From:	Eli Nasogaluak
To:	<u>"Jim Stevens"</u>
Cc:	"Gordon Stewart"; Elizabeth Snider
Subject:	RE: EIRB Review of Inuvik-Tuktoyaktuk Road
Date:	May-28-10 9:14:00 AM

Hello Mr. Jim Stevens

Here is the response to your questions to the EIRB.

1. Once an environmental impact review has begun, the EIRB is unable to meet separately with the developer or any other party associated with the development proposal. As the EIRB review of the Inuvik to Tuktoyaktuk Highway has begun, the EIRB is unable to meet with SC members. However, if and when community public hearings are scheduled for this review, the developer is able to present, in a public forum, any relevant information related to the development proposal.

2. The EIRB review has already begun and the public register has been opened. Any information relevant to the development proposal the developer wishes to submit is welcome and will be posted to the register. Submissions received during the review forms the basis of the information the EIRB will consider in making a review decision, once the review has been completed. Please see the registry site at <u>http://www.eirb.ca/</u>

3. Please see response to question 2.

4. The review is basically funded by the federal government but the proponent is responsible for any costs associated with their participation in the review including any public hearings and any documents that they are required to prepare in the course of the review for example the environmental impact statement.

Regards,

Eli Nasogaluak

From: Jim Stevens [mailto:Jim\_Stevens@gov.nt.ca] Sent: May-11-10 10:51 AM To: Eli Nasogaluak Subject: EIRB Review of Inuvik-Tuktoyaktuk Road

Good Morning Eli,

As discussed with you this morning a number of questions were generated from our Steering Committee (SC) meeting yesterday. I would appreciate your consideration and response to the following:

- 1. Would the Board entertain an appearance by SC members (Mayor Gruben, possibly others) and the proponent's Consulting Team (EBA) prior to their deliberations on the review format and schedule?
- 2. Would the Board allow petitions (demonstrating support for the project) recently circulated in Inuvik & Tuktoyaktuk to be filed with them?

- 3. In the absence of an appearance by the SC/Consulting Team would the Board consider a letter from the SC/Consultants containing perspectives on the review process, possible options and other relevant updates? and
- 4. How will the cost of related hearings be funded. Is there any proponent responsibility?

I appreciate your time and effort to respond. I should note that I will be sharing your response with fellow SC members.

Regards,

Jim