22	184:4,12		74:11 75:5	55:11,14
cap 136:3	185:15,17,	Cat 146:5	79:10,20 83:19	56:11
capacity	18,20,24	categories	83:19 86:12 88:1	57:7,12,20
15:13 33:9	186:1,3,8,	239:19	92:18	59:2
111:12	11,12,14	240:5	92:18 98:20	60:7,12
121:2	187:5	242:12	108:22	62:3,21
124:22	188:1,2,23	Catherine	114:19	63:18 65:9
126:18	,25	1:13	144:6	68:1 69:12
	189:9,17	244:16	149:8,11,1	70:25
Cape 177:9	190:9,16		8 153:8	73:9,14,18 74:19
181:6 182:23	191:23	Cathy 11:23	166:23	75:23
102:23	192:9,17,2	244:14,16	175:1	78:4,8,24
capital	4 193:16	caucus 133:4	179:3	80:2
20:17	194:5,13,2	144:11	181:2	81:2,16,23
42:10	1 208:1	caucusing	189:6	83:18
car 180:3	219:12	51:24	191:14	84:25 86:8
	Carpenter		234:16	90:13
care 200:12	3:24	caught	236:12	91:15 92:7
201:18	24:2,4	179:22	238:8	93:9,18
243:22	71:3	caveats	240:23	94:14
caribou	carried	211:8	241:24	95:17
64:11	26:15	CBC 125:11	certainty	96:2 , 15
79:14,17,1	215:12		152:7	97:25
8 85:17,20		CEAA 7:10		105:10,14
87:19	carry 26:4	215:21,25	Certificate	106:23
89:14	225:17,22	cell 10:12	5:25	107:2
99:7,8,15,	245:2	centimetres	Certified	108:3
16	carrying	136:16	245:14	110:1,14
117:11,14		120:10	cetera 64:18	112:2
L		1		

REVIEW -	INUVIK	TO TUK P	JBLIC	HEARING	09-18	3-2012	Pa	ige 257
of 310								
114:15		187:22		28:10,19	1	21:4		194:2,8,16
116:6,		189:20		39:4,8		22:11		,23 198:6
120:1,		190:15,25		45:1,7,9		23:23		200:4
120:17		193:7		46:4,13		24:3,24		201:2
124:1		194:4,11,2		47:20		26:9		202:12,18
125:17		5 196:3,15		48:4,11,22		27:13,18,		204:10,16,
127:2		197:13		49:1,17,21		2 128:13		20 205:9
128:2,	14	198:4		51:6,21		29:4,7,16		208:17
129:3,		199:3		52:21	,	23 130:21		209:17,22
5,21,2	4	200:2,17,2		54:23	1	31:18		210:9,12,2
131:7,	20	5		56:2,17	1	32:7,16		3
132:2,	5,9,	202:10,16		57:5,14	1	33:2,5,10		211:13,17
14,22		204:13		58:22		34:11		212:14
133:1,		210:19		63:13		35:3,18		220:15
2 134:		220:21		65:10,15,2		36:9,19		221:21
135:6,	14,2	221:24		4		37:19,23		222:19
0,23		223:21		67:4,16,21		39:11,23		223:2,19,2
136:11		224:4,7		68:6,9,14,		41:18		3
137:21		225:1		24 69:17		42:4,13,2		224:5,9,13
139:13 141:21		227:7 231:4,14		70:2,7,14, 19	0	43:1,7,11		,17,22 225:3,8
141:21		231:4,14		19 71:1,5,10,		43:1,7,11 44:5,12,1		225:3,8
142.10		232:2,22		17,22		,24 145:8		227:1,25
144:4,		234:15		72:3,11,16		47:14,25		228:22
145:5	10	235:4,6,21		73:4,10,16		48:9,22,2		229:13
147:17		236:20		74:12		150:3,14		230:11,24
148:4,		237:2,18		75:24 76:4		51:16		231:3,20,2
149:5		239:10,20,		77 : 13	1	52:5		4
150:5,	12	23		78:3,6,18	1	53:15,18,		232:13,19
151:19		241:5,23		79:22	2	4		233:7,10
153:19		242:9		80:19,25		55:5,14,2		234:6
155:3,		243:5,13,1		81:14,19		156:5,23		235:1,20
2 156:		8 245:7		83:6 84:17		58:1,14,2		236:17,25
157:14		Chairman		85:7 86:10		159:3,12		237:16
158:16		136:20		90:1,11		60:19		239:7
159:1, 160:10		142:5		91:7 92:3,24	4	61:4,21,2		240:6
161:1,		225:14		93:8,15,19		64:11,19		241:3,22 242:7
,23 16		CHAIRPERSON		93:0,15,19		65:15,21		242:7
164:9,		46:23 56:9		95:20		66:3		244:2,10,1
6,22	-~, -	114:14		96:3,11		67:25		4,18,23
165:14	,19	157:13		97:22		69:6		245:8
166:11		Chairperson/		104:1,6		73:9	_1	hallenge
168:1,		Facilitato		105:17		75:9		219:2
169:9		r 1:10 5:3		106:9	1	76:20		
171:3		6:3,11,12		107:6,19	1	80:18		hallenges
173:11		15:20		110:8		83:17		86:16
175 : 11		16:13		111:3		85:3		hambers
176:23		17:14		112:14		87:19		1:14 11:23
180:19		19:13 20:4		116:8		90:24		244:21
183:20		21:10 22:4		118:13		92:1,21		hance 42:2
185:1		23:22		120:3,9	1	93:4		12.2
L								

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 258
of 310				
change 41:23	131:14	clarifi	244:5	199:18
46:1 55:20	132:13	148:20	clearing	colleagues
77:10	Chris 2:8	clarificatio	80:23	148:13
85:10	12:8	n 8:23		
106:6,14,2	128:1,14	49:11	clearly	collected
1 128:9	129:9	54:24 67:5	182:6	30:7 75:21
130:16	130:23	85:11	climate 32:6	106:8
184:21	131:20	118:16	41:23	collecting
191:15,16	132:2,9,22	130:24	128:8	35:23
203:9 211:14	133:21	135:7	184:20	collection
211:14	135:5,19	148:20	190:17	241:10
changed	136:11	199:4	191:15,16,	
62:13	139:13	220:22	21	collective 217:10
235:10	141:20,21	221:1,3	close 21:24	
237:24	142:15	222:4	141:15	collectively
changes	143:3,4,13	225:17	closely	219:8
215:20,21	145:19	238:3	35:11	College
changing	147:16 148:3	clarificatio	90:15	126:23
24:16 32:6	150:5	ns 69:22		127:5
190:17	151:18,19	clarified	closer	column
	153:17,19,	118:24	61:12,13 168:15	239:2,6
channel 9:25	25 155:22		186:3	
32:4	156:7,24,2	clarify 9:5		co-
characterist	5 157:14	78:23 86:7	closing	management
ics 113:24	158:16,17	134:4,10	27:19	24:9 36:9
114:1	159:13,14	145:22 148:14	44:20	98:24
characterize	160:20	156:13	co 31:14	103:20 187:10
76:21 77:1	161:6	198:10	243:1	10/:10
charge	231:4,14	225:20	coast 30:5	combination
116:18,20	232:1,2,21	237:19	32:1 38:24	178:22
	234:8	Class 151:12	44:1	combined
Charlie 4:8	235:3,4		Cockney 1:13	51:12
chasing	236:19	classificati	11:24	125:13
117:18	237:18,19 239:9,10,2	ons 235:24	244:16	178:2
check 50:6	3,24	clean 33:7		180:23
109:22	241:5,7	167:16	coffee 10:1	comes 145:15
243:14	242:9,10	cleaning	46:17	238:9
	243:5	167:10	cohabited	comfortable
children	245:4		172:11	67:13
27:17 193:15	circulate	cleanup	Coleman	90:23
	59:23	163:10	12:24	119:15
Chiperzak		164:24	245:20	185:5
2:16 14:20	circulated	165:4,6,8 167:5,12	collaboratin	coming 26:23
choice	47:22		g 36:8	42:11
194:13,22	circumstance	clear 91:10	-	44:21
choose 86:4	s 174:15	168:20	colleague	61:12
	civil 14:18	174:12	51:25 74:8	62:23 64:7
chose 173:16	claim 166:12	188:8 218:12	76:1 122:13	118:5,7
chosen	CTATH 100:12	210:12	175:6	122:18
		217.1	0:011	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 259
of 310				
135:24	188:14	121:12,13,	7:10 38:15	50:16
206:6	commissioned	25	40:16	119:22
220:10	84:10	122:1,2,18	63:21	218:22
222:6	04:10	153:9,14	101:6	Comprehensiv
commonia	commitment	174:19	117:14	e 30:10
commencing 6:1	32:11,14,1	206:23,24	151:5	e 30:10
0:1	8 33:20	community	152:4	con 107:15
comment	127:8	8:6,14	202:5	131:9
66:16,19,2	commitments	15:9 24:7	217:9	137:12
1 68:2	73:21,22	32:1 35:14	218:23	147:12
81:7,17	102:25	39:17,21	completed	152:14
123:21	103:1,22	41:14	10:23 31:1	207:16
124:2	202:25	42:14	41:1 77:6	218:19
134:10	215:4	43:6,25	93:13	228:23
143:25	222:9	47:21	100:10	231:19
160:9		96:19	101:19	conceivably
167:20	committed	101:23	151:14	88:11
170:23	101:14	109:6,13	155:17	117:16
171:9	103:2	112:21	198:13	150:18
192:13	126:13	121:8	214:4,6	
193:10	141:8	152:14,24	215:14	concentrate
209:4,9	151:2	153:1,2,4		52:8
220:22	222:8,22	205:2,21	completely	concentrated
234:9	223:5		81:5,10	83:24
237:21	committee	compacted	108:17	concern 95:4
commenting	3:7 4:4	82:17	110:24	102:24
68:4	7:1 19:15	136:2	completeness	102:24
comments	213:5	company	9:11	173:1
11:20 38:2	225:9	152:19,21	completing	204:1
39:1,2	committees	comparable	228:18	217:6,13,2
43:22	36:18,19	159:10		5 219:1
67:9,22	216:5		completion	231:6
68:18	commonly	compared	198:14	
70:18	163:24	65:4	complex 1:19	concerned
94:15 97:6	193:17	86:21,25	33:5 88:4	24:11 27:17
102:9		87:4	238:12	79:17
106:12,19	communicate	157:20	complexes	/ 9 . 1 /
121:7	213:19	158:18	89:10	concerns
133:13	communicatio	comparing		16:12
134:16,20,	n 213:8	168:3	compliance	19:24
21,24		comparison	36:15	25:4,21
138:1	communities	241:17	212:12	26:18
148:24	8:9 20:22 29:5,19		214:25	27:11
180:13	30:8 32:19	comparisons 159:7	229:17,23 230:7	101:20
186:17,20,	35:10	T0A:1		102:8,23
22 197:18	37:24	compensation	complies	104:16,17,
199:4	38:20	163:3,11,1	229:21	19 105:21,24
commercial	101:18	3,14,23	component	109:16,18
99:6	107:22	164:4	94:2	110:4,17
commission	108:9	165:12	218:24	112:20
	110:19	complete		114:10
			components	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 260
of 310				
122:4,16	230:7	configure	208:23	143:22
128:6,7,8,		137:12		
11 157:4	conduct		Conrad 3:17	consideratio
195:11	6:22,23	confirm	16:1,2	n 10:20
196:9	8:1 11:19	74:25	48:17,19	58:15
199:5	119:21	116:1	209:24	69:15
206:9	151:21	126:7	210:5,11,1	consideratio
212:6	conducted	128:23	8	ns 15:15
218:25	7:20 35:2	129:6,10	211:2,16,2	32:25
concert	64:11	132:3	2 212:1,16 214:24	considered
209:3	84:11	142:16	214:24 218:5	29:15
209:3	95:12	143:4		37:25
conclude	102:6,14	157:10	221:9 222:20	56:6,12
95:3	109:2,5	162:13	223:13	90:23
222:12	122:15	165:9 195:25	225:15	128:17
223:20	152:3		226:12,19	147:21
concluded	175:13	196:14	227:19	147:21
10:4	conducting	197:12 200:1	228:23,24	151:3,4
	86:11	200:1	230:3,21	163:17
concludes	113:7	221:24	230:3,21	190:8
28:11	194:20	confirmed	21 232:14	217:2
93:18 95:1		25:23	233:6,8	218:17
153:20	conducts	74:6,15	235:18	
204:22	213:17	236:5		considering
223:22	215:22	confirming	cons 76:14	53:6 141:7
concluding	conference	102:19	consecutive	consist
209:23	9:16,17,20		138:14	136:2
219:5	154:2,5	confirms		138:23
220:4	157:15	181:3	consequences 83:20	consistent
conclusion	confidence	197:21	83:20	75:11
102:13	200:11	conform	conservation	120:25
191:19	235:24	151:7	187:11,14	120:25
	236:15	193:19	conservative	consistently
conclusions	238:20	conformance	86:4 88:8	102:22
19:3 57:2	239:18	80:17	167:21	consists
concurrently	241:15,16,	203:14	233:18	18:4 33:11
55:6	25		234:2	
condition		conforming		constantly
	confident	84:16	consider	136:25
138:9	37:11	141:8	38:20 69:1	185:6
203:10,12 204:6	99:22	conformity	92:12	construct
204:0	103:19,24	25:8	108:23	29:4,16
conditions	123:14	152:10	141:3	30:4 32:15
7:3 34:22	138:16	connected	161:15	35:5,8
99:24	149:25	71:23	considerable	46:2
156:14	152:23	71:23	33:5 37:3	55:18,21
167:7,23	155:13,18		171:19	82:10
170:1	220:11	connecting	191:18	141:23
213:3	241:20	29:5 38:23	231:10	142:8
215:2,7	configuratio	connections	considerably	214:4,5
228:18	n 89:13	31:15	53:22	217:22
229:3,9,21			54:12	constructed
L			J4.12	constructed

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 261
of 310				
81:7 84:1	200:21	215:15	131:11	23:21
130:5,9	216:9,10,1		137:11	36:18
133:19	4,17,23	contain		117:21
138:3	217:9	158:7	contractors	
142:17,18	218:7,16	202:22	137:17	coordinated
143:16	219:22	contained	contractor's	216:1
170:3,16	221:5,11,2	184:23	195:19	coordination
constructing	0	contains	contribute	21:5
32:4 81:3	constructor	156:9	98 : 6	coordinator
146:1,6	147:13	CONTENTS 5:1	contributing	12:1 18:9
construction	consult	context	31:4	copies 11:1
20:19 26:6	65 : 17	124:4,12	contribution	44:18 46:9
30:21 33:6	153:9	153:6	20:25	47:8,12
35:21	consultant	185:7,12		96:19
36:7,12	14:12,14	214:3	contribution	copy 44:6
38:8	19:20	215:1	s 92:9	46:5
55:4,5,22,	67:25	216:16	control	27 10
24 56:1		217:17	34:14	core 37:12
61:18	consultants	218:6	113:20	Corp 15:8
78:11	14:10 15:3	219:18	114:20	40:24
80:4,11	85:1	237:8	116:25	109:13
83:1,22	203:16	238:24	117:2,7	Corporation
84:2 87:13	consultation	contingency	199:8	20:22
89:22	76:14		203:17	24:20
92:15	104:20	18:24	228:12	47:22
107:15	108:24	228:11 229:4	229:11	96:19
108:6,13	consultation	229:4	controlled	
130:2,4,12	s 35:14	continue	26:11	correct 54:6
,16,19	5 33:14 53:18 93:4	36:8 38:20	190:5	57:21 68:8
131:10,22	102:2	44:1 47:24		91:14
132:1	102:2	48:5 70:8	controlling	143:10
133:13,15,	108:8	96:6,12	98 : 15	170:23
20	112:21	97:18	115:16,21	196:4,16
135:1,10,1	121:8	100:4	116:2	197:14
3 136:14	194:20	161:7	controls	198:3
138:7,25		173:1	173:2	199:11,12
139:1,9	consulted	207:17,23	219:13	200:3
140:10,19,	30:8 90:7	continued	conversed	201:1,9
21	122:13	23:20 25:9	convened	226:13
142:22,23 146:10	152:24	191:21	108:8	230:16
	Consulting	218:19	conversation	245:14
147:2,5 149:18	14:11	221:19	s 234:19	correctly
150:19	consume	continues	convinced	222:21
152:20	140:25	183:10	178:16	223:1 228:4,5
154:6,19	consumed	continuing	cookie 145:7	
169:22	193:17	9:10 19:10		correspondin
172:23		73:23	cooperating	g 77:3
178:14	Con't 3:1		90:8	corridor
190:18	4:1	contractor	cooperation	52:14
193:1,3	contact	82:9	10:14	101:5
199:22		127:12		· · · •

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 262
of 310				
103:13	29:24	created 30:6	8:10 22:2	current
182:1,13	193:18	187:3	24:15	15:12,13
183:3	194:6	creates	112:25	19:6 40:23
186 : 6	counts		113:5	41:14,15
corridors	113:22	59:16 61:15	151:22	60:14 79:9
184:16		01:10	153:1,12	114:3
187:4	couple 49:4	creating	207:15	124:13
	71:23	61:21	culture 15:9	175:21
cost 31:18	72:24	222:1	26:22 28:3	currently
125:25	82:15	creation	152:15	50:17
126:1	97:2,3	38:12	206:13,18	58:11 91:1
165:4	205:21		208:7	100:2
166:6	211:8	Creek		101:9,16
167:17	227:7	168:4,6	culvert	114:12
168:3,14	235:4	crew 136:25	198:20	132:19
costs 28:3	course 10:11	criteria	culverts	217:11
43:14	64:3 82:14	113:5	23:5 33:15	
163:2,10	98:13		34:14	cut 34:17
164:23	131:10	critical	cum 209:10	174:12
165:10,23,	136:17	182:24		cycle 173:4
25 166:8	139:16,18	cross 52:17	cumulates	183:12
167:5,22	162:15,24	82:20	50 : 25	
168:11	173:12	133:25	cumulative	D
209:1	177:1	134:1	27:1 49:5	daily 140:14
cost-shared	190:10	anagaing	50:21 51:5	147:18
30:18	202:1	crossing	53:7 56:21	147:10
	courses	101:8 198:12,18,	57:2	232:8,25
council 3:25	126:16	190:12,10, 19	58:5,10,14	
23:23 24:5			59:9	Dale 3:15
40:18,23	court 12:23	crossings	60:18,25	22:8,10
41:16 71:2	cover 16:11	23:4 35:20	61:1,5,25	dangerous
98:24	140:4	197:19	62 : 16	23:13,14,2
101:15	covered	Crown 117:2	63:7,21,22	6
163:22	50:22 52:3	209:13	64 : 10	
164:7 169:15	136:3	212:21,25	65:5,7	data 35:13
225:16	202:23	214:2	77:16,17	58:8 64:16,20
	217:2	cubes 234:18	78 : 1	73:1,2
counsel 12:5	218:10	Cubes 234:10	79:11,19	74:5,14,23
46:7 59:2		cubic 35:1	85:12,14	75:1,2,17,
72:5	covering	140:16,17	86:5	18,21
161:13	243:2	141:1	90:5,25	86:17,25
204:14	covers 9:9	143:17,21,	179:7	159:20,23
244:1	51:3 181:2	22	181:14,25	160:1
counter	213:13,23	147:4,9,10	183:16,23	182:7
187:4	237:13	148:16	185:14	241:10,12,
counters	crashing	149:14	190:19	25 243:7
125:22	162:23	159:16,17,	192:15	
		20,24,25	197:5,10	date 13:3
countless	create 61:16	160:3,4	209:5,10	17:3 53:18
40:5	81:12	239:15	curious	106:8
country	82:18	cultural	104:25	164:18
4				220:6

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 263
of 310				
240:21	38:22	delivering	32:3,9,15,	29:1
	81:25	32:10	19	40:3,7
Davies 3:19			33:4,17,24	
16:7 211:5	decease	delivers	34:16	Derek 3:6
233:9,11,1	104:22	231:10	35:11	4:3
2 234:15,16	December	delta 14:23	36:13	19:18,20 68:20
	179:16	31:8 38:10	37:5,11	70:12
day 1:22	181:3,21	138:19	38:19	224:11
10:5 13:12	184:3	152:18	66 : 15	
29:22	decide 186:9	179:3	80:18	derived
96:25		242:20	84 : 15	241:12
124:14,17	decided 41:3	demands	91 : 19	describe
126:23	decision	115:2	101:25	121:18
140:16,17	8:15 58:16		118:18,20,	described
141:1	123:21	demarcated	25	
143:18,21	131:11	132:11,19	119:2,20	55:6 110:13
147:4,9	decisions	demographic	151:8	146:8
148:16	17:5 26:19	184:11,19	203:21	157:6
149:14	27:2 28:4	demonstrate	211:5	158:4
150:25		84:14	departmental	160:14
165:6,8 205:19	decrease		19:6	235:13
210:8	105:4	demonstrated	departments	239:19
210:0	126:4	190:11	15:5 18:18	
days 7:25	185:19	219:24	37:17 67:2	description
69:10	decreases	Dempster	90:9	40:15
108:5	105:24	43:2	101:25	108:5
141:22	dedicated	172:15	166:17	215:5
142:12	24:18 40:4	176:13	216:4	235:12
145:14	42:7	189:24		descriptions
167:14,16		190:4	Department's	36:24
deadline	deemed 58:12	den 101:4	36:1 38:15	design 32:21
53:17	157:3		depending	34:4,6
deal 26:25	defer 161:2	denning	165:3	35:19,20
47:11,15	235:18	207:25	174:14	36:3,6
97:12	deferred	Denny 4:12	depicted	108:21
214:11	161:7	5:14 13:25	95:10	109:19
238:20		29:10		110:22
243:19	defers	39:12,13,1	deposit	126:15
	161:13	4	131:3	135:25
dealing	defined	dens 94:25	156:16,17	197:23
68:21	150:22	95:3	159:20	designated
83:24	236:10		239:16,25	15:17
204:8	Definitely	department	240:3	215:15
212:17	211:16	2:23	241:14 243:4	
deals 169:25	223:14	4:10,15		designation
200:7		14:10,22	deposits	214:6
dealt 110:3	degree 33:19	15:19	157 : 5	designed
166:15	Dehcho 33:6	16:14 18:13	depth 241:14	26:9
167:23		20:15	-	76:14,25
215:10,17	delay 12:20	25:25 29:3	Deputy 4:14	77:8
decades	deliver 33:3	30:6 31:8	5:13 14:2	destination
uecades		JU.U JI.U	28:22,23	ues crita croff

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 264
of 310				
128:23	Developer	154:20	15:14,22	DFO 5:6
det 92:19	2:10	156:8	16:3 20:16	16:17
	5:4,16	157:9,10,1	23:1	17:1,7,10
detail 40:10	8:7,17,20,	5,19	26:1,7,10,	
198:10,11 220:8	22 9:2,14	158:18	11,23	83:9,10,13
234:25	10:25	160:8,21	27:20,22	111:13,14
	11:14	161:10,15, 22 162:19	30:25 34:1 35:24	112:5
detailed	13:8,16,18 14:5 15:4	163:21	36:11	141:8 152:10
36:24 77:2	23:7,9	195:3,17	37:19	197:18,24
81:6 142:7	24:25	197:6,21	38:12	197:10,24
197:22	24:25 25:16 26:4	201:17	48:15	223:24
198:2,25	27:5	203:1	52:19 82:6	
215:4	29:4,9,15	204:15,23	92:17,22	DFO's 17:4
details	37:23	213:8	93:11	dialogue
80:14	45:11,13,1	215:5	119:3	23:20
126:20	4 47:3,6	220:5	138:20	90:14 92:9
determinatio	48:16,21	222:9	140:11	98:2
n 107:24	49:5,24	223:4	166:20	213:8,19
222:24	53:10	229:21	170:22	216:25
-	66:11	235:7	172:5	diamond 82:2
determinatio	67 : 14	238:25	173:23	89:5 137:4
ns 215:23	72:24,25	240:20	176:18	
determine	73:21 78:9	242:23	178:5	DIAND 168:14
8:24 57:16	84:9 92:17	developers	192:12	Diavik 89:5
92:13	97:16	10:22 27:8	204:25	dictated
113:23	98:25	91:23	207:4,24	58:12
determined	101:14	163:25	211:3	
18:13	102:9	210:20	214:13	difference
92:19	103:2	214:18	220:20	53:15
	109:10	219:21	222:2	54:17
determining	113:15	220:18	226:3	62:13
125:19	116:19,20	Developer's	227:11,21	210:7
develop	117:5,20	13:22,24	235:22	240:18
120:24	119:1,21	24:9 25:5	developments	differences
128:21	126:13,19 128:4,18,2	28:5 37:16	6:24 27:4	168:21,24
133:17	2 129:5,10	55:17,21	50:14	different
178:21	131:13	62:16	61:20	51:14
187:13	132:3,18,2	102:25	77:22,24	89:13
200:21	3 133:17	111:7,19	87:2,6	101:8
developed	134:3	121:14	88:3	120:16
6:20 17:9	135:7,20	162:8	140:25	131:6
54:13	139:15	164:13	178:17 184:20	132:12,24
76:24	141:7,21	222:11		149:10
80:14	142:16	242:16	develops	150:1
101:10	143:4,24	developing	127:12	174:19
103:7	147:19	30:17	deviate	203:17
135:9,12	148:6	117:21	204:5	219:6
138:17	150:17			236:13
201:6,18	151:1	development	devices	238:4
214:15	152:1	3:18 7:2	10:13	difficult
		14:15		

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 265
of 310				
60:3 80:11	disagreed	184:25	147:21	141:11
98:15	188:12,23	a	148:7	142:5
161:7		disruption	179:8	
167:10,13	disagreement	107:12	195:8,10	done 11:15
233:12	188:20	distance	202:1	40:9 44:22
	disappear	146:18	208:10,11	53:23
difficulty	79:3	236:3	221:2	60:25 61:4
63:3			221.2	80:22
201:20	disappointed	distances	documentatio	86:22
dimensions	25:13	145:13	n 53:17	89:4,9
64:18	disappointin	distant	56:24	92:13
	g 100:9	145:14	59:10	100:18
diminish	_		60 : 14	102:17
30:5	disconnected	distinct	63:20	149:9
diminishing	77:19	94:1	84:14 91:2	150:2
99:15	discrepancy	distinction	101:10	159:7
	53:11 86:7	119:6	179:7	161:18
dip 43:18	JJ.II 00./		183:13	Derihas 0.0
118:8	discuss	distinguish	190:1	Donihee 2:3
direct 12:25	37:18	235:16		12:5
49:18	56:23	distinguishe	documenting	59:1,2
126:2	65 : 16	d 24:3	62 : 25	62:2,3
165:22	95:18		documents	161:12,13
168:10	108:14	distribute	47:2 66:12	162:3
	discussed	47:6	67:6	164:12,13,
176:16	93:24 94:7	distributed	97:2,4,13	21
178:2		47:14	103:21	166:10,11
192:11	98:11		110:2	168:16
directed	102:10	district	132:12	169:8
11:21	138:24	16:2,9,24		173:10,11
215:18	199:13	230:6	Dog 174:24	174:21,22
d:	203:4	disturbance	dollars 30:1	176:22
directing	discussing	88:9,10	41:10	177:19,20,
128:3	135:17	107:15	163:7	25 179 : 5
Directive		170:22	165:5,8,11	183:19,20
102:11	discussion		167:18	187:21,22
202:20	55:17	173:23	209:15	190:14,15
1	108:4	184:14	209:13	191:5,9
directly	153:21	186:5	dom 30:2	192:3,7,8
60:23 89:1	183:22	disturbances	domestic	193:6
110:12	discussions	85:18	30:2	194:10,11,
112:11	92:21	86:1,14	50.2	24
121:20	122:19	91 : 22	dominant	196:5,6,17
194:18	127:5,8	disturbed	194:6	197:1,16,1
216:19	163:21		Don 2:15	7
221:15	164:7,10,1	34:23	14:14	199:2,3,15
director	4,17	divided	81:17,23,2	200:6,19
13:22		140:18	4 133:13	201:4,11
14:14	disposal	document	4 133:13 134:15	204:12,18
	124:9			243:17,18,
disagree	disposes	47:4,6,22	135:23	243.17,10,
166:23	214:1	49:8 52:25	136:20	
185:6	2 1 7 • 1	59:20,23	138:1	DOT 98:14
188:9,17	dispute	66:6	139:4	

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 266
of 310				
123:9	149:6	dried 193:16	139:17	37:19
dots 179:25	150:5	drill 238:19	147:5	38:12 41:4
double 156:9	151:18		152:17	economical
165:7	152:12	drilled 155:12	162:23	27:20
	153:17,19, 25 155:22	156:15,20,	175:12 181:10,21	43:9,10
doubt 89:16	156:7,24	22 158:8	197:21	economically
117:16	157:14	160:15	205:24	42:12
201:16,17	158:16	237:14	207:11	209:7
Doug 2:16	159:13	drilling	216:8,10,1	economy 28:1
3:14 14:20	160:12,20	241:9	1,13,16,23	_
22:13	161:6,13,1	242:1	219:22	Edmonton
70:24 225:1	9 199:18		221:4,10,1	18:3
	204:18	drive	9 234:24	education
Dr 12:6,7	227:4,6	43:16,17,2 1 118:10	dust 36:23	15:9 31:22
18:8 49:3	228:2 229:15		203:19	126:12,13
51:8 52:23	229:15	driven	DVD 41:5,16	214:25
54:25	231:1,4,14	43:1,2	44:7,9,15	effect 59:15
56:4,19 59:22	232:1,21	driving	- , - , -	62:6 78:23
63:17	234:8	186:7	E	79:7
69:21,24	235:3	drop 125:12	earlier 10:4	107:17
72:22	236:19	due 107:15	30:7	172:19,24
73:20	237:18	127:6	133:13	176:5 184:21
74:13	239:9,23	220:10	197:9	184:21
75:16	241:5		209:10	191:16
77:7,14	242:9	dug 236:9	217:5	200:8
78:19	243:5	duplicate	early 8:12	207:25
79:24	draft 60:14	52:2	154:24	effected
80:21 83:8,19	63:20	duration	183:4	143:20
84:19 85:9	93:10	32:7	easily 244:3	
90:3	214:15	during	easily 244:5	
91:9,16,18	drafting	10:2,13	east	17:12
93:1,17	8:20	11:11	181:17,25	22:18 102:24
127:23	drainage	13:1,12	182:3	130:16
128:1,14	34:11,13,1	15:3 23:12	eating 145:6	182:15
129:8,9	4	25:8,9,10,	EBA 55:15	203:3
130:23	draining	11	76:1 140:1	204:7
131:20	162:24	36:12,16,2	158:5	effects 19:8
132:2,9,22 133:21	dramatic	1 46:7,15	EC 228:5	27:1 32:6
133:21	126:6	79:8 92:14		36:15 49:6
135:5,19		102:3 105:22	Echoing	53:8 56:21
136:1,11	draw 33:4	105.22	186:22	57:2
139:13	drawings	107:13	ecological	58:5,10,14
141:20	198:12	110:6	151:21,22	59:9
142:15	drawn 60:23	112:21	economic	60:18,25
143:3,13	87:4	121:8,9	27:22 28:2	61:1,5,8,1
145:10,19	191:19	125:22	30:25	7,22,25
147:16	drew 119:8	135:12	31:16	62:17 63:4,7,22
148:3,24		138:19	32:25	64:10
				04:10

REVIEW - INUV			09-18-2012	Page 267	
of 310					
65:5,7	184:11	137:4	203:5	84:13	
75:15				99:23	
77:16,17	eighty-six 163:6	elaborate 56:25 76:2	employing 195:21	103:5,17	
78:1	163:6	221:6	195:21	108:16	
79:11,19		221:0	employment	115:6	
82:23	EIRB 9:23	Elders 11:10	15:10	126:22,25	
85:12,14	25:2	17:23 22:9	31:19	140:3	
86:5,12	26:12,19,2	206:16,25	127:3	190:4	
87:12,20	4 27:2	208:16	enable 27:24	195:22	
88:3	28:4	elements	an ah lina	207:16	
89:12,22	45:15,17	170:16	enabling	213:7,17,1	
90:5,25	72:23	175:2	31:15	8 214:25	
93:10	93:22	219:10	encountered	215:17	
98:11	148:7	Eli 2:7	101:9	216:23	
102:15	EIS 25:17,22	11:25 13:1	encourage	ensuring	
108:13,17	55:7 61:1	211:22	44:14	19:24	
111:16	73:20 74:7			26:10	
118:17,23	77:12 80:8	Elias 6:5	endeavours	221:19	
119:4,8,9, 21	81:6	12:10	32:11	enter 96:21	
121:11,20,	83:19,23	else 65:8	enforcement	97:10	
24 122:5	84:5,8	77:20	113:24	113:2	
125:3	98:12,18	78:21	engineer	183:22	
176:10	102:17,20	97:25	14:13,18,1	195:23	
179:7	108:10,11	186:16	9 82:1		
181:14,25	118:21	elsewhere		entered	
183:16,23	124:18	10:3	enhance	163:24	
184:13	125:3,8 131:1	170:19	20:16	entering	
185:14	140:13		126:17	96:23	
189:4,16	145:16	eludes 222:5	enhancement	entertain	
190:18	146:24,25	embankment	18:19	45:4	
193:2	149:13	34:4,9	enjoy 103:18		
195:14	150:1	130:5	118:11	entertained	
197:5,10	162:20,21	154:7		126:1	
209:5,6,10	163:12	177:3	enjoyed 47:1	entertainmen	
215:23	165:25	emerged	enormous	t 46:25	
223:6	177:16	59:11	37:1	entire 101:1	
229:24	180:22	emergency	ENR 15:17	146:2	
230:4,5,8,	181:4	10:10	90:8,15,16	160:16	
19	203:4	229:4	91:11,19	219:3	
efficiency	either 88:14		92:1,9,12,		
15:15	91:12	emphasize	17,20	entirely 57:21	
efficient	92:14	25:3 27:19	111:13		
22:20	112:12	83:23	112:3	entitled	
34:10	141:17	emphasizing	118:24	179:17	
	191:25	32:8	124:8	environment	
effort 37:4	201:23,24	empirical	166:8	3:9 4:6	
efforts	216:23	126:2	ensure 26:13	5:7 7:9	
108:25	217:23		32:21	8:10 15:6	
eight 86:19	218:11	employed	34:11,21	17:15,21,2	
163:5	Ekati 89:4	108:16	35:12 36:3	5	
				18:2,12,14	

REVIEW - IN	UVIK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 268
of 310				
,16,20,22	113:4	220:24,25	147:6	evaluates
19:2 24:17		221:23		36:2
26:22	152:8	223:3	establishmen	
32:17	156:14		t 115:3	evaluation
49:2,4	169:22	ero 229:11	estimate	88:22
51:9 52:24	4 203:3	erosion	86:5 128:7	166:24
53:14 55:1	204:1	34:14	142:10	191:18 238:8
56:5,20	212:5	199:7	153:22	
63:4,5	213:5,10,2	228:12	155:24	eventually
69 : 25	1 215:23	229:11	156:2	103:5
76:15,16	216:3	erratum	157:16	176:6
86:3 87:2	218:10	45:14,19	160:3,6,22	everybody
100:16	229:5	46:1 47:6	163:2	20:10
103:17,24	231:17	48:2 53:25	165:25	97:25
167:9	232:23	54:4,6	167:5	179:24
195:12	environmenta	58:2 64:25	198:22	208:8
196:7	11y 22:21	68:3	233:18	everybody's
224:6,8	environments	69:7,13,16	235:13,17 236:2,23	66:19
228:5,8,25	156:14	154:23	236:2,23	103:25
environmenta	a 100:14	erratums	242:1,21	144:25
1 1:1	envisioned	97:3	estimated	
6:18,24,25			45:21,24	everyday
7:6,15,17,	130:3	error 211:8	80:10	114:3
24 8:21	equal 27:24	errors	165:4,12	everyone
9:1,2	_	210:21	estimates	6:13 10:18
12:1,4	equipment	escaping	34:25	20:2
14:8,12,17	7 81:13	197:2	154:5,11,1	44:8,14,22
15:6	128:20		8,22	,23 46:23
16:21,23	136:12 146:4	especially	157:2,9,16	79:16
17:6	163:4	233:16	,20,22	109:2
18:1,9	166:1	234:4,20	158:13,18,	evid 169:11
20:11,24	169:2,4	essential	19 164:23	
21:2		29:23	167:21	evidence
22:11,14 24:10,24	equiv 188:11	essentially	233:14	8:16 62:8
24:10,24	equivocal	52:2	235:5,8	82:11
26:8,14,20	188:9,11	100:20	240:11	85:17 138:9,13
,25 28:5	Eri 106:22	162:22	241:8,11,1	162:6
29:14		163:13	7 242:11	169:12,14
30:11	Erica 2:12	181:16	et 64:18	184:1
32:22,25	14:16	188:14	89:4,7	
33:8,18	76:1,5	200:10	117:3	exacerbated
36:4 38:17	94:14,20	201:23	184:15	171:15
40:8 73:23	105:15,18	203:3	203:22	184:12
74:3	106:22	establish	217:1	exactly
79:3,7	107:1,8	189:11	Europe 87:5	41:19
82:22,23	175:6,10,1		172:4	91:16
83:20	1	established		130:17
86:12	186:17,18 193:25	6:19 7:22	evaluate	examination
89:21	193:25	30:9	243:10	88:21
98:5,6	201:8	establishing	evaluated	216:17
109:4	201.0		84:7 87:11	
L	I	1		

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 269
of 310				
217:9	78:12	31:21	25	237:25
examine	117:3	238:19	expressed	factors
86:13	156:14	experience	25:4	125:18
	203:16	21:16 33:9	240:16	184:12,19
examining 218:21	existed 9:7	89:2 118:9	extend 84:3	failure
	existing	124:6	88:2 89:3	24:25
example	33:10,12	126:6	239:4	
51:11	34:19	137:9		fair 10:19
89:14	37:20	138:17	extending	58:9 62:19
114:13	38:17	141:11	90:18	79:25 80:22
117:12 123:3	50 : 13	152:16	extends	80:22 117:1
125:5	52 : 10	170:19	181 : 15	175:4
151:25	61:19	173:21 176:1,16,2	183:11	191:11
167:14	98 : 22	4 189:6	extent 98:10	200:15,18
172:9	121:16	194:19	100:13	
174:24,25	124:22	233:16	110:20	fairly
176:15	125:20		111:1	114:16
198:20	130:19	expert 19:5	117:4	177:3
examples	132:20	expertise	192:13	213:20
34:4	180:25	15:2	extra 47:8	fairness
115:22	exists 86:13	16:5,7	168:13	11:19
189:22	87 : 10	33:5 91:20		59:17
203:18	exits 10:10	experts 14:7	extraction	faith 62:7
		28:24 72:4	201:7	faithfully
exceeding	expand	210:19	extrapolate	24:20
141:15	126:17 178:23	explain	156:19	
exception		15:11	extremely	fall 179:19
79:14	expanded	53:11	157:3	183:8,10
excess	163:16	59:21		familiar
233:23	expect 28:12		F	88:24
exchange	88:13	explaining	Fabijan 2:18	179:10
235:7	118:4	212:3	14:20	230:22
	124:14	explanation		fare 126:1
excited	169:4	59:12,14	fabric 34:19	father 24:18
42:16,23	181:19	60:2 77:15	facilitated	Iacher 24:10
excitement	188:2	90:4	152:19	favourable
38:9	223:10	111:24	facilities	30:12
excuse 59:1	227:14	197:10	31:23	features
106:22	expected	explanations	178:18	34:7
196:21	36:16	90 : 5	189:16	February
exercise	75:12	explore	fact 74:6	164:8
165:6	89:20	166:13	82:5 98:16	
	151:20	168:3	117:13	federal 7:6
exhibit	181:11	170:15	146:1	18:17
47:11,15	227:22	173:14	147:11	20:25
96:22,24	234:25	explosive	153:13	25:25
exhibited	expenditures	228:12	188:19,23	29:22,25 30:3,17
172:7	29:25		192:10	41:7
exist 62:1	expensive	explosives	factor	166:17
		195:19,22,	140001	

REVIEW - INUVIK TO TUK PUBL		BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 270	
of 310					
216:2,4	235:12	21 207:2	fish 17:11	fleet 137:12	
feed 228:16	239:14	208:10	35:15	flew 41:12	
feedback	figures 54:9	241:6 242:10	101:6,21 111:25	floating	
176:3	58:1,6		117:18	82:21	
feeding	179:9,14,2 2	<pre>finalized 93:13</pre>	163:13,14	flooding	
208:1	2 180:14,21		194 : 6	138:8	
feel 25:20	181:13	finally	203:8	139:14,18	
58:7 88:12	182:4,7	25:11	fisheries	flow 34:11	
115:15	183:14,22,	financially	2:23 3:6		
123:14	25 197 : 15	209:7	4:3 14:21	fluctuate 171:11	
153:8	file 9:12	findings	16:15,21,2		
166:24	45 : 17	102:11	5 19:14 25:25	fluctuations	
184:23	197:14	fine 96:3	36:10	171:13,14	
185:5	filed 9:7	145:1	80:18	fly 43:11	
Felix 1:11	36:25	186:8	84:15	flying	
11:24	45:19	230:25	101:22	138:11	
244:8	69:16 85:2	finished	102:1	focus 23:16	
felt 61:4	102:11 109:20	63:24 97:7	112:7	29:14	
64:13	159:18	fir 66:24	151:8 196:18	76:16	
167:1,5,12 175:25	162:6	firm 10:17	200:7	100:19	
192:16	169:23		203:8,21	focussed	
	170:6,8	first 10:21	224:3	10:16 75:7	
ferries 33:16	179:8	14:11 24:14	fishermen	100:13	
	195:5	26:24	166:2	122:22	
field 50:17	196:1,13	29:19		focussing	
52:20 73:1	197:8,11	31:11	fishing 117:25	183:23	
87:2 89:2 215:10	filing 47:11	32:1,12	117:25	folks 50:8	
241:10	64:19 222:9	39:20	200:9,13	53:19	
fields 179:2		40:17	219:14	100:16	
fields 179:2	filings 9:3	49:7,16 81:4 98:10	fit 212:2	followup	
fifteen	98:12	128:5,11	242:12	230:14	
46:14	109:23	135:8,10	five 13:11	follow-up	
144:17,19	fill	153:25	33:15	36:15	
fifty	34:17,18	156:19	65:16,19	66:23 76:3	
45:22,25	films 41:11	161:19	125:9	93:2	
124:16 167:17	final 6:19	162:5,12	165:6,7	194:11	
236:22	7:14,23	163:19 168:2	167 : 13	213:7,18	
	17:4 21:4	173:19	FJMC 5:8	food 42:21	
fifty-five 125:10	24:13	174:12	19:17,20,2	43:11	
	25:14	180:21	4 68:18,20	193:18	
figure 51:12	66:8,13,22 67:9,15	184:1	70:10,12	194:6,13,2	
54:9 64:15 77:16,19	117:1	189:10	111:8,14 224:10,12	2	
85:13	162:17	221:4		footing	
179:16,19	198:14,17,	231:6	flagged	175:23	
181:2,18	24 201:12	firsthand	205:24 216:8	177:2	
182:14	206:14,15,	118:9	210:0	footprint	

REVIEW - INUV	YIK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 271
of 310				
32:22	167:16	162:23	149:22	138:19
49:13 52:3	forth 75:10	Friday	function	152:17
53:1 56:8	209:4	211:11	213:12	178:17
57:23,24	240:4	211.11	225:12	233:17
60:17		front 10:12		gasoline
65:3,4,6	forthcoming	11:6 25:14	functional	126:1
79:2	23:10	104:11	188:16	
217:14	109:15	127:10	funding	gather 8:19
218:17	forty-four	160:12	20:15	90:4
footprints	33:14	169:12	30:16,18,2	gathered
49:8,15	forum 149:7	193:13	0 40:21,25	75:3 105:2
50:10,12,1	IOIUM 149:7	195:8	41:2,4,8	106:18
4,19,25	forward	205:11 216:18	42:2	193:20
51:1,11,14	19:10 20:1	231:16	fur-bearing	gathering
52:4 58:20	21:7 23:20		208:1	194:21
64:16,17	27:20 28:4	frozen		
180:23	37:6 38:21	81:5,10	Furthermore	general 84:7
Forbrich	39:1 40:6	139:6	27:5 102:5	87:12
3:10	44:23	140:5	future 15:13	103:9
17:18,22,2	66:17,22,2	fruition	17:5 21:9	117:23
4 224:7,8	4 67:2	44:24	26:20	134:17,19
	72:6 124:5	f	27:3,7,17,	171:8
fores 180:25	181:15	fuel 18:23	22 28:3	172:13
foreseeable	205:5	125:14	44:25	186:15
52:16	206:16 207:1	162:22 163:1	52 : 16	229:10
178:19,22	211:23	167:13	61 : 11	generally
180:25	211:23		75 : 22	42:16
forested		ful 24:22	98 : 19	87:22
187:2,4	fouled	fulfill	103:18	166:25
	163:15	27:14	178:19	203:23
forever	foundation	6 1 6 1 1 1 1	181:1	229:7
207:18	31:14	fulfilled	190:8	230:6
forgive	fourteen	24:22	200:23	generating
49:24	167:16	35:12	201:6	38:9
183:21	221:15	full 67:7	206:5	generations
form 13:3		136:13	207:10	103:18
43:13	Francois	146:11,21		207:10
57:25 66:6	70:17	167:13	G	
77:24	frankly	full-depth	G/BC02 99:13	generous
100:25	64:22 84:5	146:1	game 98:23	88:1
109:21	140:23	fuller 66:6	101:15	gentleman
	141:2	fuller 00:0	163:22	41:11
formal	Fred 12:10	full-	164:7	gentlemen
243:21		strength		17:24 22:9
formally	free 166:24	146:7,12,2	gaps 9:7	28:25
74:20	244:5	2	garbage	210:25
formation	frequenting	fully 26:15	121:11	
34:12	79:8	33:19	122:24	geophysics
		37:25	gas 50:16,17	236:6
forms 117:22	fresh 193:16	57:22	52:12,16,2	geotechnical
Fort 120:19	freshette		0 119:6	14:19
	I		0.113:0	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 272
of 310				
35:2,15	124:15	214:10,15	95:19	76:21 77:3
73:24	Gordon 2:4	218:20	109:13	95:2
109:3		219:16		100:12,24
157:5	4:18 5:19	221:14	groups	101:4,7
	12:3 72:18		208:23	102:16
geotextile	205:16,17,	graphic 91:2	grow	163:10
34:19	18 208:18 209:5	grateful	185:17,18	178:8,13
getting	209:5	37:2	growth 37:19	187:12
176:3	gotten 11:2	154:20	_	188:13,21,
178:11	governance	gravel 30:22	Gruben 14:1	22 189:3
187:23	113:17	35:1,8	39:24	203:8
GIS 50:7		136:12	41:15	habitats
	government		guess 6:4	75:8
given 11:13	7:10 14:2	great 27:11	10:3 40:15	75:0
27:5,10	15:18	100:13	57:15 62:4	habituate
42:9 91:19	20:20	206:16	69:6 96:14	176:6,7
97:5	21:3,6,7	207:8	98:9	half 65:6
106:17	24:21 27:6	223:17	116:17	
136:11	29:2,22	238:20	120:13	83:3,4 101:2
139:3	30:7 31:7	greater	159:6	134:1
141:6	37:11,17	143:22	167:8	156:10
147:19	41:7 42:10	149:12	168:20	181:24
148:6	67:1 68:17	234:25	171:8	181:24
154:24	113:17	239:3	172:21	hall 10:9
215:21	187:10		180:10	Hamlet 29:20
234:17	209:14	greatest	184:22	31:1,6
235:25	governments	127:1	188:18	
236:21	32:20	great-	201:12	Hanbidge
239:14		grandfathe	207:17	3:25
243:10	Gowan 3:18	rs 207:2,8	242:5	67:23,24
gives 53:2	16:4 211:4	208:16		68:8
59:14	235:21		guests 39:16	225:14,15
	236:20,21	grizzly	guidance	226:5,17,2
giving 66:11	237:1,2	35:17	17:10	0
GNWT 15:5	238:7	64:11	123:22	hand 70:9
30:15 36:8	239:11,20,	85:16,23	guide 8:20	187:5 , 25
37:18	24 240:7	87:19	guide 0.20	227:13
119:1	241:6,23,2	94:25 95:2	guidelines	236:9
214:8	4 242:13	100:1,3,14	6:21 9:23	handle 75:20
230:18,19	243:13	,21	34:1 80:17	137:7
goal 29:19	grade 198:15	grossly	82:5 84:16	166:9
30:13	grandfathers	149:10,25	152:11	
38:13	206:17	ground 34:19	203:19,20	handled
	207:1,8	80:24	Gurdev 2:20	23:17
Golder		81:5,9	14:24	hands 227:21
150:16	granted	236:6		Hanna
gone 125:5	214:5		н	168:4,6
135:24	granular	grounds 87:4 182:12	habitat	
goods	16:5 31:2			happen
23:13,14,1	34:21,24	208:1,2	17:11	103:23
7 43:3,8	154:16	group 19:21	35:16,17	151:12
/ 10.0,0	212:18	40:4 92:17	36:5 53:4	178:18
			75:13	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 273
of 310				
207:5	101:23	133:13	97:8,9,14	244:6,8
happened	102:7,9,23	hazardous	206:22	herd 87:3
148:12	104:18,24	23:16	208:22	172:11,16,
168:20	105:3,5	228:11	heavy	20
174:1,3	106:16,19		136:12,24	179:15,18,
	111:7,16,2	head 142:10	137:3,10	20 181:7,8
happens	5 112:8,24	headed 153:3	169:2,4	183:1
103:23	117:14	headsets		189:9
149:19	118:6	104:7,9	hectares	
happy 30:24	163:4		53:3,9	herds
102:2	169:18	health 15:8	54:14,15	99:16,18
161:14	177:17,22	171:21	178:8,13,2	172:6,25
har 104:17	186:10,11,	172:24	4	173:3
106:6	12	185:15	he'd 69:23	176:9 177:10
189:25	189:24,25	healthcare	height 34:9	181:11,23
	190:4,5,9, 13 192:10	31:22	135:21	182:25
Harbour 24:7	200:8	hoal+h 00.0		183:6,13
hard 39:25		healthy 98:2	held 1:17	185:15,17
138:12	haul 86:23	hear 6:14	9:4,16	190:12
hardly	136:13	8:6 10:21	25:12	191:23
110:15	hauling	104:8	38:22	
110:13	55:25	147:24	102:3 105:20	he's 11:25
harmful		206:23	105:20	12:6 13:4
191:23	haven't 43:2	heard 30:24	helicopter	21:18
harvest	51:25	68:15 69:1	41:12	hesitant
105:24,25	74:20	128:15	help 31:18	149:7,24
106:2	110:23 135:24	133:23	34:21	Hi 21:14
177:22	142:6	134:4	36:20 76:7	66:14
219:13	142:0	143:23	98:3	
harvested	234:20	196:11	103:22,25	high 33:21
27:1	237:15	222:21	105:15	177:3
100:19,21		hearing 1:6	123:18	higher 38:10
104:21,22	having 25:14	8:1	173:15	240:25
105:5	66:8 110:5	9:18,21	208:6	highest
106:6	138:13	10:13	223:17	32:10
107:13,18	150:1	11:17,19	helped 14:7	201:19
111:6,9	172:23	13:15,21,2	-	
	233:25	4 15:3	helpful	highlight
harvesters	236:15	19:12	133:22	13:25
163:3	Hayley 2:15	25:24 64:4	157:1	58:18
207:14	14:14	66:20,23	204:13	highlighting
harvesting	81:18,23,2	96:12	226:15	86:2
36:6	4 133:23	101:19	helping 99:1	highly 33:1
93:24,25	134:4,9,15	205:3	117 : 7	
94:2,9,22	,16	213:4	helps 89:25	<pre>highway 1:5</pre>
95:4,9,16	135:6,23	hearings		7:5,18
97:21	136:20	9:13,22	hence 54:8	8:3,9,14
98:7,11,13	138:1	19:23	88:6	20:20
,19	139:4	25:12	Herbert 1:11	21:22,24
99:4,15,25	142:5,6	37:18	11:24	22:24
100:3	Hayley's	56:24		24:11

REV	IEW - IN	NUVIK	ΤΟ Τυκ	PUE	BLIC I	HEARING	09-	-18-2012	E	age 274
of	310									
	29:5,13,1	8	187:6,7		2	38:4,12		177:14,24		101:18
	30:5,9,13		189:24					178:10		108:9
	15 31:11	,	190:4		Ноо	173:11		180:19		110:19
	32:4,9,16		198:15,1	9	hoo	ked 71:25		183:20		111:8,13,1
	33:1,21		200:22					185:1,4		4 152:14
	34:6,20		200:22			s 2:11		189:20		153:9
	35:2,6,8		205:20			4:11		190:25		163:22
	36:6,11,2	0	205:20			9:20,23		191:8,11		164:7
	,21	Ŭ	208:5			0:6		192:4,5,22		
	38:8,18,2	З	200:3			1:22,23,2		197:13		human 85:18
	43:2 45:2		214:6			53:13		202:16,19		117:8
	46:3	5	219:13,2	23		4:3		202:10,15		170:21
	50:11,12			20		7:8,12,20		204:13		171 : 15
	52:9,14,1	7	highways			9:3,14,19	1	hope 19:7		173:22
	53:2	/	33:12			0:12,13		39:17		176 : 17
		1	34:17 87	7:6		2:3		58:11		human-
1	55:5,18,2 58:20	1	166:9			3:17,18		89:24 98:3		induced
			206:5,7		6	9:19		128:2		98:14
	60:18	0	highway's		7	3:15,18		151:13		90:14
	61:9,15,1	-	30:23		7	4:19		167:16		humans 99:2
	,25 78:11		36:12		7	5:23,25		202:5		184:14
	80:5 84:2		30:12		7	7:9	,	hopefully		186:5,8
	87:14		Highways		7	8:5,8,24		138:11		hundred
	88:4,15		113:19		8	0:2				33:14
	89:23		Historical	11.	8	1:1,2		168:2		124:13,16,
	98:20 99:	2	235:23		8	3:18		186:14		17 125:10,
	102:8		233.23		8	6:8,11	1	hoping 63:23		
	108:14		history		9	0:12,13		79:21		163:6
	109:19		29:13		9	1:15 92:7		116:19		165:5,7,10
	113:15,18	,	208:12		9	5:14		118:18		167:17
	22		Hodson 3:9	a	9	7:24,25		191:1		238:4
	114:5,24		18:8		1	05:9,14	Ι,			hundreds
	117:18,23		49:3,4			06:1		horizon		100:25
	120:14,19		51:8,9		1	08:3		38:12		178:7,13,2
	124:20			1	1	10:1,14]]	hospitality		3
	125:16,21		52:23,24 54:25 55			12:2		31:25		h
	138:11,22	,				14:15		39:19 44:4		hunter
	25 139:7		56:4,5,1	19,		16:12,17	,	nour 113:23		193:16
	140:20,22		20	1 0		20:1				206:1
	147:3		69:21,24	±,∠		22:12		169:1		hunters
1	162:23		5			37:21,25	1	hours 40:5		36:19
1	169:3		Hodson ' s			39:13,22,	,	households		186:14
1	172:23		59:22			4,25	'	193:14		189:7
1	173:5		hold 138:2	20		48:11,12,		193:14		216:15
	174:18		1010 100.2	20		4				221:11
1	176:13		holders			49:4,20	1	housekeeping		hunting
1	178:3,4,1	5	105:22			50:12,15		10:7		-
	180:24		175 : 15			52:6		243:20		99:7,8
	181:5,13,	1	hole 236:3	3		65:19,22	,	Housing 15:8		100:2
	5		237:7	-		71:3,4,8		-		184:15
	182:10,11	,	201.1			73:11	1	HTC 114:9		194:5
	13 183:3,		holes			74:8		205:18		Husky 8:11
	184:5		236:6,22			75:5	1	HTCs 98:24		38:2 113:5
			237:6,23	3		,				

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 275
of 310				
162:25	9:17 19:25	113:1	104:25	7:24 8:21
181:16	35:4,7	114:20,23	114:7,16	9:2 14:8
182:1	50:21	115:5,15	116:22,23	24:10,24
216:15,20,	73:25	116:22,24	123:2	25:1,5
21 221:12	93:25	201:10	125:4,14	26:8,14,20
222:1	100:15	213:20	140:1	28:5 32:17
	101:3		144:12	35:18
hydraulicall	102:7	I'll 6:15	147:23	37:10
y 198:22	114:24	7:15 17:16	148:1	55:17 73:3
hydrological	120:12,20	18:11	149:7,24,2	105:3
35:18	125:3	77:15	5 166:22	106:5,15
00110	151:23	116:23	167:19	107:17,22
	158:5	121:2	168:20	119:5,18
I	159:15	129:21	169:13,21	151:21
ice 32:7	164:25	146:24	170:11	152:4
34:8 81:11	167:2	162:11	171:17	162:10
82:21 83:4	201:25	169:9	172:21	169:22
124:13	203:2	174:5,7	172:21	178:2
135:21	215:9	177:25	174:4,5	189:17
136:1,3,15	216:19	178:1	176:2	202:5
,17 137:7	218:20	179:9	177:8	218:10
138:4	234:21	189:1		
139:8	234:21	191:9	179:11	impacted
140:20	identifies	193:7	183:23	178:14,24
143:16	50:9	197:3	185:21,24	impacting
167:7	179:14	198:9	187:22	104:21
234:5	identify	199:24	188:3,4,5,	
I'd 11:22	11:9 48:17	211:11	15 189:7 191:24	impacts
12:13 13:8	75:7 76:21	223:4	191:24	15:14
39:23 40:2	80:12	227:3		17:11 22:2
44:7,21	111:8	233:8	194:3	37:14
56:25	115:11	235:18	195:7,17 196:11,19	75 : 13
65:17	121:15	244:3	198:11,19	77:10
93:23	158:6	im 102:18		83:11
128:11	199:20		201:14,15 211:13	94:2,8
146:23	210:24	I'm 6:13,17	212:3	97:20
149:24	233:22,25	11:19	212:3	102:8
153:21		16:2,6,20	227:1,2	113:9
154:20	identifying	17:24	230:22	119:18
161:16	119:12,23	19:20	230:22	120:12,15
177:7	122:3	20:13	239:21	123:19
189:13	identity	21:15,17	239:21 241:19	152:8
193:8	24:15	22:10 24:8	241:19	172:7
220:17,24		29:1 39:14		188:24,25
226:17,24	IFA 8:25	59:18	immediate	192:11,15
231:14	26:8	62:11	87:16	201:24
232:15	27:14,24	66:2,15	138:5	217:10,14
242:13	187:9	75:20	184:4	220:11
	IGC 111:8,12	77:16,21	imminent	impeding
IDC 121:19	Ikhil 50:13	81:24 86:1	178:5	221:25
ideas 19:24	52:12	91:16		
identified		92:21	impact 1:1	<pre>imperative 38:6</pre>
	ILA 111:8	103:11,15	6:18,24,25	0:00

REVIEW - INUVI	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 276
of 310				
implement	inches	37:23	139:15	49:15
36:13	136:2,4	inconsistenc	141:22	individually
119:25	incident	ies 211:11	152:2	49:14
implementati	121:1	-	154:21	
on 108:22	168:4,10,2	incorporated	156:8	industrial
126:21,25	5	108:21	190:2	89:9
	-	109:15	232:22	140:25
implemented	incidentally	increase	238:1	171:20
108:16	120:17	99:19	239:12	172:2,14
190:3	incidents	124:16	241:15	174:15,17,
implementing	23:16	125:9,15,2	indicated	23 175:1,2
119:10	36:21	3 126:4	23:9 73:20	204:3
implications	168:2	187:3,6	78:9	industries
56:23	include 7:4	increased	80:7,9,15	31:25
	36:22 87:5	31:16	88:7 98:22	industry
128:8 149:23	184:15	38:11	103:22	15:7 27:8
149:25	198:12,17,	102:23	116:21,22,	35:10 44:5
importance	18,19,21,2	102:23	24 117:5	113:3
38:2 40:8	2,24	105:25	125:11	
101:22	203:19	112:23	139:4	inflated
112:25	228:18	114:10,11	140:13	53:21
important		122:21	141:11	influence
9:6 25:20	included	124:15,21	164:3	51:2 57:25
29:16	30:15	125:7,13	167:4	85:21
40:11 58:7	37:23 49:9	172:13	170:11	87:25
76:3 98:21	53:7	185:21	177:16	89:2,18,19
100:16	78:13,21,2	186:5	181:13	90:10,18,2
102:7	5 79:9,10	200:9,13	189:21	2,24
140:6	123:1	209:1	195:3	91:12,24,2
151:1	154:11	increases	199:19	5 92:13
153:8	includes	185:18	238:25	influxes
208:10	34:6 35:14	103:10	indicates	121:12
218:22	36:3,4	incredibly	174:2	
importantly	212:9	238:18	182:7,14	inform 17:4
8:8 234:24	220:6	incurred	indicating	76:8 90:21
	including	164:24	65 : 5	94:22
imported	18:25	indeed	240:12	informal
23:17	24:19	indeed 165 : 23		11:17
imposed	30:10	204:3	indication	information
171:20	34:8,13		48:2	8:16,17,19
173:2	35:17	indent	173:25	,23
177:17	50:15	207:17	200:15	9:6,9,11
improve	87:19	indicate	236:15	15:2 17:4
126:16	98:16	34:25	indirect	19:4,5,7
	112:7	82:12	184:13	25:6,10,17
improved	115:9	100:23	189:4,16	,22 26:3
102:18	117:3,8	105:23	192:11	30:8
INAC's	180:24	107:12	indirectly	37:1,4
222:12	222:10	109:14	159:6	45:16,20
Inc 14:16	228:10	132:23		49:6,25
		135:20	individual	57:17,18

62:5,18,23 $,25$ infrastructu re $3:12$ $231:9$ in in sightful $37:7$ $63:14,17$ $5:9$ $37:7$ $37:7$ $64:2$ $20:5,8,12,$ $1,23$ $213:11$ $17,18,24$ $17,18,24$ $1sspetion$ $1sspection$ $68:3$ $29:17,23$ $29:17,23$ $214:19$ $215:10,14$ $1sspection$ $1sspection$ $69:3,14$ $75:3$ 80:8 $90:16,17,2$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $31:18$ $41:18$ $214:24$ $213:11,22$ $215:6,12,1$ in $1sspections$ $90:16,17,2$ $93:3$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $41:18$ $214:24$ $215:6,12,1$ in $1sspections$ $91:16,17,2$ $93:3$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $44:10$ $123:11,16$ $95:8,15$ $96:23$ $89:6$ $97:1,10,21$ $113:16$ $13:16$ $104:8$ $instance$ $103:18$ $105:1$ $104:8$ $105:1$ $106:8$ $105:1$ $106:8$ $105:20$ $124:8$ $105:12106:8105:20124:8105:12,20124:8105:12,20124:8105:12,20124:8105:12,20124:8191:22203:7174:1108:12175:14175:14175:14175:24175:23163:12175:14175:14175:14,22175:14,22175:14,22175:14,22175:13,23179:12,21,22instances103:12175:14,22176:4113:13103:20237:21,22instances134:18105:13101:122237:21,22instill103:10$	tended 74:3 134:17 135:9 201:23 215:6 tent 133:17 142:22 164:17 tention 55:21 132:17 164:13 tentions 201:16	157:18 nterpretati on 236:8 242:3 nterpreted 134:5 nterpreters 12:10,15 17:17 ntersects 216:19 nterval 239:18 ntervenor 22:22 ntervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4 ntervention
62:5,18,23infrastructu $231:9$ in $,25$ re $3:12$ $37:7$ insightful $63:14,17$ $5:9$ $37:7$ $64:2$ $20:5,8,12,$ insp $213:11$ $,20,21,23$ $21:1$ inspection $68:3$ $29:17,23$ $214:19$ $69:3,14$ $30:18$ $32:5$ $215:10,14$ $87:7$ $34:2,5$ $16:8$ $90:16,17,2$ $38:14$ $213:11,22$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $44:10$ $215:6,12,1$ $1,23$ $71:11,16$ 6 $97:1,10,21$ $113:16$ instance $98:8$ $174:18$ $27:55$ $86:14$ $101:15,17,$ $219:19$ $87:11$ $97:5$ 24 $102:18$ $224:14,16$ $99:7$ $104:8$ $117:25$ $120:18$ $105:1$ initial $117:25$ $106:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $111:23$ $74:15$ $130:18$ $160:2,7$ $75:11$ $171:18$ $163:12,20$ $82:18$ $191:22$ $172:18$ $94:15$ $203:7$ $174:1$ $108:12$ $103:16$ $175:14$ $145:24$ $85:23$ $179:12$ $176:4$ $241:13$ $193:20$ $237:21,22$ $18:11$ $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$	tend 33:19 in tended 74:3 134:17 in 135:9 201:23 in 215:6 tent 133:17 in 142:22 164:17 in 55:21 132:17 in 164:13 tentions in 201:16 teract	hterpretati on 236:8 242:3 hterpreted 134:5 hterpreters 12:10,15 17:17 htersects 216:19 hterval 239:18 htervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
,25re 3:12insightfulin $63:14,17$ $5:9$ $37:7$ in $64:2$ $20:5,8,12$,insp 213:11in $66:3,11,17$ $17,18,24$ inspection $68:3$ $29:17,23$ $214:19$ $69:3,14$ $30:18$ $22:5$ $69:3,14$ $30:18$ $215:10,14$ $75:3$ $80:8$ $33:18$ $87:7$ $34:2,5$ $16:8$ $90:16,17,2$ $38:14$ $213:11,22$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $44:10$ $215:6,12,1$ $1,23$ $71:11,16$ 6 $97:1,10,21$ $113:16$ instance $98:8$ $174:18$ $75:5$ $96:8$ $174:19,19$ $104:8$ $224:14,16$ $105:1$ initial $105:1$ $113:16$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $199:7$ $106:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ $203:7$ $174:1$ $108:12$ $175:14$ $145:24$ $176:23$ $163:12$ $179:12$ $176:4$ $193:20$ $237:21,22$ $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $195:13$ initially $195:13$ initially $195:13$ $113:18$ $195:13$ $117:18$ $195:13$ $117:12$ $104:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $105:22$ $58:1$ $82:17$ $33:19$	tended 74:3 134:17 135:9 201:23 215:6 tent 133:17 142:22 164:17 tention 55:21 132:17 164:13 tentions 201:16	on 236:8 242:3 hterpreted 134:5 hterpreters 12:10,15 17:17 htersects 216:19 hterval 239:18 htervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
,25re $3:12$ insightful $37:7$ in $64:2$ $20:5,8,12,$ $17,18,24$ $insp 213:11$ inspection $66:3,11,17$ $17,18,24$ $inspection$ $66:3$ $29:17,23$ $214:19$ $69:3,14$ $30:18 32:5$ $215:10,14$ $75:3 80:8$ $33:18$ $inspections$ $87:7$ $34:2,5$ $16:8$ $90:16,17,2$ $38:14$ $213:11,22$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $44:10$ $215:6,12,1$ $1,23$ $71:11,16$ 6 $97:1,10,21$ $113:16$ $instance$ $98:8$ $174:18$ $75:5 86:14$ $101:15,17,$ $219:19$ $87:11 97:5$ $24 102:18$ $224:14,16$ $99:7$ $104:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $111:23$ $74:15$ $130:18$ $163:12,20$ $82:18$ $191:22$ $175:14$ $145:24$ $instances$ $175:12$ $176:4$ $241:13$ $193:20$ $237:21,22$ $Instances$ $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $195:13$ $intially$ $instill$ $195:13$ $intially$ $instill$	tended 74:3 134:17 135:9 201:23 215:6 tent 133:17 142:22 164:17 tention 55:21 132:17 164:13 tentions 201:16	on 236:8 242:3 hterpreted 134:5 hterpreters 12:10,15 17:17 htersects 216:19 hterval 239:18 htervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
63:14,17 $5:9$ $37:7$ $1n$ $64:2$ $20:5,8,12$, $17,18,24$ $insp 213:11$ $66:3,11,17$ $17,18,24$ $insp ction$ $68:3$ $29:17,23$ $214:19$ $69:3,14$ $30:18 32:5$ $215:10,14$ $75:3 80:8$ $33:18$ $inspections$ $87:7$ $34:2,5$ $16:8$ $90:16,17,2$ $38:14$ $213:11,22$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $44:10$ $215:6,12,1$ $1,23$ $71:11,16$ 6 $97:1,10,21$ $113:16$ $75:5 86:14$ $98:8$ $174:18$ $75:5 86:14$ $101:15,17,$ $219:19$ $87:11 97:5$ $24 102:18$ $224:14,16$ $99:7$ $104:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $101:23$ $74:15$ $130:18$ $16:2,7$ $75:11$ $171:18$ $16:2,7$ $75:11$ $171:18$ $16:2,20$ $82:18$ $191:22$ $172:18$ $94:15$ $203:7$ $174:1$ $108:12$ $241:13$ $179:12$ $176:4$ $85:23$ $179:12$ $176:4$ $85:23$ $179:12$ $237:21,22$ $1nstead$ $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $195:13$ $initially$ $instill$ $201:22$ $58:1 82:17$ $23:19$	74:3 134:17 135:9 201:23 215:6 tent 133:17 142:22 164:17 tention 55:21 132:17 164:13 tentions 201:16	242:3 hterpreted 134:5 hterpreters 12:10,15 17:17 htersects 216:19 hterval 239:18 htervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	134:17 in 135:9 in 201:23 in 215:6 in tent in 133:17 in 142:22 in 164:17 in 55:21 in 132:17 in 164:13 in tentions in 201:16 teract	terpreted 134:5 terpreters 12:10,15 17:17 tersects 216:19 terval 239:18 tervenor 22:22 tervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
666:3,11,17 $17,18,24$ $118p 213:11$ $20,21,23$ $21:1$ $1nspection$ $68:3$ $29:17,23$ $214:19$ $69:3,14$ $30:18 32:5$ $215:10,14$ $75:3 80:8$ $33:18$ $inspections$ $87:7$ $34:2,5$ $16:8$ $90:16,17,2$ $38:14$ $213:11,22$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $41:18$ $214:24$ $1,23$ $71:11,16$ 6 $97:1,10,21$ $113:16$ $nstance$ $98:8$ $174:18$ $75:5 86:14$ $101:15,17,$ $219:19$ $87:11 97:5$ $24 102:18$ $224:14,16$ $99:7$ $104:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $111:23$ $74:15$ $130:18$ $160:2,7$ $75:11$ $171:18$ $163:12,20$ $82:18$ $191:22$ $175:14$ $145:24$ $85:23$ $176:23$ $163:12$ $85:23$ $179:12$ $176:4$ $241:13$ $193:20$ $237:21,22$ $Instances$ $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $195:13$ $initially$ $instill$ $201:22$ $58:1 82:17$ $33:19$	135:9 201:23 215:6 tent 133:17 142:22 164:17 tention 55:21 132:17 164:13 tentions 132:17 164:13 tentions 201:16 teract	134:5 hterpreters 12:10,15 17:17 htersects 216:19 hterval 239:18 htervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	201:23 in 215:6 in tent in 133:17 in 142:22 in 164:17 in tention in 55:21 in 132:17 in 164:13 in tentions in 201:16 teract	hterpreters 12:10,15 17:17 htersects 216:19 hterval 239:18 htervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	215:6 11 tent 133:17 133:17 11 142:22 11 164:17 11 tention 11 55:21 11 132:17 11 164:13 11 tentions 11 201:16 teract	12:10,15 17:17 htersects 216:19 hterval 239:18 htervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
30:18 $32:5$ $215:10,14$ $75:3$ $80:8$ $33:18$ inspections $87:7$ $34:2,5$ $16:8$ $90:16,17,2$ $38:14$ $213:11,22$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $44:10$ $215:6,12,1$ $1,23$ $71:11,16$ 6 $97:1,10,21$ $113:16$ 6 $98:8$ $71:11,16$ 6 $98:8$ $71:11,16$ 6 $98:8$ $71:11,16$ 6 $101:15,17,$ $219:19$ $87:11,97:5$ $24,102:18$ $224:14,16$ $113:18$ $105:1$ initial $117:25$ $106:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $111:23$ $74:15$ $130:18$ $160:2,7$ $75:11$ $171:18$ $163:12,20$ $82:18$ $191:22$ $172:18$ $94:15$ $203:7$ $174:1$ $108:12$ $237:21,22$ $179:12$ $176:4$ $241:13$ $193:20$ $237:21,22$ $1nstead$ $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $195:13$ initiallyinstill $201:22$ $58:1,82:17$ $32:19$	tent 133:17 142:22 164:17 tention 55:21 132:17 164:13 tentions 201:16 teract	17:17 htersects 216:19 hterval 239:18 htervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
87:7 $33:18$ inspections $90:16,17,2$ $34:2,5$ $16:8$ $0.93:3$ $41:18$ $213:11,22$ $94:4,6,9,2$ $41:18$ $214:24$ $1,23$ $71:11,16$ 6 $97:1,10,21$ $86:23.89:6$ $instance$ $98:8$ $113:16$ $instance$ $98:8$ $174:18$ $75:5.86:14$ $101:15,17,$ $219:19$ $87:11.97:5$ $24.102:18$ $224:14,16$ $113:18$ $105:1$ $initial$ $117:25$ $106:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $111:23$ $74:15$ $130:18$ $160:2,7$ $75:11$ $171:18$ $163:12,20$ $82:18$ $191:22$ $175:14$ $145:24$ $85:23$ $176:23$ $163:12$ $241:13$ $193:20$ $237:21,22$ $Instead$ $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $195:13$ $initially$ $instill$ $201:22$ $58:1.82:17$ $33:19$	133:17 in 142:22 in 164:17 in tention in 55:21 in 132:17 in 164:13 in tentions in 201:16 in	tersects 216:19 terval 239:18 tervenor 22:22 tervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	142:22 1 164:17 1 tention 1 55:21 1 132:17 1 164:13 1 tentions 1 201:16 1	216:19 nterval 239:18 ntervenor 22:22 ntervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	142:22 164:17 tention 55:21 132:17 164:13 tentions 201:16 teract	216:19 nterval 239:18 ntervenor 22:22 ntervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	tention in 55:21 132:17 132:17 In 164:13 In tentions In 201:16 teract	terval 239:18 tervenor 22:22 tervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
1,23 44:10 215:6,12,1 in 95:8,15 86:23 89:6 instance 6 97:1,10,21 113:16 instance instance 98:8 174:18 75:5 86:14 in 101:15,17, 219:19 87:11 97:5 in 24 102:18 224:14,16 99:7 in 104:8 113:18 in in 105:1 initial 117:25 in 106:8 34:25 120:18 in 109:20 35:20 124:8 in 111:23 74:15 130:18 in 160:2,7 75:11 171:18 in 163:12,20 82:18 191:22 203:7 174:1 108:12 instances in 175:14 145:24 85:23 in 179:12 176:4 241:13 in 193:20 237:21,22 Instead in 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 instill 195:13 initially instill instill 201:22	tention 55:21 132:17 164:13 tentions 201:16 teract	239:18 ntervenor 22:22 ntervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
1,23 $71:11,16$ 6 $95:8,15$ $86:23 89:6$ $113:16$ $1nstance$ $98:8$ $174:18$ $75:5 86:14$ $101:15,17,$ $219:19$ $87:11 97:5$ $24 102:18$ $224:14,16$ $113:18$ $105:1$ $initial$ $117:25$ $104:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ $111:23$ $74:15$ $130:18$ $160:2,7$ $75:11$ $171:18$ $163:12,20$ $82:18$ $191:22$ $172:18$ $94:15$ $203:7$ $174:1$ $108:12$ $instances$ $175:14$ $145:24$ $85:23$ $179:12$ $176:4$ $241:13$ $193:20$ $237:21,22$ $Instead$ $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $195:13$ $initially$ $instill$ $201:22$ $58:1 82:17$ $33:19$	132:17 In 164:13 In tentions In 201:16 teract	tervenor 22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	tentions 164:13 tentions 201:16 teract	22:22 htervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
98:8 $113:16$ $75:5 86:14$ $101:15,17,$ $219:19$ $87:11 97:5$ in $24 102:18$ $224:14,16$ $99:7$ $104:8$ $113:18$ in $104:8$ $105:1$ initial $117:25$ $120:18$ in $106:8$ $34:25$ $120:18$ in $109:20$ $35:20$ $124:8$ in $111:23$ $74:15$ $130:18$ in $160:2,7$ $75:11$ $171:18$ in $163:12,20$ $82:18$ $191:22$ $203:7$ $174:1$ $108:12$ instances $175:14$ $145:24$ $85:23$ $179:12$ $176:4$ $241:13$ $193:20$ $237:21,22$ Instead $194:18,20$ $238:3$ $34:18$ $195:13$ initiallyinstill $201:22$ $58:1 82:17$ $33:19$	tentions In 201:16 teract	tervenors 8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
101:15,17, 219:19 87:11 97:5 in 24 102:18 224:14,16 99:7 113:18 in 104:8 111:18 117:25 in 106:8 34:25 120:18 in 109:20 35:20 124:8 in 111:23 74:15 130:18 in 163:12,20 82:18 191:22 172:18 94:15 203:7 174:1 108:12 instances 177:14 145:24 85:23 179:12 176:4 241:13 in in 193:20 237:21,22 Instead in 195:13 initially instill 238:3 34:18 195:13 58:1 82:17 33:19 33:19	201:16 teract	8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	201:16 teract	8:7 11:14 13:9 59:4
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	teract	13:9 59:4
105:1 initial 117:25 initial 106:8 34:25 120:18 initial 109:20 35:20 124:8 initial 111:23 74:15 130:18 initial 160:2,7 75:11 171:18 initial 163:12,20 82:18 191:22 172:18 172:18 94:15 203:7 174:1 175:14 145:24 instances 175:23 176:23 163:12 241:13 113 193:20 237:21,22 Instead initially 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 195:13 initially instill 23:19		ntervention
106:8 34.23 120:18 109:20 35:20 124:8 111:23 74:15 130:18 160:2,7 75:11 171:18 163:12,20 82:18 191:22 172:18 94:15 203:7 174:1 108:12 instances 175:14 145:24 85:23 176:23 163:12 241:13 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19		itervention
109:20 35:20 124:8 in 111:23 74:15 130:18 130:18 160:2,7 75:11 171:18 130:18 163:12,20 82:18 191:22 171:18 172:18 94:15 203:7 174:1 175:14 145:24 instances 175:23 176:23 163:12 241:13 113 193:20 237:21,22 Instead in 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 195:13 initially instill 23:19	52:18 61:7 in	195:14
160:2,7 75:11 130:16 160:2,7 75:11 171:18 163:12,20 82:18 191:22 172:18 94:15 203:7 174:1 108:12 instances 176:23 163:12 85:23 179:12 176:4 241:13 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	terest	195:14
163:12,20 82:18 191:22 172:18 94:15 203:7 174:1 108:12 instances 175:14 145:24 85:23 179:12 176:4 241:13 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	5 0 • ± /	ntroduce
172:18 94:15 203:7 174:1 108:12 instances 175:14 145:24 instances 176:23 163:12 241:13 179:12 176:4 241:13 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	41:21,22	11:22
174:1 108:12 instances 175:14 145:24 instances 176:23 163:12 241:13 179:12 176:4 241:13 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 23:19	44:19	13:23 14:9
175:14 145:24 instances 176:23 163:12 85:23 179:12 176:4 241:13 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	50:15 74:4	20:14
175:14 145:24 85:23 176:23 163:12 241:13 179:12 176:4 241:13 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19		ntroduction
176:23 163:12 241:13 179:12 176:4 241:13 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	232:4	s 37:16
179:12 170:4 in 193:20 237:21,22 Instead 194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	243:7	ntroductory
194:18,20 238:3 34:18 195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	terested	16:15
195:13 initially instill 201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	79:17	28:11
201:22 58:1 82:17 33:19	114:7	
381 821/ 33.10	120.5	nuvial
205.19	147:17	115:8
216.17 institutions		nuvialuit
217.12 126.15	199:9	6:19
219.1	208:22	7:14,23
220.7.10	221:17	20:21 21:4
222:5,8,12 146:3 162:19	232:8	24:6,7,12,
,22 223:7	teresting	13,15,19,2
	44:17	1 26:7,22
		27:16,23,2
234:11,22, 101:20 integrated in 25,236:2,5 107:24 00.10 10	235:7	4 29:21 36:9 40:24
25 236:2,5 107:24 22:18 108:20 22:18	235:7 terests	36:9 40:24 98:23
informed 32.24		90:23 101:15
63:11 integrity	terests 21:21	
100:7 inputs 32:10.11	terests 21:21 terpret	104.9
108:24 33:19	terests 21:21 terpret 12:15	104:9 113:2
110:21 38:15,17	terests 21:21 terpret	104:9 113:2 114:21,25

1 1 5 2 2 2 2 2	310 .17:1 .48:17 .62:17 .63:3,22,2 5 165:12 206:12,15 207:2,14,2 2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9 214:16	30:13 113:15 117:17 169:3 171:25 178:15 181:12 183:2,7 204:2 investigate	165:3,24 166:15 IRC 41:15 44:12 121:19 IRs 85:15 111:10 121:17	124:10,21, 23 125:25 196:8 203:3,6,8, 18 205:23 206:6,7 212:6,18	123:20 124:19,22 142:7 145:11 158:12 160:10 161:7,12
1 1 5 2 2 2 2 2	48:17 62:17 63:3,22,2 5 165:12 206:12,15 207:2,14,2 2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9	113:15 117:17 169:3 171:25 178:15 181:12 183:2,7 204:2	166:15 IRC 41:15 44:12 121:19 IRS 85:15 111:10	23 125:25 196:8 203:3,6,8, 18 205:23 206:6,7 212:6,18	124:19,22 142:7 145:11 158:12 160:10
1 1 5 2 2 2 2 2 2	.62:17 .63:3,22,2 5 165:12 206:12,15 207:2,14,2 2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9	117:17 169:3 171:25 178:15 181:12 183:2,7 204:2	<pre>IRC 41:15 44:12 121:19 IRs 85:15 111:10</pre>	23 125:25 196:8 203:3,6,8, 18 205:23 206:6,7 212:6,18	142:7 145:11 158:12 160:10
1 1 5 2 2 2 2 2 2	.62:17 .63:3,22,2 5 165:12 206:12,15 207:2,14,2 2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9	169:3 171:25 178:15 181:12 183:2,7 204:2	<pre>IRC 41:15 44:12 121:19 IRs 85:15 111:10</pre>	196:8 203:3,6,8, 18 205:23 206:6,7 212:6,18	142:7 145:11 158:12 160:10
1 5 2 2 2 2	63:3,22,2 5 165:12 206:12,15 207:2,14,2 2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9	169:3 171:25 178:15 181:12 183:2,7 204:2	44:12 121:19 IRs 85:15 111:10	18 205:23 206:6,7 212:6,18	145:11 158:12 160:10
5 2 2 2 2 2	5 165:12 206:12,15 207:2,14,2 2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9	171:25 178:15 181:12 183:2,7 204:2	121:19 IRs 85:15 111:10	18 205:23 206:6,7 212:6,18	158:12 160:10
2 2 2 2	206:12,15 207:2,14,2 2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9	178:15 181:12 183:2,7 204:2	IRs 85:15 111:10	206:6,7 212:6,18	160:10
2 2 2	207:2,14,2 2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9	181:12 183:2,7 204:2	111:10	212:6,18	
2 2	2 208:9,12 212:21 213:1,5,9	183:2,7 204:2			
2	212:21 213:1,5,9	204:2	121.17	213:2	166:12
	213:1,5,9		±2±•±/	215:9,17	174:12,14,
		investigate	· · · · 105 11	216:7	16,19,22
		-	isem 125:11	222:15	177:4,16
		158:10	isn't 114:12	229:12	178:21
	ıvik	161:17	119:10,24		183:4
	:5,20	investigated	149:2	issuing	184:8
	:12 8:1,3	154:8	TCD 104.00	225:25	185:16
	9:5 13:25	156:3	ISR 184:20	228:6	186:15
	4:24	162:16	187:15	item 45:4	187:2,22
	.6 : 4,25		193:15	125:11	188:8
	7:25	investigatio	214:2	185:7	192:3,7
	20:19	n	iss 221:7	237:20	193:11
	24:10	154:10,16,	issuance		196:18,20
	29:5,6,10,	17	223:11	itemize	197:2,17
	20	155:18,19	223:11	110:3	198:20
3	81:7,10,15	158:3	issue 19:2	items 10:7	206:4
3	32:15	159:17,25	57:21		207:20
	35:25	investigatio	62:4,15	ITI 121:18	208:4,5,10
3	89:15 40:1	ns 35:2,3	66 : 16 70 : 9	it'll 12:17	,11,14
	1:12		74:10	98:3	210:7
	3:1,17,20	investing	98:21	198:19,21	216:16
4	7:4,13,21	37:3	120:20	it's	217:13,18
5	52:18 87:8	Investment	123:10		217:13,18
9	95:13	15:7	127:6	21:23,25 26:12	219:7
	96 : 18	• • • • • • • • • •	162:20	27:22	222:4
9	9:5,9	investments	166:6		223:17
	.01:18	20:17	168:3,6	28:15 35:13	226:1,6
	.05:20	invite 11:10	188:21	39:20	230:6,7,22
	.07:23	72:5 205:5	194:25	40:11,20	236:7
	.09:6	involved	204:1,8	40:11,20	237:2
	.12:13	40:1 112:1	221:3,7,24	44:9,15	238:2,18
	.14:9	121:20	227:15	45:17	240:16
	18:8	122:3,14	228:4	48:12 51:5	241:23
	.22:3	140:24	issued 163:8	52:13 58:9	242:5,9,25
	.81:5,17	153:14	213:18	59:2 62:13	243:18
	.82:16,19	216:3	214:18	67:24	245:2
	205:18,25	220:2	215:3,8	68:1,5,21	
	206:1,6			82:21	I've 19:22
	208:24	involves	issues 9:19	98:16	42:14
	211:6	169:17	18:23 30:3	99:11,12,1	43:22
2	216:20,21	196:6	36:11	3 104:16	68:15 82:3
Inu	wik/Tukta	IR 45:20	112:7	116:18,21	83:3
1	7:11	85:16	113:21	117:1	160:11
	wik-Tuk	163:8,16	120:24	120:20	180:4
Inu	IVIK-TUK	164:22	122:17	120.20	196:20

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 279
of 310				
206:22	116:6	192:2,3,7	Kavic-	80:3
225:16	120:8,10	193:6	Stantec	81:3,24
233:13	121:7	194:10,11,	160:11	83:19 86:9
240:8	124:1,4	24		90:14 92:8
	125:2,17	196:5,6,17	Kavik 131:24	98:1 108:4
	126:12	197:1,16,1	142:21	112:3
J	127:2	7	Kavik-	114:16
Jagpal 2:20	129:3,5,14	199:2,3,15	Stantec	116:13
14:24	,21 144:3	200:6,19	14:16	122:13
James 3:9	155:3	201:4,11	53:19,23	134:16
18:8	158:20	202:22	54:16 74:5	137:22
49:3,4	161:1,23	202:22	75:6	142:6
51:8	164:9,16	243:17,18,	76:2,6	148:12
52:23,24	165:13	243.17,10,	94:21	150:12
53:14	166:5		102:6	152:6
53:14 54:25 55:1	168:1,23	John's	102:6	152:6
54:25 55:1 56:4,19	196:3,15	165:23	105:19	165:20
69:24	200:2,17,2	joining		171:4
09:24	5 202:10	12:11	129:25	
Jan 3:19			131:8	175:5
16:7 211:5	220:21	joint 3:6	133:12	177:14
233:8,11	223:21	4:3 19:14	135:15	178:11
234:15	245:3,6	36:18	143:9	180:20
January	job 7:1	Joynt 2:23	145:6	185:4
_	12:18	16:24	149:6	189:21
163:8	38:11	48:24	155:11	191:1
Jean 70:16	126:16		156:19	192:22
Jean-	206:15,17	judgment	157:25	202:17
Francois		87:24 90:6	159:6	Kiggiak-EGA
	jobs 30:25	97:7	175:11	14:11
3:22 21:17	John 2:3	192:23	186:19	 1 10
70:16	12:5	238:9,15	194:1,18	Kikoak 1:12
Jim 2:10	59:1,2	judicial	198:5	11:24
13:19,21	60:13	11:18	221:1,22	244:12
28:12,15	62:2,3		Kavin 160:11	kilometre
39:6 40:3	161:12,13	July 102:12		30:21 31:9
45:2,3,8,1	162:3	183:3	key 34:6	77:2 85:25
2 46:8	164:12,13,	202:19	103:8	86:4
47:23	21	June 182:15	158:9	88:14,16
48:1,7	166:10,11		Kiggiak	129:11,13
49:18,19	168:16	jurisdiction	55 : 14	141:24
55:11	169:8	228:16	75:25	145:25
57:6,7	173:10,11	Justice 4:10	139:25	
60:7 69:12	174:8,21,2	15:6 66:15		kilometres
73:4,8,14	2 176:22	10.0 00.10	Kiggiak-EBA	33:12,13,2
78:3,4	177:19,25		49:24	1 38:16,18
81:16	179:5	K	51:24	52:15
84:25	180:20	Karin 4:10	53:14	85:15,19,2
93:8,9	183:18,19,	66 : 14,15	56:11	1,22,23,24
94:13,14	20	67:12	57:13	88:20 89:3
95:17 96:1	187:21,22	Kate 3:3	60 : 13	90:19
113:14	190:14,15		63 : 19	120:14
114:7	191:1,5,9	Kav 178:10	73:19	132:4
±±±•′	±J±•±,J,J		74:20 78:9	145:25

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 280
of 310				
146:12,15,	80:21	121:13	54:11,12	180:1
21 169:1	83:8,19	122:1	160:13	184:2
kinds	84:19 85:9	133:25	largest 87:2	216:18
74:6,25	90:3	148:8,19	172:10	leave 15:16
75:14	91:9,17,18	166:12		68:22 79:1
86:15,21,2	93:1,17	206:10,17	Larry 3:24	169:9
3 87:6	227:4,6	207:18,24	24:2,4 71:3	238:15
92:12	228:2	208:6	/1:5	leaves 27:16
101:8	229:15 230:13	209:13 212:10,11,	last 25:15	
102:19	230:15	17,20,21,2	35:2 78:20	<pre>leaving 96:5</pre>
108:13,15,		3,25	103:14	led 36:17
24 151:4	Kuptana	213:9,12	110:18	38:1
164:6	12:11	213:3,12	148:10	leeway
167:8,10		16,20	149:2	240:10,24
172:7	L	218:9,12	154:9 159:10	
174:15	labour	229:2,9	195:10	legal 8:25
190:6 202:23	126:17	230:10	197:19	9:19 12:5
202:23	lack 25:21	landmark	211:10	46:6
203:17		21:19,23	222:4	legislation
229:5	ladies 17:23	22:1,3	230:14	18:14
	22:9 28:24			37:20
Kirkland	laid 48:12	lands 113:2	late 96:5	106:7
3:15	130:25	114:20,21,	137:6	legislative
22:8,10	lake 50:17	25 115:8	later	113:17
knew 41:21	52:20	116:25 117:2	12:11,21	
knowledge	113:5	207:5,7,9	13:3 14:5	legislatures 219:11
19:5 35:23	137:7	212:17	17:1 59:25	
94:5	141:1	212:17	161:2	length 83:4
95:7,12	151:25		launch 59:22	134:2
102:5	152:25	lane	launching	138:5
105:19	153:3	86:19,20	115:3	146:2,19
106:12,20	lakes 8:11	language		165:3
107:11	38:2 101:1	188:16	lay 82:25	less 31:21
109:5	134:1,2	235:8	layer 136:15	65:6 160:4
175:13,15,	150:7,22	large	lead 21:5	236:5
19 176:1	151:23	33:5,15	30:17,20	238:12
188:1	152 : 10	38:6 86:22	112:4	let's 65:4
known 14:23	153:7,11,1	89:9,12	166:9	100:19
132:25	3 162 : 25	121:12	leadership	170:17
150:7	181 : 17	141:2	41:14	172:2
203:23	182:2	159:8,9	41:14	208:14
232:24	199:20	172:13		letter 96:17
Komers 2:5	216:15,21	176:9	lead-in	
12:6	221:13	188:13	118:19	lev 168:21
72:22,23	222:1	<pre>largely 90:6</pre>	leading	level 115:7
74:13	land	125:24	111:24	139:8
75:16	27:15,18	236:7	140:7	155:18
77:7,14	28:3 31:4	242:2	leases 214:1	168:21
78:19	38:3 113:8	larger	least 155:23	205:25
79:24	115:1,8		IEASE 100:23	230:6

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 281
of 310				
236:15	152 : 9	little 6:16	154 : 15	low 87:15,21
levels 31:13	233:25	7:16 12:11	157:8	192:9
172:13	limits	28:11	234:21	194:14
licence	219:14	77:15 96:5	lodge 89:15	lower 169:2
118:2	line 151:9	100:9	long 8:4	lows 177:11
147:1	161:8	101:1 167:6	35:13	190:16
151:12	172:22	179:25	89:24	
213:18,23	179:13	197:9	107:17	lunch
230:10	185 : 11	212:4,5,15	132:4	10:1,2,3
licences	198:15	live 166:20	142:7	47:12,15 70:9 95:23
212:13	207:24		169:18	96:4 98:2
	linear 77:24	living 31:18	178:21	50.1 50.2
license	184:16	38:11	179:4	
148:15	186:6	Liz 1:10	186:6	M
licenses	187:4	6:17 96:14	225:18 230:20	Mackenzie
147:11	188:24	244:18		50:16 52:16
215:3,8	192:11	load	longer 32:7	119:6
229:7	lines 87:6	137:3,16	87:14	138:19
life 31:5	linkage	loads 136:13	95:21	148:8,19
173:4	171:21	137:3,7,10	128:16 188:16	152:18
183:12	185:13			178:17
185:20		local 20:22	longest	181:16
lifted 99:22	linking 29:18	30:24	146:18	189:8
190:9	31:10	31:13 86:19	longish	242:20
light 26:16		87:16	210:8	Madam 13:19
94:9 97:21	list 35:13	126:15,17	long-lasting	16:18
106:19	184:17	127:2	38:4	17:23
167:20,24	listed 64:12	216:8,13,2	long-term	19:18 20:9
light-duty	79:12	4	35:9	22:8 24:3
146:5	228:8	221:4,10,1	170:21	28:15,23
likely 54:12	listen	2,20	173:22	39:6,15 44:7 45:3
83:5 115:1	8:5,8,13	localized	230:5	46:8
158:6	110:17	87 : 15	loss 169:17	48:1,7
176:9	listened	107:14		49:19,23
218:8	173:24	locals	lost 107:2	51:23
219:16	listing	221:20	163:13,14	52:24
Lillian 6:5	200:3	located 10:8	lot 40:21	53:13
Lilliane	literature	181:24	42:13,20	55:11,13 56:10
12:10	86:13	183:7	43:25 76:2 89:17	56:10 57:7,12,20
	87:4,10	locating	103:14	59:1
limit 147:18	88:22,24,2	34:24	169:4	60:7,12
148:4,5	5 186:23		242:18	62:2,21
limitations	litre 167:15	location	lots 13:12	63:18 65:9
168:7		52:17	137:9	69 : 12
limited	litres 163:1	204:8	180:6	70:24
14:11	litter	locations	233:24	73:8,14,18
61:20	124:6,21	114:25		74:19
147:8		115:9	louder 6:16	75:23

REVIEW -	INUVIK	ΤΟ Τυκ	PUBLIC	HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 2	282
of 310							
78:4,8	,24	159:1,13	,1	149:12	123:10	manage	rs
80:2		4		192:13	190:13	141:	
81:2,1	6,23	160:10,2	0	240:1	206:5,8		
83:18		161:1,6,	12	in 81:8	220:12	managi	-
84:25	86:8	,23 162:	2	139:7	management	33:5	•
90:13		164:9,12	,1		3:4,6,24	116:	99:23
91:15	92:7	6,21	ma	inland	4:4 16:6,8		
93:9,1	7	165:13,1	9	182:3	18:25		
94:14		166:11	ma	intain	19:15	mandat	
95:17	96:2	168:1,23		33:10 38:4	21:25	16:1	
97:24		169:9		133:17	23:23 24:5	18:1	-
105:10	,14	171:3		206:17	33:8,9	19:6	22 : 22
106:23		173:10			34:2	23:1	
108:3		175:10		intained	36:7,18	98:1	
110:1,1	14	176:23		146:13,17	38:3 71:2	115:	
112:2		180:19		intaining	93:10 97:5		21,25
114:15		183:19		33:20	98:23	118:	
116:6,1		185:1	ma	intenance	99:13	212:	
120:1,8		187:21		36:22	100:22	213:	14,24
122:12		189:20		136:17,23,	103:16,20	mandat	es
124:1		190:14,2	5	25 217:16	111:9,21	212:	2
125:17		193:6		218:7,15	112:6	manner	22.18
127:2	1 /	194:4,10	, ∠		119:9,15	26:1	
128:1,1		4 196:3, 197:13		jor 81:25	120:19	32:1	
,21,24	9,15	197:13		86:20	124:7		4 67:3
131:7,24	2.0	198:4		140:24	169 : 15	137:	
132:2,		200:2,17	2 ma	jority	173:2		
14,22	5, 5,	5		87 : 20	187:11,14	map 77	
133:1,22	11.2	202:10,1	6	185:14	189:22	85:1	
1 134:8		202:10,1		n 213:24	190:11	179:	25
135:5,2		210:19			195:20	map-ba	sed
9,23	/ _	220:21		nage 15:13	196:1,10,1	95:8	
136:11	,20	221:23		26:5 32:21	2 200:7	mappin	α
137:21		223:21		36:10,20	201:5		5 77:3
139:13	,22	224:4,7		37:13	202:4		
141:20		225:1,14		38:13 99:1 119:8	207:12,13,		
142:5,2	15,1	227:7		120:14	19 208:4,5 212:18	181:	3,21
9		231:4,14		121:23	212:18	margin	
143:3,8	8,13	232:1,21		123:18	213:12 214:10,15	233:	20
144:3,2	10	233:11		203:3	214:10,13	margin	s
145:5		234:15		207:7,17,2	219:7,9,12	-	
147:16		235:4,6,	21	3 208:5	,15	234:	
148:3,2	11	236:19		219:9	225:9,15		
149:5		237:2,18		227:2	228:11,13,	marine	18:2
150:5,2		239:9,20	,2		14 229:8	mark 1	68:15
151:18		3 241:5,	23	naged		Marsha	4.7
153:19		242:9		17:12	manager	,	
155:3,2		243:5,13	/ -	27:21	16:2,20,24	massiv	e 88:4
2 156:	1,25	7 245:7		38:16 98:21	18:1	materi	al
157:14	~ ~	magnitude		98:21 102:24	21:16,19		34:18
158:16	,20	87 : 15		102:24	22:10	35:9	
				113:10			

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 283
of 310				
45:14,17,2	130:10	40:1,14	157:1	112:19
1,24 46:5	131:2,20,2	45:2	198:10	114:6,18
55:16,24,2	3	46:16,24	206:12	115:18
5 86:19	132:2,9,24	118:8		116:19
130:6	,25 135:12	125:4	meant 235:13	118:15
154:11,18	137:25		measurable	121:6
157:22	149:23	Mayor's	61:21	123:25
158:7	152:15	42:15		125:1
159:16	156:14	McAr 159:2	measure 90:9	126:11
218:14	161:8	M A A A	91:11,23	127:20
240:19,22	171:21	McArthur	120:13	
	172:6	2:19 14:19	measured	melts 82:12
materials	176:2,4,18	155:4,10,1	209:7,11,1	member
9:7	179:18	1,17	2	1:11,12,13
34:21,24	180:6	156:1,18	measures 7:4	
46:2 154:7	182:8,17	157:6,24		4:18,20
193:8	184:3	158:2	36:3 74:2	14:25
197:18	189:17	159:1,5,6	75:14,18,1	41:15
242:20	190:17	160:10,11	9 108:15	155:15
matter 100:8	191:3	McGregor	119:11,15,	
109:16	200:13	2:13 14:13	24 121:23	members 8:6
162:14	200:13	55:12,13,1	122:4	11:11,23
193:13	207:21,25	4	126:14,21,	13:20 14:9
206:19	209:9	56:9,10,11	25 187:11	16:19
243:20	212:6	157:11	190:3,6,7	17:23
	212:0	Meadowbank	203:2,5,8,	19:19
matters	2213:11	174:25	13,24 209:14	20:10 22:9
18:18	222:8	1/4:23	209:14	24:3 28:24
102:3	227:8	mean 43:13	measuring	37:8
161:16	231:4	63 : 2	92:2	39:2,16
163:11		106:13	meat 193:17	55:14
maximized	maybe 51:9	115:19		65:18
127:4	69:6 82:15	149:23	mechanisms	94:16
maximum	109:12	155:23	219:17	152:14
146:16	124:4	179:24	meet 43:24	153:1
147:9	136:4,8	189:7	64:14	206:1,24
232:8,25	153:2	198:11	113:4	210:19
	168:14	206:21		244:4
may 15:12,16	174:2	226:1	meeting	memo 85:1
16:12	186:7	236:14	126:23	memorandum
27:12	189:1,13	240:18,23	meetings	7:8,19
36:11	191:9	meaning	164:18	-
37:14	210:25	226:18	Meg 12:6	mentioned
50:15 54:5	211:8,22		-	37:15
61:11	217:21	meaningful	Meghan 2:6	75:19
62:17 79:7	223:4	27:25	12:7	83:10 89:8
94:16	227:3	means 7:12	93:20,21	92:8
95:22	mayor 4:12	32:7,10	97:17 , 19	128:18
99:19,25	5:14 13:25	37:18	104:14,15	139:14
109:14	14:1,4	49:12	106:10,11	180:5
113:2	28:17 29:9	59:12	107:21	197:3
115:12	39:7,9,12,	63:21	110:10	211:7
123:19,21				227:9,11

<pre>microphone 11:4 67:22 mics 205:10 middle 87:3 Midnight 1:19 migrate 182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15 224:15</pre>	75:15 minimized 108:17 minimizes 32:16 34:12 82:22 mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25 minor 210:21	36:3 74:2 75:14,18,1 9 76:8,23 77:9 108:15 119:11,19, 23 120:22 122:4 197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	<pre>momentarily 50:7 monitor 121:16,23 229:20 monitored 113:10 115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10</pre>
11:4 67:22 mics 205:10 middle 87:3 Midnight 1:19 migrate 182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	<pre>minimized 108:17 minimizes 32:16 34:12 82:22 mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25</pre>	75:14,18,1 9 76:8,23 77:9 108:15 119:11,19, 23 120:22 122:4 197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	50:7 monitor 121:16,23 229:20 monitored 113:10 115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
<pre>mics 205:10 middle 87:3 Midnight 1:19 migrate 182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15</pre>	108:17 minimizes 32:16 34:12 82:22 mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	<pre>9 76:8,23 77:9 108:15 119:11,19, 23 120:22 122:4 197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13</pre>	<pre>monitor 121:16,23 229:20 monitored 113:10 115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10</pre>
<pre>middle 87:3 Midnight 1:19 migrate 182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15</pre>	108:17 minimizes 32:16 34:12 82:22 mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	77:9 108:15 119:11,19, 23 120:22 122:4 197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	121:16,23 229:20 monitored 113:10 115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
<pre>middle 87:3 Midnight 1:19 migrate 182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15</pre>	<pre>minimizes 32:16 34:12 82:22 mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25</pre>	108:15 119:11,19, 23 120:22 122:4 197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	121:16,23 229:20 monitored 113:10 115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
Midnight 1:19 migrate 182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	32:16 34:12 82:22 mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	119:11,19, 23 120:22 122:4 197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	229:20 monitored 113:10 115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
1:19 migrate 182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	34:12 82:22 mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	23 120:22 122:4 197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	<pre>monitored 113:10 115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10</pre>
<pre>migrate 182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15</pre>	82:22 mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	122:4 197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	113:10 115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	<pre>mining 86:22 Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25</pre>	197:24 201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	115:5 monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
182:11 183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	Minister 4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	201:23 203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	<pre>monitoring 17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10</pre>
183:6 migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	203:2,5,7, 13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	17:8 36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
<pre>migration 34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15</pre>	4:14 5:13 7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	13,24 223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	36:14,16 76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
34:20 179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	7:8 14:2 18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	223:7 mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	76:9,23 77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
179:17 182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	18:15 28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	<pre>mitigations 198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13</pre>	77:9 91:1 92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
182:6 migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	28:22,23 29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	198:2 213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	92:12,18, 0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
<pre>migratory 18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15</pre>	29:1 40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	213:2 mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	0,23 111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	40:2,3,7 42:7 Ministries 19:25	mitigative 7:4 MJ 111:13	111:6,15, 1,25 115:11,20 124:10
18:7,25 Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	42:7 Ministries 19:25	7:4 MJ 111:13	1,25 115:11,20 124:10
Miles 3:12 20:9,11 71:15	Ministries 19:25	7:4 MJ 111:13	115:11,20 124:10
20:9,11 71:15	19:25	MJ 111:13	124:10
71:15			
	minor 210:21		
224:15		mobile 10:13	126:4
	165 6		200:20,21
million	minus 165:6	mobility	201:24
20:19 30:1	minute 44:15	38:11	223:8
34:25	46:15	mobilize	225:21
42:7,11	65 : 16	146:4	229:17,23
159:15,16,	144:17,19	modol	24
20 160:3,4	minutes		230:4,5,8
mind 10.12		119:9,10	20
		modelling	monitors
		126:2	213:10,22
		modes 23:13	
			month 142:1
	196:20		143:16
	missed 191:1	100:4	months 78:
	migundoratoo	moment 11:22	117:11
		60:8 73:8	180:4
220:17		105:9	182:20
minds 162:14	mitigate	116:7	220:8
mine 86.23	15:13 32:4	120:2	morning 6:
	37:13	129:14	12:9 13:2
	203:5,18	144:3,11,1	14:5
	223 : 5	4 158:21	16:1,18
	mitigated	165:13	17:22
	=	166:18	19:18
		169:10	20:9,14
89:12		202:11	22:8 24:2
176:25		211:7	28:25 29
minimal	121:20	217:13	39:14
	mitigation	218:18	67:24 69
	17:8 34:7	226:23	96:13
	159:15,16, 20 160:3,4 mind 10:12 11:20 99:10 155:16 178:25 191:6 mindful 12:15 220:17 minds 162:14 mine 86:23 89:5 118:19 137:4 174:24,25 mines 82:2 89:12 176:25	159:15,16, 144:17,19 20 160:3,4 minutes mind 10:12 13:11 11:20 65:19 99:10 95:18 155:16 misdirected 178:25 196:20 191:6 missed 191:1 mindful 12:15 12:15 misunderstoo 220:17 d 209:9 minds 162:14 mitigate 137:4 15:13 32:4 174:24,25 mitigated 137:4 220:12 176:25 111:16 121:20 111:16 121:20 17:8 34:7	159:15,16, 20 160:3,4144:17,19 minutesmodel 119:9,10mind 10:1213:11 11:20 99:10minutes 126:2119:9,1099:1095:18modelling 126:2155:16misdirected 196:20modified 100:4mindful 12:15misunderstoo d 209:9model 11:22 100:4minds 162:14mitigate 203:5,18116:7 105:9mine 86:23 89:537:13 203:5,18144:3,11,1 129:1418:19 137:4 174:24,25mitigated 20:12165:13 166:18 166:18 166:18mines 82:2 89:12mitigating 121:20202:11 217:13 21:20minimal 61:16mitigation 17:8 34:7226:23

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 285
of 310				
118:8	172:5	146:21	182:22	142:18
125:4	Municipal	166:12	nine 182:21	northern
127:7	15:8	240:17		3:3,18
128:15		necessary	ninety 33:13	15:22 16:3
133:22	mutual 38:5	26:3 76:23	Ninety-nine	18:3 22:12
134:16	myself 41:15	100:5	182:21	24:16 26:1
154:23,24	44:12	115:6	nobody 20:13	27:25
161:20	106:13	121:2	nobody 20:15	29:23 41:4
196:12	150:2	126:20,24	nobody ' s	48:14
197:4	152:16	147:11	57 : 17	82:4,7
204:19,22	208:25	215:16	noncomplianc	101:2
210:7		necessity	e 215:9,17	146:14
243:22 245:7	N	136:12		166:19
	Nasogaluak		none 61:19	171:17
mostly 61:20	2:7 11:25	negative	70:10 100:20	179:3
Motor 113:19		79:2	150:20,21	181:9
	Nasoloak	122:19		204:24
mouth 241:19	4:20 5:20	123:19	non-resident	211:3
mouthful	national	191:17	99:6	220:20
145:7	27:25	negatives	NONVERBAL	235:22
move 38:21	31:13	122:20	145:19	Northerners
40:6 48:5	nationally	negotiated	nor 178:15	30:4 38:22
104:12	41:22	24:20	201:17	Northwest
130:5,6		Needlash		14:3 15:18
137:13	natural 4:7 8:10 15:6	Nesoloak	Norbert 13:4	18:4 20:21
146:10	18:20 22:2	208:20,21	normally	21:8 23:24
153:21	36:17	nesting	58:5	24:5 29:2
174:7	76:16	208:2	135:22	37:12
186:9	171:13,14	nets	Norman	no-shooting
192:24	219:7	163:14,15	167:15	189:11
193:7		network		
195:2	nature		north 9:24	note 44:9
196:17	64:1,17	33:11	22:15	88:19
199:4,25	74:24 75:8 86:25	networks	29:25	127:9
211:23	87:23	178:6	30:3,18	133:12
212:6	123:6	Neudorf 4:14	41:22,24 43:21	146:25
227:3	134:17	5:13	43:21 52:18	166:8 199:14
moved 182:22	157:6	14:3,4	55:5,19,22	211:11
movement	166:2	28:16,22,2	82:2	
107:13	211:14	3 29:1	120:19	noted 25:4
mouring 61.11	230:17	40:3	140:19,22	98:18
moving 61:11 176:9	navigable	nev 151:7	141:5	145:14,16
	22:23,25		142:25	166:6
multiple	23:3,8	news 9:24	143:5,20	nothing
216:2		125:11	146:18,20	57:15
multi-	navigation	Niarg 26:23	172:10	notice 9:24
tasking	23:4	nice 17:17	176 : 25	50:23
114:16	Navy 55:16	177:4	180:2,7	88:19
multitude	necessarily		181:17	noticing
marcreade	88:2	Nicholson	north-end	notieing
	00.2			

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 286
of 310				
53 : 15	179:15,20	214:18,20	233:17	115:11
notwithstand	obtain 118:2	Ocean 31:12	oilfield	177:18
ing 117:13	obtained	43:18	172:11	180:8
nought	86:25	118:9,10	oilfields	onto 34:18
159:19,21	147:12	Oceans 2:24	172:10	207:24
NRCan 71:6	158:19	16:15,21,2	okay 21:14	open 33:7
NRCall 71:0 NT 1:20	obtaining	5 26:1	45:8 47:16	89:12
	152:13	80:18 84:15	48:6,11,17	162:24
Nunavut 18:4	obviously	102:1	,22 60:12	opening
NWT 15:7	40:13,20	151:8	62:22	5:3,4,5,6,
27:14	42:4,5,8,1	196:19	64:23	7,8,9,10,1
30:1,14	8,23	203:21	65:24	1,12,13,14
36:17	43:12,21	224:4	66:17	6:6,9,11
148:18	150:22	Ostobor	67:2,16	13:10,16,1
151:2,11	168:14	October 93:14	68:10,14 69:17,18	8 15:23,25 16:17
166:9	179:3	93:14 179:20	71:5 72:16	17:16,21
169:15	occasion	180:5	85:8	19:17 20:8
212:11	231:6	183:4,5	105:14	21:13 22:7
213:17,25			114:14	23:19 24:1
214:7	occasional	office 3:4	121:7	28:22
215:3	182:20	41:19	132:16	29:7,11
229:7	occasionally	42:15	141:18	39:12
231:11,17	182:20	officer	144:16	48:13
232:5	occupy 99:16	20:12	145:10,20	171:9
NWT's 214:7		officers	150:21	ananata 22.0
	occur 28:3	215:13,14	158:22	operate 33:9 35:5 46:2
0	50:15		161:22	
objection	61:11	officials	164:11	operating
66:3	75:12	15:5,11	191:8	142:24
	88:11 95:10	offshore	194:23	operation
objections	120:16,17	152:18	205:9,17	36:13,16,2
47:11	127:6	of-way	209:22	2 45:25
63:10	185:9	218:14	211:17	61:18,19
66:10 96:23	190:5,10,1		212:13	89:22
	3 191:22	oh 7:15	226:22	108:14
objective	215:17	11:25 45:8	231:24	172:23
81:8	217:10	58:23	242:7	178:15
objectives	occurred	63:17 93:13	243:23 244:2	190:19
31:18	26:11	93:13 158:2		193:1,3
obligation	164:10	158:2	older 233:17	200:22
127:11	168:18	178:11	Oliver 2:14	216:11
	185:11	194:3		218:7
obligations	214:7	210:23	Olivier 2:24 14:18	operational
27:14		225:8	14:18 16:22	203:15,20
162:17	occurrence 94:24	oil 87:2		operations
observation		138:19	omission	87:14
179:18	occurring	152:17	45:23	92:15
180:14	218:21	163:15	ones 243:1	152:18
Observations	occurs		ongoing	214:19

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 287
of 310				
217:16	163 : 5	205:12	12:4	37:2,8
219:22	164:24	Ottawa 16:6	oversight	39:2,16
233:13,17	173:15	41:17	113:17	45:13 46:6
opinion 17:7	232:16		114:4	55:14
219:23	organization	ourselves		59:17,19
	12:23	232:18	overt 103:11	65:18 66:1
opportunitie	109:14	outcome	overview	97 : 25
S	123:4	20:23	17:2	155:15
31:3,16,20	205:12	151:14	220:23	163:9
127:3	212:25	outline 17:9		173:16
opportunity	orgonization	195:20	P	196:1
8:6 9:14	organization	195.20	p.m 96:9	210:19
10:19	s 32:20	outlined	-	227:3
11:13	107:23	40:7	144:21,22 245:11	244:4
13:9,12	112:11	151:20	243:11	panel's 21:6
23:19	118:22	outlines	P3 41:18	69 : 14
41:25 43:7	organize	202:21	pace 12:16	124:5
46:16	72:18		-	162:17
57:18	organized	outrace 186:4	package	paper
99:20	10:16	180:4	219:3	89:7,10
118:10		outset 37:22	226:2	-
123:12	original	outside	pad 135:21	papers 172:4
183:15	158:13	21:23	136:1,17	paragraph
186:19	originally	156:19	page 5:2	193:12
193:10	55 : 6	213:4	124:18	197:20
197:14	Orr 2:21		169:24	parallel
205:2	14:17	outstanding	170:1,10,1	130:3,7,11
opposed	129:22,24,	97:2	7 175:18	,25 131:15
61:12	25	overall	177:8	138:4,24
229:10	131:7,8,24	97:13	193:11	146:7,9,16
optimistic	132:5,6,14	156:3	195:9	
167:6	,15,17	158:5	196:6,17	pardon 158:8
	133:1,3,11	238:22	197:17	163:6
optimization	,12	overcalculat	199:4,16	178:3
74:1,2	134:8,9	ed 51:25	200:7,20	204:18
optimize	135:14,15	overestimate	221:2	parking
137:15	142:19,21	51:15 54:7	pages 170:4	113:21
options 36:7	143:8,9			Parks 3:6,21
38:3	144:10,14	overlap	panel	4:3 5:10
	145:5,6,10	49:12,15	7:20,21,25	19:18,20
oral 60:2	,20 147:23	51:14 52:8	8:15,24	21:11,13,1
order	149:5,6	60:21	10:14,21,2 3	6,20 68:20
10:15,24	198:4,5,8	64:17	3 11:13,16,2	70:12,14,1
101:19	199:3,12,1	overlaps	3 13:20	7
103:5	6	49:10	15:16	224:11,18,
126:21	os 198:16	50:24	16:19	20
128:19	others	64:18	17:23	Parliament
140:16	218:25	overlies	19:8,11	18:15
149:11		221:16	20:10 22:9	
152:3	otherwise		24:3 28:24	Parsons
162:16	109:4	overseeing	29:7,12	50:17

DIGI-TRAN INC. 1-800-663-4915 or 1-403-276-7611 Serving Clients Across Canada

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 288
of 310				
52:20	187:12	81 : 25	127:16,25	182:23
	215:21	170:19	129:1,19	
partial	218:6	173:21	133:8	people
200:3		178:2,4	134:13	39:16,22
participants	parties	220:7	139:20	41:19
27:25 39:3	8:17,22		142:2	42:13,16,2
175:25	9:12,15,20	patching	144:1,8	2,23,25
participate	10:17	136:25	145:3	43:13,14
19:23	13:20 37:8	<pre>patience 6:4</pre>	150:10	46:16,25
216:1,3	47:7 48:6	65:25	155:1,8	47:9 121:13
	59:16,24 60:3 61:3	patrol 124:9	158:25	
participated		-	160:25	122:16,22 123:12,14
91:21	62:7,19,22 63:6,10,15	patrolling	162:1	123:12,14 144:18
participates	64:3,9	123:4	165 : 17	152:1
213:16		patrols	171:1 , 6	171:9
	66:2,7,10 67:12,14	36:20	173:7	171:9
participatin	68:16,17,2		180:16	205:21
g 103:3	3 69:2	Patterson's	187:17	203:21
particular	71:23 75:4	41:19	190:22	208:3,13
52:11	90:22	PAUSE 28:8	192:19	
60:20 83:2	96:23 97:6	46:11	193:23	people's
86:2,18	103:3	47:18 50:4	196:24	42:21
87:7,18	103:3	51:19	202:8,14	per 78:14
88:4 89:20	104:10	54:1,21	205:7	147:4,9
98:12 99:5	110:19	55:9 56:15	209:20	149:14
109:23	111:10,17,	57:10	210:3,15	174:17
137:8	19,20	58:25	211:20,25	
138:15	117:3,6,22	60:5,10	214:22	pera 133:18
152:19,21,	119:13,14	65 : 13	218:3	percent 65:7
22 169:13	120:21	67 : 19	222:17	125:9,12
173:4	121:18	68:12	224:1,25	141:9
178:17	162:9	70:5,22	225:6,12	160:5
181:7	170:9	71:8,13,20	226:10,25	182:21
186:21,23	195:4	72:1,9,14,	227:17	193:14
191:17	199:9	20 73:6,12	228:20	240:2,4
203:6,25	202:1	78:16	230:1	percentage
204:8		81:21	232:11	239:14,17
214:3	partners	83:16	233:3	240:25
227:10	21:8 119:3	84:23 85:5	234:13	
234:22	partnership	91:5 92:5	PDF 221:2	percentages
238:17,24	20:20 31:6	93:6 94:11		65:3
239:25	37:23 38:7	104:4	PDR 41:1	perhaps
particularly	party 110:25	105:7,12	peak 113:23	59:19
64:11	113:6	106:25	147:5	63:11,25
79:12	115:25	107:4	149:15	81:6
85:16	115:25	108:1	peaked 30:1	88:13,20
100:15	166:6	109:25	_	108:21
101:2	208:22	112:17	peninsula	109:22
105:4		113:12	171:25	112:7
141:3	passion	116:4,10,1	182:2	123:9
147:6	39:25	5 120:6	peninsulas	136:2,7
186:10,11	past 42:19	122:9		140:7,17
	P 12.19			,_/

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 289
of 310				
161:15	223:12	229:15	50:13	planning
162:13	227:15	230:13	52:12,13	18:24
167:22	228:4,17	231:1	179:2	37:25 76:8
171:13				77:9
220:3	permitted	Petr's 93:22	Piraux 2:14	11.5
229:2	149:20	phase	14:18	plans 17:8
238:11	190:5,13	36:16,22	pit 89:12	36:14 55:4
243:9	231:5	87:13,14	136:13	76:24
243:9	permitting	92:15	145:24	93:12
period	212:11	197:22	201:5	101:8
5:17,23		197:22	226:3	103:6
45:22	person 127:7			121:23
48:10	154:3	220:9	227:11,20	187:14
78:13 79:8	209:4	222:6,14	pits 77:22	201:5,6,7,
82:3 126:7	personal	223:8	86:24	17,22
169:18	-	227:23	134:23	202:22
173:4	152:16	234:24	135:8	214:13
181:3,21	personally	phases 36:13	146:18	219:12
182:8	182:18	_	154:19	223:5,8
183:8,11,1		Phoebe 3:12	236:9	225:18,21,
2 184:2	persons	20:9,11	241:14	223.10,21,
220:14	209:6	71:15	242:4	226:3,4,8
	perspective	224:15		227:21
periods	101:23	phones 10:13	placed 34:18	
147:5	207:14,15,	-	99:14,24	228:9,10,1
permafrost	16	phonetic	103:8	5,18,25
33:23	212:8,23	26:24	117:22	229:8,10,2
34:3,5,7,2	213:15	146:5	places 87:5	0,22 230:6
2		158:6	154:15	play 99:1
2	pertain	168:4	174:2	112:4,6
permanent	105:4	photo 236:8		238:9
217:19	pertaining	242:3	plan 30:19	please 6:6
218:17	18:18		92:23	
226:2	72:25	photographs	93:10,12	10:12 11:8
permanently	98:20	118:11	97:5 142:7	12:16,22
133:19		photography	195:20	13:10 14:8
	Petr 2:5	35:14	196:1,10,1	17:15
permit 39:7	12:6		2 197:11	39:21
83:14	72:22,23	photos 186:7	199:8	45:5,12
115:10	73:20	physical	200:21,24	48:17 60:8
128:10	74:13	167:9	207:12,13,	86:7 95:19
153:21	75:16		19 208:4,5	105:9
201:9	77:13,14	picked 243:3	214:15	116:7
213:13	78:18,19	Pido 13:4	227:11,13	120:2
214:12	79:23,24	nieco 20.16	228:11,12,	129:14
225:25	80:20,21	piece 29:16	13,14	142:16
228:6	83:8	79:20	229:4,5	143:25
229:2,9	84:18,19	219:19	planned	144:11,15
230:10	85:7,9	pieces 106:7	-	155:16
normita	90:2,3	Pingo	36:25	166:24
permits	91:9,18	-	55:24	192:6
83:10	93:1,16,17	21:19,22	184:19	196:2,14
214:17,20	94:7 227:6	22:1,3	planner	198:9
215:2,8	228:2	pipeline	14:13,17	202:11
			,	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 290
of 310				
221:6	201:21	169:25	133:24	prayer 6:6,9
pleased	pointed	179:1	157 : 17	pre 61:15
56:23	98:13	181:22	206:18	-
58:17		197:7	216:25	Pre-Calving
128:12	points 103:8 184:7,9,23	pos 24:23	220:11	179:17
pleasure	188:5	pose 175:12	possibly	precede
28:16 29:6		220:24	52 : 15	146:11
239:24	Poitras 13:4		111:1	precedent
plus 165:5	policies	posed 154:2	169:1	27:3
-	22:16	posit 169:16	218:15 236:6	precedents
PMO 41:17	103:6	position		26:18
po 183:11	polygon	24:9,23	post-rut	27:12,13
point 9:8	156:2	46:1 61:14	183:11	precisely
47:23	158:3	62:4,14,16	pot 186:24	75:8
54:11	polygons	64:19	potential	177:16
58:4,12,17	77:19,21,2	115:14	22:2 36:2	
59:13	3 156:22	123:3	51:1 52:8	precision
60:24 61:6	158:9	161:11	54:7 57:25	154:21
62:18	160:13,15	173:12	60:20	157:16 239:14
63:12 65:9	ponds 34:12	185:6 199:20	61:8,16	
78:14	_	231:22	86:1 87:25	predated
88:18	pool 126:17		89:17,19	185:20
97:16	poor 27:10	positions	90 : 18	predation
110:16	pop 192:8	59:15	94:24	184:14
123:20		173:15,16	102:23	185:16,18,
130:1 131:1	population	positive	106:2	21 186:25
132:24	172:12,19	122:18	122:3,20 170:13	187:6
133:23	populations	125:2	180:23	predict 32:7
139:3	170:20	136:21		200:9
140:2,6	171:11,20,	151:14	potentially	predicted
146:24	22 173:22	175:17 191:17	52:18	15:14
152:13	177:11	242:22	61:24	61:9,16
159:19,21,	188:25		76:10 102:15	77:10
22 161:9	189:17 190:16,20	positives	102:13	87:12,15,2
167:20	192:9,17	122:23	167:3	0,22 89:21
170:17	194:14	possibility	178:22	94:8 97:20
174:7 176:19	Porcupine	131:16	190:9	125:8
176:19	172:16,20	163:23	potholes	140:8
5 178:23		possible	137:1	168:14
182:21	port 60:15	11:17		201:25
185:8	portable	32:23 50:2	power 87:6	prediction
186:25	11:5	58:21	practical	125:6,7
187:8	portages	63:2,25	34:4	200:11
188:10,12	82:19	75:13	practices	predictions
189:19	137:5	82:22	189:24	74:7 75:11
190:8,12		83:20 88:9 90:21	Prairie	91:24 92:2
193:7	portion 145:23	90:21 92:13	18:3,5	102:19
199:11,22	145:23	92:13 121:23	22:12	105:3
200:24	740.74	-CC-J		107:22

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 291
of 310				
119:18	present	242:16,24	price 125:13	179:10
125:15	24:8,19	presenting	primarily	182:22
preface	37:17	18:22	74:21 94:3	186:4
201:14	64:23 69:3	156:22	100:11	209:23
	79 : 16		104:24	221:13
prefaced	101:15,17	preservation		problem
231:8	107:21	18:19	primary	59:3,16
prefer	108:12	preserve	73:25	186:13
240:10	129:12,13	24:14,16	79:15	
preferred	154:4,18	president	117:15	problems
76:22 95:2	156:15,17	14:20	118:4	13:6 124:6
101:4	181:12,19		140:19	procedural
	234:10	press 205:13	214:25	11:18
pre-hearing	presentation	pressed	Prime 42:7	62 : 20
9:16,17,20	5:16,19,20	138:13	principal	procedure
prejudge	,22 10:23		14:12,14	195:21
131:16	11:7 16:10	pressure 171 : 19	22:24	
preliminary	17:1,9	171:19		procedures
35:19	28:13	200:10,13	principle	9:18 102:4
132:1	45:11,23		27:23 125:20	203:21
	46:25	pressures		<pre>proceed 39:7</pre>
premise	47:13,24	105:25	principles	55:4 69:7
173:19	48:3,16	presumably	24:14	128:10,13
preparation	96:18	77:22	prior 66:7	129:12
58:11	204:23	190:10	67:14	153:24
80:24 91:1	205:16 208:20	229:19	198:14	218:24
108:10	208:20	pretty 82:8	205:4	219:20
prepared	210:17,21	101:2	<pre>private 33:3</pre>	227:23
10:15	211:9,15	137:17	209:13	proceeding
37:18 59:5	220:24	196:11		59 : 25
64:15	230:15	prevailing	pro 26:17	proceedings
66:19	244:24	148:17	110:22	10:4,24
69:13 84:5	nnocontation		128:3	11:11
108:6	<pre>presentation s 5:18</pre>	prevent	183:7	12:19
147:1	9:21 10:15	34:7,20	187:11 208:5	22:23 69:8
196:13	13:7 97:11	242:24	208.5	161:3
197:6	205:15	preventing		proceeds
199:8		23:16	probable	233:24
219:9	presented	previous	236:4,24	
242:19	45:20 47:3	125:15	239:13	10.12
preparedness	51:13 68:7	165:24	240:3	10:13 19:12
29:15	77:7,11 94:23	199:13	242:21 243:12	20:2,24
pres 108:14	109:9	218:1		26:8,14,17
_	123:16	previously	probably	34:3 64:4
prese 51:13	132:12	30:9 45:15	46:14 83:2	79:21 81:3
presence	154:12,22,	75:1 90:17	91:19	82:8,25
13:25	23 155:12	101:13,14	117:10	101:19
106:14	165:24	160:14	118:7	103:1
172:6,17,1	180:20	165:12	122:22	127:10
8 178:24	182:7	100.12	149:15	133:19
			168:9,12	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 292
of 310				
142:22	progress	138:18	Projects 3:3	proposed 1:4
151:14	69:10	150 : 19		7:5,18
162:10		151 : 13	project-	8:14 17:2
205:20,24	progresses 23:21	153:8	specific	19:9
207:11,21	23:21	169 : 18	78:2	21:22,24
212:3	<pre>project 1:5</pre>	174:20	121:24	23:1 24:10
213:6,16	7:5,18	178:17	promote	25:2 26:5
218:24	8:14 13:22	179:1	22:17	30:23
222:25	14:5 17:3	190:19	23:12	33:24 38:8
223:15,18	19:7,9	202:6	31:24	41:13
225:19,20	21:1,9	204:2	prop 27:8	50:10,14,1
226:5,7,16	22:25 33:3	208:24		7
,18	34:18	209:2	proper 34:13	52:9,14,17
processes	35:24 36:2	213:12,23	properly	54:10
216:1,6	37:11,14,2	214:3	26:14	58:21
	2,25	215:5	27:21	60:19
procurement	38:9,14	219:3	40:13	61:10
127:10	39:25	223:6	98:21	65:17 84:2
produce	40:2,5,9,1	231:18	220:12	108:15
24:25 41:5	2,15,16,19	232:7		128:6
	41:1,8	233:24	proponent	130:20
produced	42:3,8,21	projected	19:11	138:11
41:16	43:12	191:22	39:24	147:2
241:8,11	44:23,24		113:6	152:21
produces	49:14	project-	119:10	168:5
41:11	50:10,12,1	related	127:12	169:3
producing	3,16,18	111:16	128:18	173:5
31:12	52:9,16	121:21	132:10	178:16
	53:2 55:3	projects	147:24 208:24	181:5
productivity	56:8 64:15	20:18 33:6	208:24 215:16	183:7
24:18	76:18	38:6 49:9	210:10	189:10
professional	80:14	50:20,22	proponents	209:6
87:24 90:6	86:18	51:4,17	213:19	215:23
192:23	87:8,16	52:4,10	proponent's	proposes
201:19	88:5 89:20	58:21	215:7	31:9
238:9,15	92:14	60:19		
	94:25	61:7 , 10	Proponents	proposing
professional	95:6,9	62:1 81:25	221:18	29:4 66:1
s 33:2	98:8	86:22 87:5	222:23	147:19
program	100:24	138:18	proposal	prospect
22:15	106:6	163 : 25	27:3,6,7,1	42:17
30:20	108:5,22	178:3,4,5,	0,16 29:16	prospective
92:18	109:19	22,25	33:1 42:1	156:20
121:1	110:23	180:24	55:18,20	236:7,11
programs	113:16	181:1	68:19 69:5	242:22
15:12 18:2	119:6 127:4	188:24	proposals	242:22 243:3,4,12
22:16		212:25	27:9 30:16	
37:12,20	128:6,9,17 129:12,13	215:24	21:9 30:10	prospects
114:3	130:2,20	233:13,21	propose	38:10
120:22	130:2,20	project's	113:8	protect
124:22	134:7,18	32:22	166:13	24:16
167.66		~~	243:21	33:23 74:3
	137:1			

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 293
of 310				
187:12	111:23	82:2 95:8	156:16	quantified
208:6	114:4	175:22	pull 124:10	57:24
219:17	123:22	providing	pull 124:10	58:19
protected	124:8	29:12	pull-off	64:16
103:24	126:20	31:19	124:7	montifuing
	136:21	63:14 66:3	pun 158:8	<pre>quantifying 91:22</pre>
protection	186:20	223:5	-	91:22
22:23,25	202:21		purely	quantitative
26:21	213:11	provinces	188:20	61:3 64:10
27:15	216:5	18:5	purp 76:19	157:18
29:14 36:4	223:6	proving	purpose 8:13	188:4
40:8 93:12	232:16	240:18,19	61:5 73:25	quantitative
115:7	provided		84:7	ly 90:9
140:4	8:16 9:24	provision 17:3	140:15	92:2
173:2	10:2 17:7	1/:3	186:9	
187:14	19:3 32:8	provisions	207:20	quantities
229:5	37:5 43:9	195:22		80:10
protocol	45:15	229:8	purposes	140:23
141:8	49:6,8	Prudhoe 87:1	11:3 97:9	153:23
prove 159:18	50:1,9	172:8	pursue 174:9	156:15,16
240:21	53:5,20			231:7
	56:24 57:1	public 1:6	pursued	235:9,13 237:5
proved	58:4 64:16	4:18,20	103:5	257:5
159:25	67 : 6	5:18 8:18	117:16	quantity
160:5	74:5,23	9:23	pursuing	37:1 45:24
proven 33:17	75:1 77:18	11:4,11	30:13	140:14
155:12,18	84:14	13:21,24	<pre>putting 40:5</pre>	153:20
156:21	85:17	16:19		154:6
157:22	90:20 91:3	19:19	PW-2 55:3	156:11
160:12,17,	92 : 10	20:16 23:3,12	128:15	232:6,24
23	101:11	33:2		238:16,21
235:14,16,	113:24	37:2,3,9	Q	240:19
25 236:23	150:23	38:13 39:3	qual 64:10	quarry 201:9
237:5,9,22	151:11	48:6 54:5	-	212:19
238:16,25	153:23	55:15	qualificatio	214:12,17,
239:6,13,2	163:2,12	56:6,13,24	n 240:9	19
5	165:25	58:7,15	qualified	216:10,11,
240:1,12,1	169:14	72:6	33:2 131:8	19
8,25	170:7	103:9,16	176:8	217:5,18,1
242:21	175:7	112:23	qualify	9
243:12	183:13,15	113:2,8,15	226:15	quasi 11:17
provide 8:5	189:15,22	,16,19		_
15:1 17:2	190:2	114:13	qualities	Quest 54:23
19:23	198:3	115:21	38 : 5	question
22:19 29:7	214:14 222:23	117:23	quality 9:11	5:17,23
35:9 44:18	235:9	205:15	16:8	47:16
46:6 53:1		219:19	18:19 , 23	48:10
60:1 66:5	Providence	publication	27:6,10,16	49:7,16
69:13	120:19	34:1	31:5 35:4	50:1 51:7
90:16	provides		195 : 15	52:25
102:2	20:15 34:3	published	219:18	55:15

	/IEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 294
of	310				
	59:22 63:3	95:22,24	24	112:20	144:25
	67:5 89:25	145:1	224:4,8,12	114:8	191:2
	93:2 95:21	161:8,10	,14,16,20	115:22	245:6
	96:24	179:13	225:2	121:7	
	97:20	220:16	226:22	122:5	reality
	98:1,4,9		227:5,7	195:11	40:20
	100:6	questions	231:2,5	196:8	54:13
	105:16	10:25	235:5	199:5	185:19
	110:12,15	11:3,5,21	241:6	206:6	realize
	114:18	12:24	243:25		64:19
	118:19	13:13	244:4,7,9,	rambling	
	120:11	15:1,16	11,13,15,1	172:21	realized
	129:6,22	18:7 37:7	7,19,22,25	Ramsay 40:2	61:13
	130:1	48:6,15,16	245:3	-	really 59:11
	133:20	,20,25		range 114:2	62:5,11,15
1	140:2	49:5,18	quick 93:2	121:11	98:17
	140:2	52:22	quicker	162:25	103:16
	21 147:24	54:24	168:10	180:3	141:2
	148:4,10	56:3,18	169:5	181:6,22	173:18
	149:2	59 : 11		188:6	177:8
	154:1,4,5	66:19	quickly	Ranges	183:21
		67:11,13	49:25	179:16,18,	185:5
	157:4	68:19	116:23	20	201:13
	158:17	69:22	185:24		210:6
	161:2,15	70:1,10,13	quiet 42:18	rapidly	238:2,5
	164:5	,25	-	87:22	
	165 : 23				
1	1 7 2 1 0	71:4,16	quite 18:20	rate	realm 213:4
	173:18	71:4,16 72:5,6,7,2	106:1		
	175:12		106:1 185:22	143:5 , 19	reason 12:20
	175:12 180:10	72:5,6,7,2	106:1 185:22 187:23,24	143:5,19 232:9,25	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24
	175:12 180:10 189:3	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9	106:1 185:22	143:5 , 19	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9	143:5,19 232:9,25	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22,	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2	reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3 185:25
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13 236:21	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10 187:23	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11 <u>R</u> radius	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2 react 88:14	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13 236:21 238:2	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10 187:23 188:18	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11 R radius 237:7,24	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2 react 88:14 readiness	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3 185:25 239:18</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13 236:21 238:2 239:21	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10 187:23 188:18 193:5	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11 <u>R</u> radius	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2 react 88:14	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3 185:25 239:18 reasonablene</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13 236:21 238:2	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10 187:23 188:18 193:5 194:25	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11 R radius 237:7,24	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2 react 88:14 readiness 126:17	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3 185:25 239:18 reasonablene ss 188:7</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13 236:21 238:2 239:21 242:10	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10 187:23 188:18 193:5 194:25 195:2 199:17	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11 R radius 237:7,24 raise 122:16	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2 react 88:14 readiness 126:17 reading	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3 185:25 239:18 reasonablene ss 188:7 reasonably</pre>
q	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13 236:21 238:2 239:21 242:10 uestioned	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10 187:23 188:18 193:5 194:25 195:2 199:17 204:15,22	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11 R radius 237:7,24 raise 122:16 raised 9:19 104:19	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2 react 88:14 readiness 126:17 reading 60:13	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3 185:25 239:18 reasonablene ss 188:7</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13 236:21 238:2 239:21 242:10 uestioned 111:11	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10 187:23 188:18 193:5 194:25 195:2 199:17 204:15,22 209:16	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11 R radius 237:7,24 raise 122:16 raised 9:19 104:19 105:24	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2 react 88:14 readiness 126:17 reading 60:13 63:21	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3 185:25 239:18 reasonablene ss 188:7 reasonably</pre>
	175:12 180:10 189:3 191:6 192:4,6,8 201:12 209:3,8 219:24 220:14,22, 24 221:1 222:3,21 223:4 225:16 230:22 231:8,23 232:4 233:13 236:21 238:2 239:21 242:10 uestioned	72:5,6,7,2 4 74:9 93:16,18,2 3,24 97:16,18 112:10,20 114:8 127:19,21 128:2,5 136:22 153:20,22 161:9,17,1 9,25 162:5,13 163:18,19 174:10 187:23 188:18 193:5 194:25 195:2 199:17 204:15,22	106:1 185:22 187:23,24 203:9 quota 100:2 quotas 105:24 106:4 187:13 quote 175:18 quoting 54:15 170:11 R radius 237:7,24 raise 122:16 raised 9:19 104:19	143:5,19 232:9,25 rates 231:7 rather 62:17 118:17 ratio 34:10 RCMP 123:3 re 76:9 91:11 97:4 119:17 191:25 195:16 reach 88:2 131:2 react 88:14 readiness 126:17 reading 60:13	<pre>reason 12:20 42:5 53:24 64:5,14 76:19 106:5 118:4,5 119:16,17 131:8 177:1 180:20 204:5 208:14,15 reasonable 32:23 88:7 89:19 167:3 185:25 239:18 reasonablene ss 188:7 reasonably 123:14</pre>

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 295
of 310				
99:14	98:19	31:3,22	106:1	235:5
177:17	182:24	112:24	referred	regardless
180:21	recognizes	113:8	6:25	131:21
199:13	218:19	115:21	97:3,4	156:13
reassessment		216:16	127:5	
107:10	recognizing	221:12	168:18	regards
	64:3	Red 174:24	197:8	209:8
rebound	recommend			region
99:19	7:1,3	reduce 31:18	referring	18:3,4
recall	recommendati	36:21	134:6	22:12
109:13	ons 17:3	188:22	169:14,21	26:21,24
receive		reduced	183:21	27:4 29:21
31:20	102:10	43:14	197:19	31:1 36:10
38:20	105:21 109:15,18	159:9	221:7	37:14
101:20		ro-ongago	226:6,14	42:12,19
227:14	110:6 122:7	re-engage	refers 77:7	148:18
232:5	122:7		154:5	152:1
232.3	reconstruct	reentering	refiled	164:1
received	33:10	217:23	111:18	206:2
41:9 66:7	reconvene	reevaluate		212:22
90:8	10:6 245:1	105:3	reflect 86:1	213:1
108:20		106:19	208:9	216:4
110:21	record 9:9		reflected	242:20
166:18	13:4 24:24	reevaluated	109:18	regional
receiving	33:7,17	125:5		14:24
39:2 42:2	58:8 59:12	reexamined	reflection	16:20
67:7	68:3 70:11	30:8	126:3	20:21
recent 25:11	82:1 84:21	refer 65:2	reflective	22:10
84:9	97:11	146:24	158:11	24:20
111:18	108:19 133:12,16	154:25	refresh	31:13,21
125:11		172:9	162:13	40:24 75:2
158:2	149:21	179:10		91:21
159:24	162:14 200:1	183:25	refusal	Designed 24
	210:25		115:10	Regions 34:3
recently	210:25	reference	reg 139:14	registered
77:18 94:6	recorded	9:10 25:19		8:7
189:9	12:20	89:1 106:4	regard 109:1	11:12,14
receptive	110:4	112:22	111:6	13:9 47:7
96:2	177:11	121:9	126:12	66:2,10
	185:10	134:20	174:11	104:10
recessing	recordings	162:20	191:25 236:23	registry
46:20	13:5	170:11		8:18 13:3
65:21 96:8		196:19,21	regarding	19:4 37:2
144:21	records	197:1 199:6	10:22 18:7	45:17 54:0
recognize	57:16 104:20	216:14	45 : 17	65:1
39:23	104:20		85:16	
44:22	recovered	referenced	112:23	regula 212:8
53:19 87:9	190:12	176:18	121:10	regular
197:3	recreation	186:24	126:20	42:14
recognized	1:19 113:3	191:3	152:14	90:14 92:9
29:22 58:3		references	153:22	113:22
	recreational			120:17

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 296
of 310				
138:8	87:7	86:17	85:15	170:6
139:14,18	140:11	113:25	110:15	195:3,5,10
213:7,20	214:19	124:10	remembered	200:8
217:22	219:6	241:16	168:5	202:2
regulations	229:10	relatively		repor 76:7
23:15	related	60:17	remind 17:16	-
37:20	15:16	87:13	103:9	report 21:7
113:20	21:25	101:18	117:23	25:15
212:10,19,	45:14		reminded	30:12
20 215:2	74:10 79:2	relevant	124:12	40:15 53:5
	87:13	19:6 76:6		54:16 57:2
regulator	89:9,22	133:14	reminds	72:25
147:22	92:22	149:2	204:19	94:24
regulators	100:11	179:13	remote	95:1,11
32:21	102:8	184:6,8	134:23	105:19,23
35:12	112:4,8	reliable	removal	107:11
36:2,25	123:1	157:3	55:15	108:6
197 : 25	125:24	168:12		123:21
199:8	140:10	relied 43:3	remove 52:1	147:21 148:6
regulatory	152:13		149:1	148:6
17:5 26:4	163:20	rely 33:8	removed 55:2	194:4,19
36:15	165:25	relying	182:12	194:4,19
102:4	184:12	157:9	232:6	
197:22	189:4	remain	renewed	reported
199:1	195:1		30:17	88:3
200:12	203:8	172:25 216:24		159:17
203:14,17	215:2	210:24	repair 33:10	194:19
212:4,8	relates	remainder	repeat 148:1	reporter
218:23	60:16 98:4	95:24	155:15	12:23
220:9	138:2	remaining	192:5	reporting
222:6,13	162:7,10	35:9		18:24
223:8,11,1	relation	182:16	repeated 139:14	10:24
5		remains	217:13	reports
225:18,22	60:20 62:1 80:23		217:13	30:10 74:0
226:14	85:12	61:14 102:23	repetition	76:7 77:7
227:23	92:14,20	166:7	154:1	158:4
228:3	109:3	187:9	rephrase	159:11,15
230:17	117:9	107.9	189:2	18 160:14
234:24	162:5,6	remark	223:4	215:14
reinforce	169:12	107:10	239:22	243:10,11
31:17	186:24,25	remarks	replace	represent
reinitiate	189:24	16:15	56:6,13	7:23 11:9
161:10	190:3	28:11		12:23
101:10	196:9	29:7,11	replacement	60:19
reiterate	197:18	48:13	163:4,14	68:16
40:11	221:11	237:22	166:1	89:13
reiterated	relationship	remedial 7:4	replied	205:12
111:20	s 38:4	-	126:22	206:1
		remediation	reply 9:15	representat
rela 140:11	relative	163:10	118:24	ons 58:19
	34:4 60:17	remember	110.24	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 297
of 310				
representati	198:25	193:2	212:10,12,	175:7
ve 15:21	219:15	residue	17 215:24	176:17
16:14	220:8	195:23	216:13	187:24
17:15	229:17	195:25	regresting	195:18
19:14	232:24	residues	respecting 24:25	196:7,9
21:11,20	requirement	195:15		199:17
22:5 29:9	141:16	resilient	respective	200:20
90:15	201:9	170:21	15:2 68:23	222:10,11
160:17		173:22	113:20	229:4
representati	requirements		219:11	244:24
ves 37:16	7:13 8:25	resolving	respond	responses
231:15	24:13	203:25	49:20	91:22
	35:13	resour	55:12	92:10
representing	37:21	221:14	57:8 , 19	196:18
17:25	45:21	resource	59:24 60:3	
represents	83:14	14:15 16:5	73:15 78:5	responsibili ties 22:1
181:22	128:7,9	36:17	85 : 18	
request	147:12 149:16	98:23	114:19	26:5
25:9,11	149:16 151:8	103:16,19	129:22	117:24
45:16	203:14	190:11	136:1	121:16 212:9
105:15	218:9	212:18	145:20	225:23
163:20	227:10	214:10	191:2,4	230:23
202:20		215:12,13,	232:3	
	requires	14 219:7,9	responded	responsibili
requested	143:17	235:14,17	102:9	ty 18:17
18:11 23:6	res 61:24	238:8	111:11,12,	26:12,13,1
25:2,18	85:17	resources	14 113:2	6 99:23
101:25	reserve 97:6	4:7 15:7	121:19	103:9,10
109:11	TESELVE 97.0	22:2 29:24	220:5	111:15
requests	reservoir	61:17	responding	115:16
8:21 80:9	152:20	76:16	37:7	117:7
220:6,7	resident	99:23	195:11	119:7,8,24
require	99:6	101:22		187:9 230:18
40:21		103:12	response	230:18
55:23	residents	104:22	21:6	responsible
79:25 80:5	29:20 30:24	105:5	45:15,20	11:19 21:1
91:11	31:2,8,19,	111:9,22	59:22 86:3	22:16,21
115:12	31:2,8,19, 20 37:24	112:7,8	102:11	32:23
136:17,22	38:9,21	117:15,18	111:7,18	35:24
227:15	43:1	208:6	114:21 120:10	100:5
required	112:21	219:16	120:10	111:9
6:23 9:20	121:8	235:16	133:22	113:7
23:7 25:18		respect 38:5	145:19	119:3,10,1
35:1 45:24	residual	97:1 112:9	157:1	2 166:7 213:22
76:9 84:20	61:8,16,21 79:6	123:15	164:8,22	213:22
91:23	79:6 87:12,20	149:6	165:22	230:9
128:19,21	87:12,20 88:10	168:3	166:18	
135:16,22	89:21	196:7	168:24	responsibly
141:22	102:15	206:25	169:5	103:10
143:23	119:18	207:6,7	170:6,10	219:21
165:4	172:24	208:13,14	171:11	rest 77:23

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 298
of 310				
125:21	150:17	revise 99:20	165:19,22	101:5
164:22	return 46:24	revised 54:8	171:3,8	103:13
181:23	96:4	revised 54:8	174:8	104:17,20
219:25	204:21	revisited	175:5	106:14
244:3		125:15	177:14,24	108:7
restrict	returning	rex 219:10	178:10	109:3
189:9	83:9		180:18,19	114:11
	reversible	rich 33:23	185:1,4	117:9,10
restricted	87:23	34:8 171:4	189:20	118:5 , 6
177:23		Richard 4:18	190:25	121:12
192:10	review 1:2,4	5:19	191:8,11	122:25
194:6	6:18,24	205:16,17	192:5,22	123:1,5,15
restrictions	7:7,9,10,1	209:4,5	197:13	,16 124:13
99:4	2,18,24 9:10 12:5	Rick 2:11	202:16,19	127:12
194:14	17:2 19:8	14:11	Rick's	128:19,24
219:14	20:12	49:19,23	186:22	129:11
result 7:18	23:21	50:6		130:3,7,8,
26:19	24:22,24	51:23,24	right-of-way	11,12,19,2
36:11	25:8,10,14	53:13 54:3	134:22	5
63:25	,16,19	57:7,12,20	180:8 214:7	131:2,5,6,
102:17	26:8,14,19	60:12	214:7	23
102:17	,25 27:12	63:18	210:12	132:3,10,1
105:25	29:7 37:2	73:14,18	risk 18:8	8,20
106:14	39:3 57:18	74:19	19:1 36:21	133:15,18,
107:14	63:1 79:21	75:23,24,2	57:3 76:17	19,24,25
125:16	97:13	5	risks 36:2	134:2,6,25 135:1,8,11
154:17	151:14	78:4,8,24		,12,16,22
157:19	169:21	80:2 81:2	river 181:16	136:5,7,13
171:19	197:25	83:18	182:11	,23
192:11	205:24	86:8,10,11	ro 140:9	137:2,13,1
200:13	207:13	90 : 13	road 8:2	6
241:9,13	212:24	91 : 15	17:12 25:2	138:3,4,5,
resulted	213:16	92:3,7	26:5	7,8,12,13,
151:15	214:13	95:14	30:21,22,2	15,25
164:22	216:6	97:24,25	4	139:9,15,1
	222:13	104:16	31:2,10,12	7
resulting	223:10,11,	105:9,14	32:2,8	140:2,5,9,
93:3	15	108:3	34:5,22	10,20
115:24	226:6,7,8,	110:1,11,1	43:6 44:11	141:12,13,
190:18	15,18	4 112:2	55:17,23	23
results 35:7	227:13	114:15	78:11	142:17,18
57:1 94:3	reviewed	115:19	80:4,11	143:5,14,2
98:5 101:7	30:7 88:23	116:12,17	81:4,9,12	0 145:24
102:2	114:23	118:12,16	82:1,6,10,	146:2,5,7,
109:8		120:1	12,23	9,12,16,20
218:21	reviewing 37:4	122:12	83:1,22,24	,22 167:15
resuming	5/:4	137:21,25	84:12	168:7,9,19
46:21	reviews	139:22,25	86:19	,25 169:4
65:22 96:9	26:21	148:11,12, 24 149:4	87:8,17,21	172:17,19
144:22	214:12		88:14	174:16
	222:15	150:12,15 152:6	89 : 14	175:3,16,2
retained		102.0		

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 299
of 310				
1,22	14:1,4	Routine	100:13,20	163:16
176:2,4	28:18	36:20		
178:6	29:10		sat 205:18	scoping 108:11
180:3	39:7,10,12	routing 74:2	satellite	
181:20	,13,14	routings	179:23	screen 219:6
185:24	45:2	217:1	184:3	screening
186:2,4,7,	46:17,24	rules 6:21	satisfaction	7:1 213:5
9	Roland 1:12	11:18	213:1	se 78:14
188:1,2,3, 21 189:5	11:24	103:6	satisfied	174:17
190:19	244:10,12	123:15	9:8 220:4	
192:12	role 99:1	ruling 62:21	228:10	sea 43:16 152:19
193:1,3	111:11	63 : 12	satisfy	
200:14	112:4,6	run 52:12	37:21 51:7	Sean
206:10	115:20	137:4	162:16	12:24,25
214:4,5	roles 112:1	230:20	scattered	245:20
216:9,10	121:18	<pre>running 59:3</pre>	101:1	season 32:8
217:4,17	rolling 9:24	81:12	242:3	120:16
222:2	_			136:18
roads 29:25	room 10:9	runs 52:13	scenario	139:16
33:13	42:25	Russell 4:14	27:2 162:12,15,	seasonal
77:24,25	205:3 220:1	5:13 14:3	21 163:17	33:13
78:10,22	233:20,24	28:16,22,2	165:1	seasons
79:3,5,25		3,25	166:16	125:23
80:6,23 81:7	Ross 2:25	rut 179:19	167:2	135:13,15
82:4,5,14,	16:18,20	183:8,10	168:5,8	217:9
20	224:3	RVs 44:3	169:13,16	seats 46:24
83:3,4,12	rough 142:10		170:1,3,12	
84:4,21	roughly	S	,14,17	second 27:23 65:11 69:7
86:14,24	145:25	Sachs 24:7	183:24	121:17
134:18,22	round 43:8	Sacns 24:/	184:8,24	128:6
138:21	67:10	sadly 154:1	193:8 195:1	162:7
139:2,5	111:10	safe 22:20		193:12
140:12	121:17	23:3	scenarios	196:22
147:7 174:23	180:4	safety 23:12	234:3	201:15
174:23	route 36:6	140:4	scenery	209:3
177:1	41:13		44:10	secondarily
189:12	83:25 84:1	sake 195:6	scheduled	139:1
199:22	95:6	sample	33:7	secondary
217:19	101:1,3	150:17	101:16	118:4
Robert 12:11	103:8	sampling	Schmidt 2:17	
	114:24	190:12	14:12	section 55:7 135:11
Robyn 2:13 14:13	118:3	SARA 64:11	science	162:21
14:13 55:11,13,1	131:6,14,1	79:12	190:11	195:10,11
4 56:10,11	5,25 133:24			
81:6	133:24	Sarah 2:24 16:22	scientist	sectors 33:3
157:11,13			14:19	secure 9:6
	routes	SARA-listed	191:12	22:20
Rodgers 4:12 5:14	176:14	75:6 87:19	scope 150:23	sediment
J.14				

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 300
of 310				
228:12	182:25	168:6,9	236:8	82:15
sedimentatio	sensory	services	242:4	87:13
n 199:5,7	107:14	15:8 16:8	shape 137:15	107:12,16
seeing	sent 8:20	22:11	share 41:6	showed 184:2
44:19,24	166:16	31:21	44:8	showing
seeking	sentence	37:13	172:25	64:15
118:16	195:18	43:4,8	173:13	shown 95:10
		session	shared 119:8	170:19
seem 61:11	separate	104:13	160:7	173:21
77:19 150:21	52:5 94:1 134:7	sessions 9:4	Shawn 2:19	180:22
187:23		45:16	14:19	220:3
	separating	64:7 , 9	155:3,10,1	shows 44:10
seemed 89:18	182:2	93:25	1,17	181:18
seems 189:6	separation	112:23	156:1,18	182:6
seen 61:4	237:23	113:1	157:24	sic 146:2
64:9 83:3	238:3,5	114:9 115:23	158:2	160:12
150:20	September	121:10	159:1,5	166:9
182:18	1:21	126:19	160:10,11	sides 140:20
206:6	9:14,15,16	194:21	sheet 48:2	
233:14	,21 45:18	229:18	Sheila 4:20	sign 230:17
SEIA 94:1	53:16 54:4	sets 75:2	5:20	signage
select 87:25	56:22	128:2,5	208:20,21	103:7
	58:1,2	231:5	she's 12:7	117:22
selected	59:7,10 62:9 66:24	settlement		122:25
84:13	85:2	29:21	shift	123:15
88:6,16	101:11,16	36:10	126:6,8	124:9
89:18 127:10	102:12	148:18	shipping	216:25
134:23	118:24	164:1	124:15	signed 7:7
151:24	169:23	212:22	short 45:4	significance
182:1	170:5,7	213:1	87:22	8:11
selecting	179:8	seven 85:24	107:9	107:25
34:9	195:6	136:7	116:17	151:23
153:10	197:8 198:17	159:19	125 : 18	significant
		167:17	126:7	42:8,11
selection 90:24	series 73:22	184:10	133:3 227:7	61:24
	153:22	Seventy	221:1	89:21
Senator	201:13	193:14	shortcomings	102:15
41:18	serious	several	25:5,17	112:6
senior	217:14	43:22 63:6	short-	113:16
14:13,17,1	seriously	85:18,24	duration	115:15 120:12
8,21 20:11	207:12	135:12,15	126:16	152:8,25
90:15	serve 38:4	154:10	shorter	153:11
sense 64:8		160:15	146:19	172:19,24
103:15	Service 18:10 26:2	166:17	169 : 5	179:1
157:2	76:15	179:9	short-span	190:1
sensitive		180:21	198:21	192:25
34:22	serviced	220:7		193:2
		shallow	short-term	

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 301
of 310				
241:9	124:7,11	slight 85:10	37:10,13	212:15
significantl	139:3	slightly	94 : 1	222:13
y 53:21	142:23	146:10	118:23	224:18
-	209:12,13	182:10	119:5,22	225:9
similar	216:10,11,		120:12	228:23
19:25	19	slope 34:10	soft 6:13	237:2
48:13	217:18,20	slot 15:17		241:19
100:1	221:14	slow 17:17	solidify	sort 12:4
115:12	site-	116:23	80:5 82:19	57:16 66:6
234:10	specific	207:21	Soloway 3:14	67:6 79:6
similarities	73:23		22:13	123:8
175:1	74:24 76:8	slower 7:16	70:24	172:21
Similarly	113:20	212:15	225:1,2	202:23
47:12	120:24	small 41:6	someone 20:5	229:11
.	197:23	60 : 17	71:6	235:23
simply 59:13	203:10,11	160:13,15,	116:18	240:9
60:16 65:3 78:22	204:6	17 181:8	somewhat	243:20
157:10	sitting	192:23	53:22 88:1	sorts 188:24
164:5	25:13	smaller		sound 62:13
177:21	211:1	53:22	somewhere	100:8
183:25	situation	60:21	11:25	190:11
192:14	43:10	158:3,12	110:12	
195:17	141:14	159:10	162:25	sounding
197:4,20		smooth 186:2	180:11	185:6
200:20	situations		sorry 7:15	source 30:22
simultaneous	234:4	smoother	48:19 49:1	55:2,16,25
142:23	six 44:15	82:21	51:23 54:3	108:7
	159:19,21,	snapshot	55:1	128:15,16,
single 21:6	22 160:1	29:13	58:22,23	19,20,21,2
141:1	184:10	Snider 1:10	61:18	4
single-lane	sixty 165:5	6:17 96:15	63:17	130:18,19
136:6	_	244:19	67:21 70:10	132:1
sir 174:22	sixty-one 165:7		70:10	138:2,6
192:7		snow 81:11	89:24	139:7
	size 40:9,12	82:17	07.27	141:24
196:5		126-2	92:16	153.5
196:5 197:16	42:9 54:7	136:2	92:16 103:11	153:5 154·13
197:16	42:9 54:7 137:14	234:5	92:16 103:11 104:14	154:13
197:16 sit 9:25	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21	234:5 snowmobile	103:11	154:13 155:25
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11	42:9 54:7 137:14	234:5	103:11 104:14	154:13
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21	234:5 snowmobile	103:11 104:14 112:20	154:13 155:25 156:4,9
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16	234:5 snowmobile 216:20	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18 13:3 21:18	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16 skills	234:5 snowmobile 216:20 social 15:8	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23 118:21 123:25 144:13	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7 159:15,23
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18 13:3 21:18 130:9,10	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16 skills 126:13	234:5 snowmobile 216:20 social 15:8 32:24 37:19	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23 118:21 123:25 144:13 147:23	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7 159:15,23 160:16,18
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18 13:3 21:18 130:9,10 168:11,25	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16 skills	234:5 snowmobile 216:20 social 15:8 32:24 37:19 socially	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23 118:21 123:25 144:13 147:23 171:3,12	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7 159:15,23 160:16,18 175:16
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18 13:3 21:18 130:9,10	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16 skills 126:13	234:5 snowmobile 216:20 social 15:8 32:24 37:19 socially 209:7	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23 118:21 123:25 144:13 147:23 171:3,12 176:3	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7 159:15,23 160:16,18 175:16 188:20 234:1 236:11
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18 13:3 21:18 130:9,10 168:11,25	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16 skills 126:13 skip 148:10	234:5 snowmobile 216:20 social 15:8 32:24 37:19 socially 209:7 society	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23 118:21 123:25 144:13 147:23 171:3,12 176:3 188:15	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7 159:15,23 160:16,18 175:16 188:20 234:1
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18 13:3 21:18 130:9,10 168:11,25 217:5	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16 skills 126:13 skip 148:10 slide 218:1 222:4	234:5 snowmobile 216:20 social 15:8 32:24 37:19 socially 209:7	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23 118:21 123:25 144:13 147:23 171:3,12 176:3 188:15 191:8	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7 159:15,23 160:16,18 175:16 188:20 234:1 236:11 243:7,8
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18 13:3 21:18 130:9,10 168:11,25 217:5 sites 50:11 54:7,13,19 78:10	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16 skills 126:13 skip 148:10 slide 218:1 222:4 slides	234:5 snowmobile 216:20 social 15:8 32:24 37:19 socially 209:7 society	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23 118:21 123:25 144:13 147:23 171:3,12 176:3 188:15 191:8 194:3	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7 159:15,23 160:16,18 175:16 188:20 234:1 236:11 243:7,8 sources 35:5
197:16 sit 9:25 104:11 205:21 site 8:18 13:3 21:18 130:9,10 168:11,25 217:5 sites 50:11 54:7,13,19	42:9 54:7 137:14 198:21 239:16 skill 126:16 skills 126:13 skip 148:10 slide 218:1 222:4	234:5 snowmobile 216:20 social 15:8 32:24 37:19 socially 209:7 society 24:16 28:1	103:11 104:14 112:20 116:23 118:21 123:25 144:13 147:23 171:3,12 176:3 188:15 191:8	154:13 155:25 156:4,9 157:7 159:15,23 160:16,18 175:16 188:20 234:1 236:11

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 302
of 310				
56:6,12	speaks	123:18	spring	162:11
139:9	138:10	134:10,17	162:23	163:18
141:4,5		162:8	175:21	182:11
154:8,10,1	special	175:15	179:17	210:20,24
1,12	112:25	179:11	182:6	212:3
157:20	113:5	217:5,18		220:16,17
158:19	specialist	221:7,13	stability	227:3
160:1	19:4	226:14	32:8	245:3
217:23	species 18:8	230:10	<pre>staff 2:3</pre>	started
218:9,20	19:1 27:1	234:21	9:16 14:10	158:4
221:25	57:3 64:12	specificatio	15:4 37:8	159:8
223:7	75:6,9	ns 203:15	40:4	
233:22	76:10,16,1		204:14	starting
south 30:22	7,20,22,24	specifics	227:3	10:25
50:17	77:4	130:9	243:25	93:11
55:6,16,19	79:12,15	201:7	stage	state 67:7
,22,23	87:18,20	speculate	25:9,10,11	133:16
140:19,22	88:10	149:7	,14 93:10	
141:5	100:14,15,			stated 24:14
142:24	20 104:21	Speech 42:6	stages 35:21	26:2
143:6	106:2,7	speeds	staging	124:17
146:6,20,2	107:14,18	113:21	216:11	149:11
1 185:11	111:6	169:1	218:5	150:1
	112:5	spend 89:16	stakeholders	155:24
south-end	187:15	173:3	32:20 37:3	181:4
142:17			32:20 37:3	217:18,25
southern	specific	spent 182:9	stand 6:15	237:22
52:14	34:10 64:6	spill 18:24	11:8 60:25	statement
145:23	74:9,17	164:24	standard	5:3,4,5,6,
146:13	76:20	166:7	27:9 33:22	7,8,9,10,1
southernmost	80:12 94:21 95:5	167:15,24	38:11	1,12,13,14
128:16	100:6	168:17,19	203:4,7,9,	6:11 8:21
	119:23	228:11	10	9:2 11:12
sovereignty	121:22	229:3	204:4,5,7	13:10,18
31:17	122:19			14:8
41:23	140:1	spilled	standards	15:23,25
spacing	151:10,23	163:1	113:4	16:17
137:15	152:10	spills 166:9	201:19	17:16,21
236:22	198:11	167:10	standpoint	19:17 20:8
238:19,21	203:14	spiritual	59 : 17	21:13 22:7
speak 6:15	219:19	152:25	62:14,20	23:19
7:15 11:8	229:9,10	153:12	Stantec	24:1,10
12:21 46:6	232:3		131:25	25:1,6
12:21 46:6	239:15	spoke 40:23	142:22	28:5,22
166:18		42:13		39:12
229:6	specifically 52:7 76:13	spoken 6:14	start 6:5	169:22 178:9,12
		12:16	13:8,15,23	178:9,12
speaking	77:8,11	spot 193:12	28:16	206:3
12:16 14:4	89:8,11 92:11	-	48:14,18	208:3
68:1	100:19	spreads	94:15	237:10
116:22	110:3	140:19	96:12,14	
125:2	TTO: 2		129:11	statements

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 303
of 310				
11:10	196:3,15	190:20	112:22	42:5
13:16	200:2,17,2	192:16	162:9	succession
203:15	5 202:10	stretch	170:7,8	217:8
205:3	220:21		195:4	217:8
states 18:16	223:21	144:18	222:11	successive
149:14	245:6	structure	242:17	217:7,21
149:14	Stewart 2:4	136:5	submit 9:21	sufficient
stating	12:4	structures	23:7 79:21	35:4 37:12
149:15		34:13	241:2	46:2
statute	stick 174:6	229:12	-	136:16
18:13	stimuli		submittal	222:12,24
22:24	89:14	studies 30:7	53:17 58:6	
		35:15,16,2	submitted	sufficiently
stay 183:2	stockpile	2 41:24	53:16,25	149:16
stays 99:21	216:12	73:24	54:4 72:25	suggest
steed 138:20	218:6,8,13	76:7,12	90:17 94:6	59:18
Steed 138:20	stockpiling	77:6 86:21	110:2	93:12
step 124:9	218:13	98:6	197:24	120:18
Stephen 4:8	stood 62:8	100:12,23	198:16	159:21
-	stood 02:0	101:3,7	199:8	160:21
steps 115:6	stop 121:3	193:21	210:22	172:18
Stevens 2:10	storage	stuff 114:18	211:10	187:5
13:19,21	18:24	su 58:13	212:24	191:20
28:15		243:18	217:12	223:16
37:15 39:6	story 41:6	243:18	223:10,16	232:15
45:3,8,12	stra 238:12	sub 54:4		243:18
46:8		<pre>subject 7:6</pre>	submitting	suggested
48:1,7	straightforw	20:23	57 : 23	88:19
49:19	ard 82:8	191:18	subsection	159:23
55:11 57:7	strategic		7:22 156:3	167:11
60:7 69:12	30:13,16	subjects	subsequent	185:23
73:8,14		202:23	9:3 80:8	190:7
78:4 81:16	strategies	submission	98:12	190:7
84:25 93:9	36:5 223:8	17:4	102:4	suggesting
94:14	strategy	36:1,4	215:16	201:15
95:17 96:1	30:11	56:22 63:5	213:10	suggestion
113:14	stratigraphy	88:18		63:9
116:6	238:11,13,	169:20,25	subsequently	161:18
120:8,10	22	173:20	58:2	
124:1,4		176:19	102:17	suggestions
125:17	stream 35:20	186:20	108:7	122:6
127:2	streams	198:25	109:11	suggests
129:3,5,14	86:20	219:1	substantial	143:17
,21 144:3	101:8,21	222:10	31:12	suitability
155:3		227:11	116:25	53:4
158:20	strengthenin	234:10	137:3	
161:1,23	g 31:14	submissions	211:14	suitable
164:9,16	stress 64:6	9:13 59:5	substantiate	95:24
165:13	stressed	62:6 63:7		158:7
166:5	117:9	66:8,13,22	186:24	245:3
168:1,23	11139	67:10,15	Success 32:9	sum 49:14
195:6	stresses		successful	
		111:20	SUCCESSIUL	

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 304
of 310				
summarize	85:14	231:3	50:8,9,23	14:12
145:11,17	236:21	239:21	51:13	109:22
243:11	supplemented	surface	52:25	target 243:3
summarized	35:22	34:22	53:3,7,20	Larger 245:5
	94:16		58:13	task 206:21
106:1	94:16	81:12	60:23 64:1	tasked
221:3	supplied	82:19	69:5,11	219:10
summarizes	215:15	136:25	96:20	219.10
105:20	supply	140:5	103:2	taxpayer
summarizing	152:23	186:2	104:10	208:23
166:22	153:5	surfaces	155:4	taxpayers
242:19	100:0	82:21	158:12	208:25
242:19	support	139:8	160:12	
summary	20:16,19	surprised	163:4	Taylor 4:10
175:19	26:7 27:20	-	165:3	66:14,15
summer 35:3	40:22	189:13,14	220:1	67 : 12
	137:2	surround		tea 46:17
76:12 77:6	140:21	77:22	tables 42:22	
100:7,11,1 8 117:11	231:10	surrounding	52:6,7	team 13:24
	232:15	95:9	54:8	14:9,25
125:23	supported	95.9 171:23	58:1,6	15:4
175:14 182:19	15:4	235:8	64:25	29:4,9
182:19	15:4	255:0	109:22	33:2 37:6
summer's	Supporting	survey 85:2	156:17	94:17
98:5	14:6	surveys	197:15	131:13
Sun 1:19	suppose	35:15,17	242:14,18	132:18
Sun 1.19	170:2	84:10,20	tabular 91:2	195:7
Sunny 4:6		91:21		tearing 9:22
Super 137:5	suppressant	91.21	TAC 82:5	_
_	36:23	survive	takers 72:12	technical
superintende	suppression	206:11,12		8:1 9:4,13
nt 14:24	203:20	Susanne 3:10	taking 11:3	12:6,8
22:14		17:18,22,2	54:18	19:20
supervision	sure 50:1	4 224:7,8	177:20	25:10,11,2
82:9	52:3 59:18		186:7	4 36:24
	62:12	sustainable	talk 39:22	45:16
supplement	68:4,15	22:19	69:4 77:15	64:7,8
49:6 53:8	73:10	26:11	talked 8:4	67:25 72:4
56:22	81:4,9	system	85:22	85:1 88:18
81:17	91:16	22:17,20	164:3	93:22,25
95:14	92:21	100:2,22	209:23	112:23
supplemental	103:23	113:23		113:1
35:3	119:14	125:21	talking	114:9
58:4,10,14	123:2		41:20	115:23
59:9 90:25	140:1	systems	62:11	121:10
124:2	148:1	189:23	63:14	126:19
166:5	153:10		82:14	154:2,4
179:6	180:10	Т	131:15	157:15
183:16	185:22,25	ta 165:2	134:18,25	162:9
	191:24		184:11	170:6,8
supplementar	196:11	table 5:1	199:16	173:20
y 59:8	210:11	11:6 45:21	240:17	222:11
77:17	227:2	47:8 49:7	Tara 2:17	229:18

	/IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 305
of 310				
technique	213:3	20:2,4	123:23	201:2,11
34:17	215:2,7	22:3	124:24	204:9,10,1
technology	221:19	23:18,21,2	125:2	2,13,16
138:17	229:9	2 28:6	126:9,12	208:16,17
	230:4,7,23	38:25	127:13,22	209:5,16,1
telemetry	239:12,15,	39:4,13	128:1	7
179:24	17 242:15	40:2	129:7,9	220:12,15
180:6	terrain	44:7,20,21	130:21	221:23
184:4	14:18	,25	131:18	223:19,23
telephone	33:23	45:1,12	132:7	224:5,9,13
71:24	34:8,10	46:3 47:1	135:3,6,18	
temperatures	35:15 77:5	48:17,23	,19 136:9	225:3
167:7	81:10	49:3,16,17	137:19	226:17,20, 22 227:25
	terrestrial	,21 51:5,8 52:23	139:9,11 141:19,20	229:12,13,
template	68:22	55:7,13	141:19,20	15
214:14		56:2,4,10,	142:13,13	230:11,14,
temporary	terribly	19 57:4,5	147:14	24 231:1
139:5	185:5	62:2 63:13	149:3,4	232:1,19,2
147:7	territorial	65:25	150:3	1 234:6,8
216:9,10	42:10	67:4,17	151:15,16,	235:1,3
217:4	212:10,16,	68:5,10,23	18	236:17,19,
ten 86:20	19,20	69 : 18,24	153:14,15,	20
137:9	214:2,8	70:2,18	17	237:16,19
165:8	territories	71:1,17	155:5,20	239:7,9
167:14	14:3 15:18	72:17	156:5,24	241:3
tend 185:19	18:4 20:21	73:16	157:13	242:8
	21:8	74:11,13	158:14	243:15,17
tense 200:23	23:15,24	75:15 76:3	159:13	244:23,25
tenure	24:6 29:2	77:14 78:6	161:24	245:8
212:17	37:12	79:22	162:3	Thanks 6:3
214:1	territory	80:18,19 81:14	164:12,19, 21	21:9 70:1
term 40:18	42:9	83:6,8	166:3,10	212:23
87:14,22	test 236:9	84:17	169:6,8	224:12
107:17	241:13	90:1,4,11	173:10,17	that'll
239:13,15	242:4	91:7 92:24	174:20	127:10
terminus	testimonials	93:15,19	175:10	that's 40:4
136:14	41:13	94:18	176:20,22	44:11
	44:12	97:22	177:19	49:15
terms 7:3		103:25	179:4,5	51:12
9:10 25:19	testimony	104:1,16	183:17,19	52:11
65:3 97:12,13	60:2	105:16	186:19	59:21
112:21	testing	106:9	187:19,21	60:23 61:1
115:21	157:6	107:19	190:14	62:23 63:2
117:25	thank 6:12	110:7,8,11	192:1	66:24 68:8
121:8	10:19	111:1,3 112:13,14	193:4,6 194:8,10,2	69:5 75:3
142:7	13:14,19	112:13,14 114:7	4 196:5	82:24
148:16	15:19,20	114:7	4 198:5 197:16	88:15 91:1
157:18	16:12,13	118:12,13,	197:10	95:24 97:2
162:20	17:12,18	16 121:4,7	200:4	100:22
176:16	19:12			101:10

REN	/IEW - IN	JUVIK	TO TUK	PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 306
of	310						
	115.14 05		161.0		179:4,23	122.10	161.10
	115:14,25		161:8			133:19	161:19
	123:17		169:5		184:1,11	172:12	204:19,22
	124:17		217:20		206:8	183:3	210:7
	125:23		there'll		228:6	208:11	243:9,19
	127:19		13:11		232:7	216:5	245:1
	131:16		217:20		233:25	220:7	tonight
	136:24,25		there's 6	· 1 /	239:5	thus 160:16	209:25
	146:17		10:10 1		they've 43:3	233:14	210:7
	148:20		39:9 41		237:5,6,14	tied 206:20	243:14
	158:11		46:17	• 22	243:2	LIEU 200.20	244:3
	159:9		47:10		thick 136:4	timetable	tonnes
	161:20		48:15		137:7	151:19	137:5,8
	162:6		40:15 57:15		140:3	title	137:3,0
	166:11		59:11 6	6.0	140:5	179:15,19	tool 214:25
	174:1		74:21	0.9	thickness		tools 121:2
	177:20		74:21 96:19,2	2	139:17	titled 34:1	125:20
	180:9,24		96:19,2 97:2,3	. ∠	140:2	TK 104:19	202:4
	182:17				145:15	109:10	
	183:13		99:7,11 104:6		third 24:14	110:5	top 142:10
	184:16				128:8	112:22	topics 85:10
	185:22,25		114:2		209:8	115:22	_
	188:15		116:1 117:13			121:9	total 45:24
	190:2		126:3		thirty	122:14	50:21,25
	193:11				142:12	193:20	51:5,12,15
	197:4,5		145:21		thirty-five	194:4	52:1
	200:23		159:21 169:11		191:13		53:1,3,8
	204:19		175:1			today 7:21	65:4
	205:2		179:9		thirty-nine	9:25 11:13	
	207:18,22	'	180:6		124:14	12:3 14:6	156:9
	24 221:2		180.0		tho 165:10	16:4,22	163:5
	226:13		193:7		thoughts	17:1,25	169:17
	230:17,25		193:7	21	108:12,20	18:6,23	181:22
	231:2		190:19,	Ζ⊥	108:12,20	19:22	232:6,24
	235:25		200:9		thousand	22:13	totally
	236:10		200:9	23	41:9 125:9	23:19	68 : 10
	237:9		210:21	23	140:17	24:8,11	touch 18:12
	238:21		210:21		147:4,9,10	56:24	
	240:20		211:0		149:10,14	66:18 67:8	couched
	241:24		213:20		163:6	68:7	217:5
	242:1,5,6		233:24		165:5,7,11	99:3,12	tourism 15:7
t	haw 34:7		235:24		167 : 17	152:7	31:24
+1	hemselves		240:13		thousands	177:18	115:24
	79:6				140:25	191:21 234:20	121:11,17,
			they'll		178:7,13,2	234:20 235:6,14	21,24
	heory 118:	2	47:14 234:5		3		122:5,21
t	here'd				Throne 42:6	today's	123:19
	168:21		they're			123:11	124:15
t	herefore		62:18,2		throughout	toe 43:18	125:3,7,9,
	26:13 28:	4	75:10 7	9:1	19:11	toes 118:8	12,16
	61:23		133:14		98:18		209:8,11
	78:12		145:8		100:24	tomorrow	tourism-
			149:11		103:1	10:6	

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 307
of 310				
related	87:21	transportati	137:12	209:2
125:19,24	113:21,22,	on 4:16	true 140:9	Tuktoyaktuk
tourist	23,25	14:2,10,13	145:23	1:5 8:3,13
43:23	121:13	,23	241:24	9:19 14:1
89:15	124:16,20	22:16,17,1		17:12
118:1	125:19,20,	9 23:13,14	trust 38:5	20:20 29:6
	21 126:5,6	29:1,3,23	truthing	31:10,25
tourists	136:24	30:6,11	236:6	32:16
43:15	trail 216:20	31:9	try 6:15	35:25
114:13	217:1	32:3,15	40:25	43:1,6,7
117:3,8,10	221:16	33:11,25	87:24 98:1	44:5,13
,16 118:5 122:17,21	train 146:5	34:2 38:19	173:16	95:12
122:17,21	158:5	118:18,20,	188:19	105:20
		25	189:1	109:7
toward 30:12	training	119:2,20	211:11	182:16
32:14	31:19	120:25	233:17	tundra 83:5
33:20	126:12	transported		185:9
38:21	127:3	23:17	trying 60:15	217:15
towards	training-	trappers	75:20 86:16	
182:10,11,	related	36:19	103:15	turn 10:12
22 183:4	126:14	206:1	173:14	72:17
191:17	transcribed	216:15	174:9	104:21
town 29:19	12:21	221:12	184:7	106:15 169:20,24
31:7 39:15	-	travel	187:22	195:9
40:18,22	Transcript	123:13	188:3,5	197:17
41:16	5:25	125:15		200:7,19
47:4,13	transcripts	169:1	TS 45:20	-
208:23	12:25 13:2		TS-2-1 45:21	turned 30:3
track 33:17	transfer	travelling	Tuk 24:11	turning
36:14	128:20	8:12	29:20	100:6
50:14		treatments	30:22	122:24
tracked	transferred	34:13	31:7,15	twenty-five
216:7	214:8	tree 185:11	43:17 87:8	41:9
traditi	translated		97:8,14	221:15
95:11	104:8	tremendous	99:5,9	
traditional	translation	40:22	101:18	twenty-seven
35:23 36:6	104:7	tried 71:24	107:22	33:15
94:5		108:23	108:7	type 34:10
95:7,11	translators	110:16,22	109:13	113:21,25
102:5	116:23	trigger	112:13	137:2
105:19	transport	148:15	122:2	146:16
106:12,20	3:14 5:11		138:2,5,12	147:1
107:11	22:5,7,11,	triple	152:20,24	198:19
109:5	15	156:10	171:25	types 76:21
175:13,15,	23:1,6,11,	trouble	181:6,8,17	77:1
19 187:25	14,18	135:24	182:2,19	138:21
traditional-	70:19	truck 86:24	Tuk-Inuvik	171:12
knowledge	102:1	137:8	19:21	190:7
105:22	224:23	162:22	109:12	typical
	225:2		205:22	34:16
traffic		trucks		

		BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 308
of 310				
136:1	164:14	33:4,8	148:8,19	VECs 74:3
typically	undertaken	43:3	189:8	87:12
79:13	64:21	46:20,21	valuable	191:15
117:10	76:12,14	65:21,22	38:1	vegeta 76:13
125:22	77:4	96:8,9	234:23	-
136:7	100:13	119:8		vegetation
146:4	164:6	144:21,22	value 188:22	35:16 53:4
168:25		185:20	valued 94:1	73:1
236:1	undertaking	213:1	119:22	76:10,11,2
	64:1,6	214:3	values 24:15	5
	66:5	245:11	79:3	77:1,5,11
<u> </u>	163:25	upper 147:18	103:12	100:11
ultimately	174:17	148:4,5	151:22	vegetative
26:21	undertakings	up-to-date	152:15	140:4
understand	174:23	69:14	153:1,12	Vehicle
51:10	undisturbed		155:13,19	113:19
53:12 54:5	81:11	usage 209:12		
62:4 68:16		useful	var 89:12	vehicles
88:25	Unfortunatel	166:12	variable	124:14
99:14	y 44:2		32:6	130:5
110:12	150:20	usefulness	174:14	verbally
138:10	154:2	126:22	varied	66:4,12,18
143:14	uniform	users	172:16	verbiage
147:20	238:11	216:16,24,		230:16
171:10	unimpeded	25 221 : 12	variety	
173:12,13	216:24	usual 176:14	90 : 18	verify 91:24
174:9			180:23	versus 168:4
177:5	units 158:6	usually	187:24	
197:7	unknown 68:2	114:16	various 36:9	vice 14:20
223:1		233:14,21,	37:17	vicinity
228:3,4	unless	22 234:2	64:15 74:5	173:5
234:19	190:10	236:5	75:9 90:22	181:12,20
237:4	199:25	utility	98 : 16	182:9
238:22	unspecified	75:22	101:21	184:5
239:21,24	233:1	188:22	103:3,8	video 46:17
understandin	update 73:3	utilization	106:7	47:1
g 7:8,19	74:16	101:21	117:6,22	
50:8,24	75:18	184:16	120:21	view 74:22
64:8 66:18	126:24	186:6	125:18	88:1
98:7 123:9	197:10		141:4	170:24
151:6		utilize	162:9	174:16
168:17	updated	238:6	202:25	239:13
194:12	58:4,9,13 63:22	utilized	203:1,20	views
231:16	63:22 64:16	218:13	222:10	8:6,8,14
243:21	64:16 74:20		vary 149:18	19:23
understood	79:11,19	V	239:16	167:21
82:7 153:2	197:5	valid 102:24	varying	170:15
167:1		106:3	120:14	231:17
203:24	upholds	170:13		232:23
undertake	38:14		VEC 191:17	virtually
73:22	upon 6:1	Valley	203:4	-

	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 309
of 310				
61:7 185:8	198:4,8	162:24	39:15	west 26:23
visiting	199:12	182:2	96:11	177 : 10
39:16	warm 39:19	195:15,24	we'll 45:6	181:7 , 16
		197:19	46:6,15	182:10
visitor	warming	199:17,20,	47:11,14	Western
21:15	191:21	21 203:19	48:4	21:16
visitors	washrooms	212:12	65:17,18,1	we've 47:2
42:15	10:8	213:15,17,	9 68:22,25	60:14,24,2
43:20,23,2	wasn't 93:25	23 215:3,13	69:4	5 61:8
4	134:23	219:18	70:7,8	66:22 69:1
vital 29:24	154:4	223:7	96:4,5,12,	71:24
35:23	183:21	229:7	24 144:19	80:7,9,15
volume 35:4	211:9	230:10	148:10	97:7 98:22
37:4 87:21	waste 18:25	231:6,7,11	158:20	104:10
37:4 87:21 156:20	124:7	,17	220:16,17	107:2
156:20	228:11,13	232:5,6,16	243:22	120:23
239:6	229:7	,24	245:2,3	130:18
		233:18,22	well-being	140:13
volumes	water 16:7	234:1,5,21	171:22	141:15
113:23,25	18:23	waterbird	185:15	145:13,16
124:16,20	34:11	100:24	well-known	146:8
125:20	36:23		185:16	149:11
126:5,6	54:18	water-		206:22
151:4	76:17	related	Wells 167:15	214:15
155:12	79:25	213:22	well-used	216:7
160:17 233:20	80:5,10,12 ,13,16,17	waters	216:20	219:10
235:20	81:11	22:23,25	we're 6:4	235:23
237:11	82:18	23:8	21:21	whatever
238:24	83:11,21	212:11	28:11	103:4
	84:11,12,1	213:25	40:24	108:23
vulnerabilit	3 128:6,11	waterway	47:5,23	118:11
ies 32:5	139:6,17	23:3	48:7 57:15	123:15
	140:7,8,10		59:3 62:10	127:9
W	,14,23	weather 29:5	63:14,19	151:6
walk 96:20	141:1,4,5,	31:10,12	65:15,25	223:15
Walter 2:21	9,10,16	32:6	66 : 17 67 : 7	whatnot
14:17	143:18,19,	we'd 42:1	68:2,4	43:11
129:22,24	21	96:1,22	78:24,25	whereby
131:7,24	147:1,9,11	134:23	79:20	37:24
132:5,14,1	,18	Wednesday	88:24	141:9
7	148:5,8,15	15:17	96:5,25	
133:1,3,11	,16,17,18,		103:23	Wherever
134:8	19	week 8:12	131:15	82:20
135:14	150:7,17,1	43:23	135:17	whether 7:1
142:19,21	8	63:23 112:13	138:16 155:13 18	8:24 49:12
143:8	151:2,3,7, 10,11,12		155:13,18 161:14	59:20
144:10,14	152:9,10,1	weeks 25:12	188:3	62:5,12,17
145:5,10,2	6,20,22,23	220:10	206:3,20	105:1
0 147:23	153:3,5,6,	welcome	240:17	109:3
149:5	7,11,20	13:14	- IV • I /	123:7
	· / ± + / 2 V			

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 310
of 310				
100.0	1.40.15			
126:3	143:15	35:3 55:23	138:7,14	176:18
131:5	145:15	78:11,13,2	wintertime	177:9
132:10,12	wildfires	2	79 : 5	184:7,17,2
134:6	184:13	79:3,5,8,1	168:18	4 185:7,22
135:10	185:9,10,1	3,16,25	181:10	186:20
156:8	3	80:3,4,6,1	wish 11:8,12	187:13
163:21	wildlife	1,16,17	28:17	188:6,16
173:18		81:4,7,12		191:24
184:23	3:24	82:1,4,5,6	123:21	193:8
188:5,19,2	18:7,9,10,	,10,20,23	238:5	WMAC-NWT
1,23	25 23:23	83:1,3,4,1	wishes	24:23 25:4
191:16,21	24:5,17	2,21,24	110:25	27:11 71:4
192:10	26:2 27:15	84:12,16	withdraw	
198:20	35:16	128:18,24	152:11	WMAC's 25:21
204:1	36:5,10	129:11	152:11	169:21
219:25	53:4 57:3	130:3,7,8,	withdrawal	170:3
222:8,11	61:17 71:2	11,12,25	80 : 17	wolf 185:18
229:20	73:1	131:2,5,22	84:16	Wolki 12:10
232:4,7	75:12,13	,25	141:9,16	WOIKI 12:10
234:9	76:10,11,1	132:3,10,1	143:19	wolverine
237:12,13	3,15	8	148:5	79 : 14
238:2,15	77:3,11	133:13,15,	150:8,19	wolves
240:23	79:7 86:14	18,24,25	151:3,5,7	184:14
243:11	87:18	134:2,5,6,	152:9	185:16,17,
whichever	88:10,13	18 135 : 16	153:7,11	
139:2	91:20,21,2	136:23	199:17	19,20,21,2 3 186:2
	2 92:18,23	137:16	203:19	
Whitehorse	93:10,12,2	138:13,21	231:7	187:1,6
101:17	3 94:21,23	139:2,5,9	232:8,25	188:2
whoa	97:5 99:13	140:12	withdrawals	wonder 73:2
148:11,12	100:12	141:6,9,12		90:7 91:19
	102:15,16	,13,15,23	80:16	128:22
whole 52:3	103:12	146:1,5,12	83:11,21	129:10
73:22	112:4	,16,19,22	84:12	131:4
209:14	118:11	147:7	147:19	132:22
228:8	163:11,23	154:9,16	234:22	134:3
237:13,14	164:4	167:15	withdrawn	135:20
whom 98:25	169:14	168:6,25	80:13	139:15
112:12	196:8,10,1	181:22	141:10	141:21
113:10	2 207:16	182:5,9	199:21	143:4,24
whomever	225:9,15	183:12	Witherly 3:3	147:17
	228:13	189:11	-	150:5
131:11	willing	192:25	WMAC 5:12	151:19
who's 18:8	117:6	199:22	24:1 25:18	156:7
191:12	221:18	216:9,10	26:18	157:19
wi 88:8		217:4,8,17	27:13	160:8,21
117:21	willingness	,22 222:2	67:25 86:3	202:4
241:18	219:24	wintering	88:19	232:2,22
	win 33:13	173:4	111:8,11	235:15
wide 136:8		192:24	169:15	236:20
width 135:21	wind 177:4	エジム・ム4	170:12,16	238:1
136:6	winter 33:13	winters	173:13,19	wondered
10.0			174:11	wondered

REVIEW - INUV	IK TO TUK PU	BLIC HEARING	09-18-2012	Page 311
of 310				
150.1			240.12 16	
152:1 239:11	works 23:2,8	wrote 82:5	240:12,16	
	55:16 56:7,13			
wondering	229:12	Y	Z	
53:10 55:1	229:12	yards 159:16	Zed 151:25	
69:9	workshop	yell 6:15	zero 129:12	
125:4,14	107:11	_	141:24	
167:19	175:19	Yellowknife	145:25	
174:4	workshops	16:23		
work 6:22	35:24	41:12 82:3	zone 51:1	
19:10	95:12	120:20	57:25	
22:18	102:6	yet 11:2	85:21	
35:20	105:20,22	80:14	87:25	
40:1,9	109:5,8,11	85:15	88:7,8,16,	
42:22	,16,17	115:20,24	20 89:2,19	
43:13	110:5,7	121:22	90:24	
44:22	122:14	135:25	92:13	
53:23 74:1	175:13	141:14	189:11	
76:3 79:20	world	196:1,12	zones 51:4	
89:4,8	123:11,13	197:11	89:17	
100:7,10,1		198:3	90:9,18,21	
8 102:16	world's 87:1	217:3	91:12,23,2	
103:17	worse 162:7	227:21	4	
109:2		237:15		
111:13,21	worse-case	you'll 10:3		
117:6	162:11,15 163:17	44:9,17		
122:14		162:12		
124:8	worst 166:15	169:24		
150:23	170:16	183:20		
157:2 195:7	worst-case	195:9		
218:22,23	27:2	197:3		
220:2	164:25	243:19		
	167:2	yourself		
worked 82:3	168:5,8	11:9 48:17		
90:15	169:13,16,	210:25		
120:21	25	yourselves		
152:21	170:3,14	232:23		
171:10	183:24			
202:2	184:7,24	you've 12:16		
working 13:4	193:8	55:2 65:17		
19:21 20:1	195:1	66:5 69:20		
21:7 32:19	worth 145:9	104:23		
35:11 40:4	writing	105:1		
44:23 58:8	67:6,14	106:17		
92:17		110:13		
103:2	written	125:14		
109:13	63:14,16	179:24		
119:13	66:6,12	193:12,20 197:3		
126:14	207:2,6	197:3		
145:24	208:12	236:1		
191:13	wrong 209:9	237:23		
		201.20		