



INUVIALUIT GAME COUNCIL

April 4, 2012

Eli Nasogaluak
EIR Coordinator
Environmental Impact Review Board
Box 2120
Inuvik, NT X0E 0T0

**Re: Information Requests from EIRB related to Review of proposed Inuvik
to Tuktoyaktuk Highway Project**

Dear Mr. ^{Eli}~~Nasogaluak~~,

Please find enclosed the Inuvialuit Game Council (IGC) responses to the Information Requests from the Environmental Impact Review Board for the above-mentioned review.

Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact the IGC office. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Frank Pokiak
Chair

Inuvialuit Game Council
Response to EIRB IR #87

Subject: Harvesting

(EIS Sections 4.3.5 (p.593) and 4.3.7 (p.595); Developer response to 2b and 2c, Section 15.0 (p. 118-125))

Request:

1. Please describe the role of your organization in monitoring and mitigating project-related effects on harvesting.
2. Please describe any measures that could be taken by your organization to encourage voluntary compliance from the users of the proposed Highway.

Response:

1. The role of the Inuvialuit Game Council (IGC) in monitoring and mitigation with respect to the proposed Inuvik to Tuktoyaktuk Highway Project would be to work with the GNWT Department of Environment and Natural Resources (ENR), Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), the Inuvialuit co-management boards and the Hunters and Trappers Committees (HTCs). The IGC would work with these departments and organizations on determining if there are project-related effects and, if so, to work through the integrated co-management process – established pursuant to the Inuvialuit Final Agreement (IFA) – to recommend appropriate mitigative measures.
2. The IGC will do whatever it can to encourage voluntary compliance with any measures that are determined to be in the interest of conservation.

Inuvialuit Game Council
Response to EIRB IR #88

Subject: IFA and Community Conservation Plan (CCP) Goals – Land Use
(EIS Sections 4.3 (p.568, 598); IR responses Round 1, IR #46)

Request:

1. Please describe the position of your organization with respect to the proposed Highway and its use of Management Category E.
2. Please describe what efforts must be taken by the Developer to reconcile the Project's use of Management Category E.

Response:

1. The IGC would not override community values. There is a process for communities to revise CCP category areas if they so desire.
2. The Developer would have to approach the community(-ies) to seek amendments to the CCPs. The Wildlife Management Advisory Council (NWT) must be kept informed of any such requests.